





BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE  
CHULA VISTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT  
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation )  
Against: ) NO. L-2002050728  
MAURA LARKINS, )  
Respondent. )

DEPOSITION OF RICHARD T. WERLIN

Taken at San Diego, California  
September 4, 2002

CONDENSED TRANSCRIPT

Elaine Carlson, Reporter  
Certificate No. 8344

I-N-D-E-X	PAGE
1 DEPOSITION OF RICHARD T. WERLIN	
2 September 4, 2002	
3 Examination by Ms. Schulman:	5
4	
5 DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:	
6 1 - Two pages, deposition preamble	6
7 2 - Multi-page group of documents, first	88
8 page Responses to request for production propounded	
9 to Chula Vista Elementary School District	
10 3 - One page, letter to Maura Larkins from	147
11 Richard T. Werlin, November 21, 2001	
12 4 - One page, letter to Richard T. Werlin	149
13 from Maura Larkins, 4-3-01	
14 5 - One page, letter to Richard T. Werlin	149
15 from John L. Otis, M.D., August 22, 2001	
16 6 - One page, memorandum to Maura Larkins	150
17 from Richard T. Werlin, September 3, 2001	
18 7 - One page, memorandum to Maura Larkins	152
19 from Richard T. Werlin, September 7, 2001	
20 8 - One page, letter to Maura Larkins from	152
21 Richard T. Werlin, September 17, 2001	
22 9 - One page, letter to Maura Larkins from	153
23 Richard T. Werlin, September 20, 2001	
24 10 - One page, letter to Maura Larkins from	153
25 Richard T. Werlin, September 26, 2001	
26 11 - One page, letter to Maura Larkins from	154
27 Richard T. Werlin, September 27, 2001	
28 12 - One page, letter to Maura Larkins from	154
29 Libia S. Gil, October 3, 2001	
30 ///	

1 DEPOSITION OF RICHARD T. WERLIN  
 2  
 3 Pursuant to notice to take deposition, on the 4th  
 4 day of September 2002, commencing at the hour of 9:10  
 5 o'clock a.m., at 1551 Fourth Avenue, executive suite  
 6 conference room, in the City of San Diego, County of San  
 7 Diego, State of California, before me, Elaine Carlson,  
 8 Certified Shorthand Reporter, Certificate No. 8344, in  
 9 and for the State of California, personally appeared:  
 10 RICHARD T. WERLIN,  
 11 called as a witness by the Respondent, who, being by me  
 12 first duly sworn, was thereupon examined as a witness in  
 13 said cause.

14 APPEARANCES  
 15 For the CHULA VISTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT:  
 16 PARHAM & RAJCIC  
 17 BY: MARK R. BRESEE, ESQ.  
 18 23195 La Cadena Drive, Suite 103  
 19 Laguna Hills, California 92653-1483  
 20 (949) 587-0585  
 21 For the RESPONDENT:  
 22 SCHULMAN & SCHULMAN, A.P.C.  
 23 BY: ELIZABETH SCHULMAN, ESQ.  
 24 1551 Fourth Avenue, Suite 502  
 25 San Diego, California 92101  
 (619) 238-0303  
 Also present: MAURA LARKINS

Page 2

Page 4

1 13 - One page, letter to Maura Larkins from

2 155  
 Libia S. Gil, October 4, 2001

3 14 - 11 pages, Responses to special

4 interrogatories propounded to Chula Vista Elementary  
 School District

5 15 - Eight pages, Responses to requests for

6 admissions propounded to Chula Vista Elementary  
 School District

7 16 - Five pages, Responses to requests for

8 discovery propounded to Chula Vista Elementary  
 School District

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 EXAMINATION BY MS. SCHULMAN:  
 2 Q. Could you state your full name for the record  
 3 and spell it, please.  
 4 A. Richard T Werlin. R-I-C-H-A-R-D. Middle  
 5 initial T. Werlin, W-E-R-L-I-N.  
 6 Q. Mr. Werlin, I think you know I'm Elizabeth  
 7 Schulman. You know me as Betty Schulman. I'm the  
 8 attorney for Maura Larkins. This is a matter involving a  
 9 teacher dismissal and administrative hearing to follow at  
 10 the end of the month. We have noticed your deposition  
 11 today because we believe that you are a person who has  
 12 knowledge of the facts surrounding this matter. Have you  
 13 ever had your deposition taken before today?  
 14 A. Yes.  
 15 Q. On approximately how many different occasions?  
 16 A. Perhaps 5 to 10 different situations.  
 17 Q. Were those all work related matters?  
 18 A. Yes.  
 19 Q. I gave you a document entitled, deposition  
 20 preamble, and asked you to read it to yourself before we  
 21 went on the record today. Have you read that document?  
 22 A. Yes.  
 23 Q. Did you understand its contents?  
 24 A. Yes.  
 25 Q. Do you have any questions about having your

Page 3

Page 5

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

1 deposition taken?

2 A. No.

3 MS. SCHULMAN: I'd ask the court reporter to  
4 mark as Exhibit 1 the deposition preamble.

5 (Exhibit No. I marked for identification.)

6 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

7 Q. Are you currently employed?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And what is your employment?

10 A. I'm the assistant superintendent for human  
11 resources services and support for the Chula Vista  
12 Elementary School District.

13 Q. And how long have you held that position with  
14 the school district?

15 A. I'm in my sixth year now.

16 Q. How long have you been employed totally by the  
17 Chula Vista Elementary School District?

18 A. Six years.

19 Q. So your entire scope of employment or tenure of  
20 employment with the school district has been in your  
21 current position?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. And I'm just going to refer to it as the school  
24 district, and you'll understand when I say that, will you  
25 not, that I'm referring to the Chula Vista Elementary

1 and also implementing and taking responsibility for the  
2 joint agreement with the classified union which is  
3 C.V.E.C.O., which is the Chula Vista Elementary  
4 Classified Organization. I am also one of the chief  
5 negotiators, along with Jackson Parham and John Rajcic,  
6 R-A-J-C-I-C, who are partners with Mr. Bresee in Parham &  
7 Rajcic. I'm responsible for all hiring and hiring  
8 practices. I'm responsible for all recommendations for  
9 disciplinary action, including but not limited to  
10 recommendations for termination. I'm responsible for the  
11 oversight of the grievance procedures and correct  
12 implementation. I'm responsible for all dealings with  
13 PERB, P-E-R-B, Public Employee Relations Board. I'm  
14 responsible for staff development of all administrators  
15 with regard to human resource functions in the district.  
16 I am the Title IX officer in the district responsible for  
17 sexual harassment in the work place. I also am  
18 responsible for hearing and investigating all claims of  
19 possible discrimination.

20 I am the recipient of all complaints that come  
21 from EEOC and/or DFEH, Equal Employment Opportunity  
22 Commission and/or the Department of Fair Employment and  
23 Housing. I also have the responsibility to pull together  
24 a team to address appropriate responses to claims that  
25 would fall under those two categories. I have oversight

Page 6

Page 8

1 School District?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. What are your primary duties and  
4 responsibilities as the assistant superintendent for  
5 human resources services and support?

6 A. I'm one of five cabinet members. And the  
7 primary responsibilities, separate from the human  
8 resources functions for all employees in the district, is  
9 to serve as a coach to the principals, to be one of the  
10 principal decision-makers in the school district, and to  
11 oversee as all the cabinet members do all areas of the  
12 district, including instruction, business, community and  
13 government relations. But with a primary focus on human  
14 resources.

15 Q. I think we probably all understand when you say  
16 with a primary focus on human resources and what it is  
17 that you have reference to, but why don't you tell us  
18 with respect to the school district what those primary  
19 duties and responsibilities are of your human resources  
20 function?

21 A. It's a pretty comprehensive list, so I will  
22 attempt to be as poignant and succinct as possible.

23 Anything related to collective bargaining.

24 Implementation of joint agreements between the Chula  
Vista Educators, C.V.E., which is the union of teachers,

1 responsibility for the benefits program in our district.  
2 I have oversight responsibility for the risk management  
3 department in our district, which includes but is not  
4 limited to implementation legally of a workers'  
5 compensation program for all employees in the district.  
6 I'm sure there are many other things, but I would end  
7 with I am responsible also to make sure that there is  
8 fair and equitable compensation in the district for all  
9 employees.

10 Q. I take it you have a four-year college degree?

11 A. I have both a Bachelor's and a Master's degree.

12 Q. When and where did you receive your Bachelor's  
13 degree?

14 A. I received my Bachelor's degree in 1976 from  
15 SUNY, New York, State University of New York near  
16 Rochester, New York. And I received my Master's in  
17 educational administration from Texas Southern University  
18 in Houston, Texas. I've also taken postgraduate work for  
19 the last six years at Harvard Law School for human  
20 resources and collective bargaining.

21 Q. When did you receive your Master's degree?

22 A. To the best of my recollection I believe it was  
23 in 1982, but approximately that time.

24 Q. And your postgraduate work at Harvard Law  
School, is that done in the summers?

Page 7

Page 9

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

1 A. It's done each November. And there's a human  
 2 resources, collective bargaining, personnel law type of  
 3 week conference, one-week conference that usually takes  
 4 place in Boston either the second or third week in  
 5 November.

6 Q. And it's operated under the auspices of Harvard  
 7 University Law School?

8 A. Yes. I believe it's actually a combination  
 9 between Harvard and MIT and Tufts. And it's both,  
 10 Harvard Law School is the principal in it, but it's both  
 11 the law and business schools of those respective  
 12 universities.

13 Q. And during that week is it the kind of thing  
 14 where you attend seminars where you're updated on  
 15 developments in the law, both case law and legislation?

16 A. That's part of it. Part of the training that's  
 17 essential for me is that I'm thrown into a group of  
 18 primarily teamsters and non-educators in the area of  
 19 collective bargaining, and it gives me an opportunity --  
 20 we go through many simulations referencing an interspersed  
 21 approach versus a more positional type of bargaining.  
 22 But there certainly is an update in laws. There are  
 23 particular professors, particularly at Harvard who  
 24 specialize in the area of gender bias, compensation,  
 25 getting to yes types of things, all different kinds of

1 an employee of the Houston Independent School District in  
 2 Houston, Texas.

3 Q. That would have been from '78 to '88, roughly?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. And what kind of employment did you have with  
 6 the Houston Independent School District?

7 A. It was very varied. I started out as a  
 8 bilingual teacher to sixth grade students, bilingual  
 9 Spanish. I became an assistant principal in an  
 10 elementary school with 1800 children for almost two  
 11 years. I was a principal of a music and fine arts magnet  
 12 school for six years in Houston. I became one of 12  
 13 directors of human resources for the Houston school  
 14 district, and then I became bureau chief of all 12  
 15 directors. And throughout this tenure starting in 1978,  
 16 I recruited for the district as a teacher, as an  
 17 assistant principal, and as a principal. So I would  
 18 travel throughout, primarily the valley area we refer to  
 19 it in Texas where there was a large bilingual population  
 20 of undergraduate students. So right from that first  
 21 summer I recruited and worked in the personnel office  
 22 during the summers.

23 Q. Where did you learn your Spanish?

24 A. In high school in Albany, New York. I had five  
 25 years of it, and one year at the university, at SUNY.

Page 10

Page 12

1 human resource areas.

2 Q. What did you major in at SUNY?

3 A. I had a double major, elementary education and  
 4 British literature.

5 Q. After graduating from SUNY what was your first  
 6 full-time employment? You can leave out, I don't want,  
 7 summer employment. Major, full-time employment.

8 A. I taught severe and profoundly retarded children  
 9 at the Al Sigl Center, S-I-G-L, Center in Rochester, New  
 10 York.

11 Q. From what year to what year did you do that?

12 A. It would have been '76 to '77.

13 Q. And following that position what was your next  
 14 employment position?

15 A. Basically, there were no teaching positions in  
 16 upstate New York, schools were closing in the late  
 17 '70's. One position became open in a suburban school  
 18 district in Rochester called Webster, New York, and I  
 19 taught sixth grade for one year in Webster, in Webster  
 20 schools.

21 Q. So that would what have been the '77, '78 school  
 22 year?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. And what was your next job after that?

25 A. For the next 10 years I moved to Houston and was

1 Q. And what was your next employment after Houston?

2 A. From 1988 to 1990, I was director of personnel,  
 3 which was a cabinet level position, for a small school  
 4 district called the Galveston, G-A-L-V-E-S-T-O-N,  
 5 Independent School District. It was the smallest school  
 6 district I had ever worked for, but I was responsible for  
 7 all the functions of human resources. Negotiating with  
 8 the teacher organizations, compensation, benefits,  
 9 interviewing. I had nobody to talk to about credentials.  
 10 I was the credentials analyst. So I was a singleton  
 11 doing everything, all the human resources functions, and  
 12 won that experience. It was about an hour from Houston.

13 Q. What was your next job after Galveston  
 14 Independent School District?

15 A. For the next three and a half years I moved to  
 16 the west coast of Florida with my family. My father was  
 17 very ill in a location called Longboat Key, Sarasota,  
 18 Florida. And I opened up my own business so I could be  
 19 with my family. I was an estate appraiser, worked with  
 20 Sotheby's and Christie's for almost four years. And  
 21 somewhere in the middle of 1993, I was offered an  
 22 assistant superintendent position for human resources in  
 23 San Antonio, Texas northeast schools, which was a very  
 24 similar size to Chula Vista. We had 45 schools, K-12.  
 25 And I was responsible for the human resources functions.

Page 11

Page 13

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

1 Q. So you started that in mid '93 through when?  
 2 A. I would probably say June of '93. And I was  
 3 there for three years, and then took a job as a  
 4 consultant director for a private school for one year  
 5 from '96 to '97, back in Florida. And it was a  
 6 preparatory school, very wealthy preparatory school that  
 7 was in trouble and needed somebody to come in and clean  
 8 house: So I did that for one year. It was called the  
 9 Out-Of-Door Academy. It was a very wealthy preparatory,  
 10 K-12, school district. It was a single school.

11 And then I was offered the position in April or  
 12 May of '97 to join the Chula Vista School District  
 13 cabinet.

14 Q. When did you start?

15 A. June 15th, 1997.

16 Q. You have used the term cabinet. What do you  
 17 mean by that term?

18 A. It's, basically, separate from the board of  
 19 education it is the governing, leadership team of the  
 20 school district. It's all the assistant superintendents  
 21 and the superintendent.

22 Q. Going to the Chula Vista Elementary School  
 23 District, how many assistant superintendents are there  
 24 currently?

25 A. Well, currently there are four. For the most

1 organization called New American Schools. And she will  
 2 be the chief academic officer for New American Schools.

3 Q. And do you know where her position will be  
 4 located?

5 A. It's going to be I believe outside of  
 6 Washington. But for the first year I think she actually  
 7 will still reside in Chula Vista.

8 Q. And Washington, you mean Washington, D.C.?

9 A. Excuse me. Yes.

10 Q. Are you acquainted with Maura Larkins?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And how did you become acquainted with her?

13 A. I don't recall the first time that I met her. I  
 14 became well-acquainted with most of the teachers at  
 15 Castle Park where she had taught when we had to replace a  
 16 principal who was leaving. And that was my very first  
 17 summer that I came to Chula Vista in 1997. I believe  
 18 that I met Maura along with the other staff, because I  
 19 spoke with the staff as a whole about the process and  
 20 engaging them and helping to select the next principal.

21 Q. And who is the principal who was being replaced?

22 A. I believe his name was, because I was, he was  
 23 gone, basically gone when I came, I believe, Oscar Perez,  
 24 I believe. P-E-R-E-Z.

25 Q. Were you given some understanding at the time as

Page 14

Page 16

1 part of those years that I served there were only three  
 2 of us. In the last year we just added one assistant  
 3 superintendent. So the cabinet for the first five years  
 4 that I was in the district was primarily four people.

5 Q. Who was the one in terms of the position that  
 6 was added in the last year?

7 A. We switched the person who was in instructional  
 8 services, and he moved into a new position called  
 9 community and government relations.

10 Q. And that's the new position that was added?

11 A. Correct. And then we hired a superintendent  
 12 from New York City, Maria Guasp, G-U-A-S-P, who took over  
 13 instructional services and support.

14 Q. And who currently is the superintendent of  
 15 schools at the district?

16 A. For the next two weeks will be the last two  
 17 weeks for Dr. Libia, L-I-B-I-A, Gil, G-I-L. And  
 18 effective October 1 our business services superintendent,  
 19 Dr. Lowell, L-O-W-E-L-L, Billings, B-I-L-L-I-N-G-S, will  
 20 be the new superintendent.

21 Q. Do you know where Dr. Gil will be going to work  
 22 after Chula Vista?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And where is that?

25 A. She will be with a large international

1 to why Mr. Perez was being replaced?

2 A. I really had very little knowledge.

3 Q. And did you then participate in the hiring  
 4 process for the new principal?

5 A. Yes, I did.

6 Q. Who was hired?

7 A. Dr. Gretchen, G-R-E-T-C-H-E-N, Donndelinger,  
 8 D-O-N-N-D-E-L-I-N-G-E-R.

9 Q. And did she start as principal of Castle Park  
 10 Elementary School in the fall of '97?

11 A. To the best of my knowledge it was in the fall.

12 I don't remember the exact date.

13 Q. Is she still the principal at Castle Park?

14 A. No, she is not.

15 Q. When did she end her tenure, if you will, as  
 16 principal? Loose definition of the word.

17 A. Approximately a year ago she left Chula Vista  
 18 School District.

19 Q. Would have been the fall 2001?

20 A. Yes. I believe that summer she left.

21 Q. And who was her replacement?

22 A. There was no replacement at the time. We had an  
 23 interim retired principal who came in. We had several  
 24 who assisted. I believe that Mr. Sam Snyder,  
 25 S-N-Y-D-E-R, and then our business superintendent, Dr.

Page 15

Page 17

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

1 Lowell Billings, also played a role in oversight  
 2 responsibility.  
 3 Q. And when was that principal finally put into  
 4 place?  
 5 A. In December of 2001, I believe.  
 6 Q. And who was that?  
 7 A. Dr. Tim Allen.  
 8 Q. A-L-L-E-N?  
 9 A. Yes. A-L-L-E-N.  
 10 Q. Is he still the principal at Castle Park  
 11 Elementary School?  
 12 A. Yes, he is.  
 13 Q. Did you at some point in the course of your job  
 14 duties learn how long Ms. Larkins had been employed as a  
 15 teacher at the school district for the school district?  
 16 A. For the school district or at Castle Park?  
 17 Q. For the school district.  
 18 A. I don't recollect ever knowing exactly the  
 19 number of years. I knew that she was a tenured teacher,  
 20 and I knew she had been with the district for a number of  
 21 years. But I don't recall the number of years.  
 22 Q. Did there come some point in time when some  
 23 sort of issue developed that you became aware of  
 24 concerning Ms. Larkins?  
 25 A. Yes.

Page 18

1 Q. And when was that point in time?  
 2 A. I would probably say it was the spring prior to,  
 3 prior to the 2000-2001 school year.  
 4 Q. So it would have been the spring of 2000?  
 5 A. I believe so, yes.  
 6 Q. And what did you become aware of in the spring  
 7 of 2000?  
 8 A. That the principal was concerned with what she  
 9 perceived as some behaviors of Ms. Larkins in terms of  
 10 her interaction with both the principal as well as her  
 11 interaction with her colleagues.  
 12 Q. And how did you first learn of the principal's  
 13 concern about the perceived behaviors with respect to  
 14 interaction with both the principal and colleagues?  
 15 A. Dr. Donndelinger shared them with me.  
 16 Q. And when you say she shared them with you, what  
 17 do you mean by that?  
 18 A. She discussed them with me over the phone and in  
 19 person.  
 20 Q. Did you have a phone conversation prior to a  
 21 face-to-face discussion?  
 22 A. I don't recollect.  
 23 Q. Can you sitting here today separate out in your  
 24 mind what you were told over the phone versus what you  
 25 were told face-to-face?

Page 19

1 A. No.  
 2 Q. All right. Tell us then what it is that Dr.  
 3 Donndelinger told you about her concerns concerning these  
 4 perceived behaviors of Ms. Larkins.  
 5 A. Teachers appeared to be, according to what Dr.  
 6 Donndelinger shared with me, uncomfortable around Maura  
 7 due to some of her exhibited behaviors, such as raising  
 8 her voice, appearing to be quite angry with other  
 9 teachers. And Dr. Donndelinger shared with me that she  
 10 had the same concerns with her own interactions with  
 11 Maura.  
 12 Q. During this time period did Dr. Donndelinger  
 13 give you any specifics about any occurrences that she had  
 14 been told about?  
 15 A. I don't remember any specifics at this time.  
 16 Q. She give you any specifics at this time about  
 17 any of her own personally observed concerns?  
 18 MR. BRESEE: Objection. It's ambiguous  
 19 regarding at this time. I'm not sure exactly what the  
 20 time frame is.  
 21 BY MS. SCHULMAN:  
 22 Q. Did you understand, Mr. Werlin, that we were  
 23 talking about the spring of 2000 when you initially were  
 24 informed about concerns regarding the perceived behaviors  
 25 of Ms. Larkins?

Page 20

1 A. I do now. You're referencing the spring of  
 2 2000.  
 3 Q. Yes.  
 4 A. Yes.  
 5 Q. Okay. All right. Well, then let's be sure  
 6 we're on the same wavelength here. Is it accurate that  
 7 during the spring of 2000 during the telephone  
 8 conversation and face-to-face meetings that no specifics  
 9 were provided to you by Dr. Donndelinger concerning Ms.  
 10 Larkins behaviors?  
 11 A. No. That is not what I said.  
 12 Q. Okay. Well, then I certainly didn't mean to  
 13 misquote you and there was simply a misunderstanding. At  
 14 that time were there specifics that were provided to you  
 15 by Dr. Donndelinger concerning Ms. Larkins behaviors?  
 16 A. Yes, there were. And to the best of my  
 17 recollection at this time I do not remember those  
 18 specifics.  
 19 Q. I see.  
 20 A. But she did share some specific situations.  
 21 Q. Was there any incident that stands out in your  
 22 mind?  
 23 A. I don't recall.  
 24 Q. Did either of you to your knowledge make any  
 25 notes of these conversations that you had in the spring

Page 21

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

1 of 2000?

2 A. I don't believe that I had notes at all. In  
3 terms of Maura -- not Maura's, but Dr. Donndelinger's, I  
4 don't have any idea whether she had notes or not.

5 Q. Did you observe her making any notes?

6 A. Not during our face-to-face contact, no. Not to  
7 the best of my knowledge.

8 Q. Did you observe her during your face-to-face  
9 contact making any kind of reference to any notes?

10 A. I don't recall.

11 Q. I take it during your telephone conversations it  
12 was not a video phone so you were unable to make any  
13 observations?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. And you took no notes yourself during the phone  
16 conversations?

17 A. No, I did not.

18 Q. With respect to your human resources  
19 responsibilities, would this kind of concern fall  
20 squarely within those responsibilities?

21 A. I wouldn't define it that way. I would more  
22 importantly define the role of each superintendent is to  
23 be a principal coach. And so we talk to our principals  
24 almost daily, and they run things by us as a regular  
25 course of action. So we're constantly having dialogues.

1 members. But that the principal felt it was important to  
2 give her an opportunity, and when I say her, the  
3 principal an opportunity to try to resolve the issues at  
4 the site level.

5 Q. At that juncture where you had at least two  
6 conversations did you pull Ms. Larkins personnel jacket  
7 to see if there had been any previous problems that had  
8 been noted?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Did you take any action at that juncture to try  
11 to discern whether or not there was any kind of history  
12 of conduct or complaints about Ms. Larkins up to that  
13 juncture?

14 A. The only action that I took was a coaching  
15 statement that I made to Dr. Donndelinger. And that was  
16 to remind her that she as an administrator had a  
17 responsibility to address any issues that she was  
18 perceiving, but to remember that it was important for her  
19 to look at all sides. So to get the input from both  
20 Maura as well as other teachers allegedly being impacted,  
21 so that there was not a judgment at this point in time as  
22 to being in a blame frame, but more so what we reference  
23 as a name frame. Trying to get to the bottom of the  
24 issues and make sure that all parties are treated fairly,  
25 and that both sides are heard and heard clearly.

Page 22

Page 24

1 What I do recall is that at this juncture I suggested  
2 that if Dr. Donndelinger needed any support or help, that  
3 I could certainly intervene and assist if she felt that  
4 was necessary. And what she shared with me at that time  
5 to the best of my knowledge is that she wanted to handle  
6 it at a site level, and that she felt that it was not  
7 necessary for me to enter into the picture at that time.

8 Q. When Dr. Donndelinger brought these issues  
9 concerning Ms. Larkins to your attention was she doing it  
10 in an agenda with other items that she was regularly  
11 talking to you about during your daily conversations, or  
12 were they separate and apart from other matters?

13 A. Separate.

14 Q. Did she at that time say anything to you that  
15 gave you cause to believe that Ms. Larkins was in  
16 immediate danger to herself or others?

17 A. I don't recollect that.

18 Q. And, again, sitting here today there's not any  
19 specific incident that she related to you that you can  
20 now remember?

21 A. The only thing that I do recall vividly is the  
22 sense that I walked away with from both of those  
23 conversations, and there were at least two, was that the  
24 principal had some concerns with Maura's behavior and  
25 Maura's difficulty in getting along with other staff

1 Q. So you did nothing yourself to look at any kind  
2 of written documentation to try to discern whether or not  
3 this tenured teacher had had any kinds of problems  
4 whatsoever?

5 A. It wasn't at that level. At that point in time  
6 my engagement in the situation was limited to what I've  
7 shared with you previously.

8 Q. And at that point in time did you gain an  
9 understanding of what grade level and/or what subject  
10 matter Ms. Larkins taught?

11 A. I was aware that Maura was a bilingual teacher,  
12 and to the best of my recollection I recall that it was a  
13 primary bilingual, but I'm not absolutely sure about  
14 that. But to the best of my knowledge I remember her to  
15 have had primary bilingual positions.

16 Q. And by primary you mean grade?

17 A. K-3, somewhere in that category.

18 Q. Were there other bilingual teachers who worked  
19 at that particular elementary school, Castle Park, along  
20 with Ms. Larkins at that time?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. How many others?

23 A. I have no idea.

24 Q. Do you know their names?

25 A. I'm sure that I know their names, but I can't

Page 23

Page 25

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

1 connect credential with teacher right now. I'm staring  
 2 at a lady's face, but I can't remember her name. She  
 3 retired last year. And I really can't recall her name.  
 4 But Castle Park has a relatively large number of English  
 5 language learners, and being responsible for the  
 6 credential program I know there are a number of bilingual  
 7 teachers there.

8 Q. Have you in the course of your duties and  
 9 responsibilities as an assistant superintendent become  
 10 familiar with any kind of issues involving the bilingual  
 11 program at Castle Park Elementary and the attitudes of  
 12 non-bilingual teachers?

13 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.  
 14 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of, at that time I'm

15 not aware of any issues related to the bilingual program.

16 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

17 Q. At that time had you become aware that there  
 18 were certain elementary teachers at Castle Park who  
 19 didn't want bilingual students in their classrooms for  
 20 part of the teaching day?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Have you ever become aware that that was an  
 23 issue?

24 A. I can't say specifically with Castle Park,  
 25 counselor. I will say to you that I as one of the

1 don't believe that there's a place in public education  
 2 for bilingual ed.

3 Q. And approximately what percentage did you say of  
 4 the student population in the Chula Vista Elementary  
 5 School District come from homes where English is not the  
 6 primary language?

7 A. I would say we probably have 50 to 60 percent.  
 8 And if I'm looking at two candidates, one who is  
 9 bilingual and one who is not, generally the candidate who  
 10 is bilingual has the greater strength because of the  
 11 diverse population that we have.

12 Q. And by candidate you mean teacher candidates  
 13 for hire?

14 A. Any type of candidate.

15 Q. Does Chula Vista Elementary School District  
 16 include all of that new development within, say, the last  
 17 10 years or so that stretches out to Eastlake and perhaps  
 18 beyond?

19 A. That's all Chula Vista. We have, all of that  
 20 growth is under our, we've opened up five or six new  
 21 schools in just the last five or six years. So that  
 22 encompasses Eastlake and all out H and Telegraph Canyon,  
 23 that's all our district.

24 Q. Have the statistics of non-speaking students,  
 25 non-English speaking students entering school changed at

Page 26

Page 28

1 superintendents of the district are astutely aware that  
 2 district-wide we have a belief system about bilingual  
 3 education, but there's a discrepancy in how people feel  
 4 that it should be carried out. And our E.O. teachers  
 5 would differ in opinion from our BCLAD teachers,  
 6 B-C-L-A-D teachers, sorry, our bilingual teachers, as to  
 7 how a program should be carried out. I don't know that  
 8 Castle Park would be isolated in that situation.

9 Q. Would Castle Park be included in that situation?

10 A. Perhaps. I didn't have that knowledge at that  
 11 time that you're asking me.

12 Q. By E.O. do you mean English only?

13 A. English only.

14 Q. And sitting here today without necessarily  
 15 focusing on Castle Park what is your understanding of the  
 16 difference in philosophy or the implementation?

17 A. Well, really has to do with the legislation  
 18 passed and 227. We have, the district has supported  
 19 bilingual education. We always have and we always will.  
 20 But with the Unz initiative and mandated 227 the only way  
 21 that we can provide that is through a waiver signed by  
 22 parents or charter schools. Maura, among many other  
 23 teachers has been, has been a very positive advocate of  
 24 bilingual education, as many bilingual teachers have.  
 25 But there are staff members that fully support 227 and

1 all with the development of these new subdivisions?  
 2 A. It makes our population more diverse in terms of  
 3 Spanish not being the only, or Spanish is still the  
 4 primary language other than English, but we have a large  
 5 influx of Asian, primarily Korean, Japanese, Chinese,  
 6 Filipino populations that have moved in that area.

7 Q. Where the children enter school speaking  
 8 English?

9 A. It's really a mixture.

10 Q. Going back to the situation with Ms. Larkins, so  
 11 you had a phone contact and a face-to-face conference  
 12 with Dr. Donndelinger, and those were at least two  
 13 contacts initially in the spring of 2000; is that  
 14 correct?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. And would it be fair to say there might have  
 17 been more contacts, but you just don't specifically  
 18 recall them?

19 A. No, I don't believe so. I don't believe there  
 20 were any more contacts that year.

21 Q. Okay. And by that year did you mean the  
 22 1999-2000 school year? I know you talk in school years.  
 23 Gets a little confusing sometimes, so I'm just trying to  
 24 clarify.

25 A. Right.

Page 27

Page 29

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

1 Q. Did you check back to see if there had been some  
 2 resolution?  
 3 A. Sometime during the following school year Dr.  
 4 Donndelinger and I did have a conversation about it.  
 5 Q. To the best of your recollection when did that  
 6 conversation occur?  
 7 A. I have no idea. I don't remember the month or  
 8 the day. I just remember having that conversation.  
 9 Q. Did it occur prior to January 1 of 2001?  
 10 A. I really can't say. I don't recall.  
 11 Q. Was that a face-to-face conversation or  
 12 telephone conversation?  
 13 A. Both.  
 14 Q. So it was more than one conversation?  
 15 A. It was a continuation started on the phone and  
 16 continued in person.  
 17 Q. And can you distinguish those conversations in  
 18 your mind, or are they sort of melded together?  
 19 A. They were really a continuation of the same  
 20 conversation to the best of my knowledge. Like any  
 21 situation I would walk into, I tried to walk in as an  
 22 active listener without any judgment regarding any of the  
 23 teachers, including Maura. The difference in this  
 24 situation was when I became aware sometime during that  
 25 school year that the situation according to the principal

1 benefits to children?  
 2 A. No.  
 3 Q. Have you ever become aware of that since?  
 4 MR. BRESEE: Object. Clique of teachers, and I  
 5 forget the rest of the question, but vague and ambiguous.  
 6 BY MS. SCHULMAN:  
 7 Q. Did you understand the question?  
 8 A. It would be helpful if you could describe it.  
 9 Are we referencing social or just at school or, I mean.  
 10 Q. Let me back it up a little bit. Did you ever  
 11 become aware at any point in time up to today that there  
 12 were a group of teachers at Castle Park who had been  
 13 responsible for the previous principal to Dr.  
 14 Donndelinger leaving the position of principal?  
 15 A. No.  
 16 Q. Did you ever become aware that there was a group  
 17 of teachers at Castle Park who banded together for the  
 18 purpose of influencing Dr. Donndelinger to take any  
 19 actions whatsoever, be they educational or for personnel  
 20 actions?  
 21 A. No.  
 22 Q. At this juncture, whenever it was -- I'm sorry.  
 23 Did you need a break?  
 24 A. In few minutes if you don't mind. I can hold it  
 25 for a few minutes.

Page 30

Page 32

1 had continued, I made a conscientious effort to enter the  
 2 picture and try to become engaged and offer my services  
 3 to try to mediate. First, to understand all parties'  
 4 sides, and to try to bring some agreement to both Maura  
 5 and the other teachers and the principal that were  
 6 involved.  
 7 Q. At that point in time, whenever that point in  
 8 time was during the 2000-2001 school year did Dr.  
 9 Donndelinger give you any specific instances of behavior  
 10 which she attributed to Ms. Larkins which she felt was  
 11 inappropriate behavior or behavior of some concern?  
 12 A. She did. But, again, I don't recall the  
 13 specifics. What I do recall is that I was not convinced  
 14 that there was any one particular party that was the  
 15 genesis of the problem. What I do vividly recall is that  
 16 I thought it was an issue or problem that was something  
 17 that needed to be investigated and looked into by an  
 18 administrator. But I don't recall that at that point in  
 19 time any judgment had been made in terms of whether it  
 20 was one person that was at the core of the issue or it  
 21 was another party. I don't, I didn't have that  
 22 knowledge.  
 23 Q. At that time did you have any knowledge that  
 24 there was at Castle Park a clique of teachers that  
 25 grouped together for purposes other than for educational

1 Q. Well, why don't we take a break now. That's  
 2 fine.  
 3 A. If that's okay.  
 4 MS. SCHULMAN: Five minutes or so. We're off  
 5 the record.  
 6 (Recess, 10:00 - 10:07 a.m.)  
 7 BY MS. SCHULMAN:  
 8 Q. So we were discussing the occurrences during the  
 9 2000-2001 school year when you became aware that this  
 10 matter had not found any kind of resolution. And did you  
 11 actually get to a point where you wanted to step in and  
 12 mediate?  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. And when you use the term mediate, are you using  
 15 that in a formal or an informal sense?  
 16 A. Well, I've gone through mediation training.  
 17 And about 70 percent of what I do on a daily basis is  
 18 mediation, I'm trying to come to common ground between  
 19 two or more parties. I don't know if I can say it was  
 20 formal or informal. It was just an attempt to come to  
 21 closure, to try to give everyone an opportunity to speak,  
 22 to hear all sides, and for people to reach common ground  
 23 and go back to the goal of working in the classroom and  
 24 meeting the needs of the kids. So whether that's formal  
 25 or informal, it's what I do every day.

Page 31

Page 33

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

1 Q. And what, if any, action did you take during  
 2 this 2000-2001 school year to set your definition of  
 3 mediation into action?

4 A. I don't remember if I specifically had a  
 5 conversation with Maura or it was communicated to her  
 6 through a third party, but I do know that Maura became  
 7 aware that I was interested in assisting, and that I  
 8 wanted to provide some type of vehicle to give everyone a  
 9 chance to be heard and to get to the bottom of the  
 10 situation. And I recall -- that's all I recall. I don't  
 11 know, I can't recall if I spoke directly with Maura or if  
 12 it was through Gretchen that she got the message. But  
 13 she clearly did get the message that I wanted to provide  
 14 her assistance and was willing to visit with her and talk  
 15 with her if she had any issues or concerns.

16 Q. At that juncture did you have any notion as to  
 17 how many people might be involved with raising concerns  
 18 about Ms. Larkins' conduct or behavior?

19 A. I don't recall the number.

20 Q. Do you know if it was fewer than 10?

21 A. I really don't recall. I know that there were  
 22 several people who allegedly were having issues and  
 23 concerns with Maura, but I didn't know specifics. And  
 24 that was why I offered to become engaged in the  
 25 situation.

1 interrupting them. It's an approach to become focused on  
 2 a unity of purpose, and in a school system it would be on  
 3 powerful teaching and learning. So most of our schools  
 4 that have adopted the Comer reform model it's about  
 5 establishing a unity of purpose to address powerful  
 6 teaching and learning.

7 Q. And had the Chula Vista Elementary School  
 8 District adopted the Comer model?

9 A. Individual sites have independence to adopt  
 10 whatever model they want. The district had some schools  
 11 that adopted it, but the district per se doesn't adopt a  
 12 model. We empower the schools to make their own  
 13 decisions.

14 Q. And was Castle Park one of the schools that  
 15 adopted the Comer model?

16 A. To the best of my knowledge they were looking at  
 17 it. Whether or not they actually had adopted it, I'm not  
 18 aware of. But they were looking at it with specific  
 19 pieces in mind.

20 Q. And was the response then from Ms. Larkins in  
 21 your mind at that time a reasonable response?

22 A. I'm not prepared to say that I thought it was a  
 23 reasonable response. I remember being concerned with the  
 24 response.

25 Q. What was your concern about her response?

Page 34

Page 36

1 Q. And how do you know that Ms. Larkins got the  
 2 message about your willingness to become engaged in the  
 3 situation?

4 A. I received a pleasant note from her that said  
 5 that at this time thank you for the offer, but we're  
 6 going to -- to the best of my knowledge I think that the  
 7 note said something about we're going to try to use the  
 8 Comer method, C-O-M-E-R, Comer method to try to resolve  
 9 any issues. But I do distinctly remember getting some  
 10 type of communication from Maura. I remember that it was  
 11 positive. I remember that it was clear to me that she  
 12 knew, or at least my perception was that she knew that I  
 13 had made the offer in good standing without any  
 14 judgments, and that she had rejected the offer positively  
 15 saying that she was wanting to try the Comer method.

16 Q. And what is the Comer method?

17 A. Well, we could spend hours talking about it.  
 18 But basically, it's a, the Comer model is a model that  
 19 came out of Yale University. And it's a reform model for  
 20 education, but it can be applied to I think any business  
 21 or organization. It's the way people resolve issues.  
 22 It's the way people address issues. It's the way they  
 23 treat each other in a meeting. It's about playing  
 24 no-fault, having respect for your teammate, and  
 25 listening, allow them to complete their statement without

1 A. My concern was that up until that point things  
 2 were continuing, and they were not being resolved. And  
 3 so I would not have offered unless I felt like it was  
 4 important to try to be that model, to model that type of  
 5 good listening skills and problem-solving and so forth.

6 Q. If Ms. Larkins had agreed to participate in what  
 7 you have described as your form of mediation, what would  
 8 you have done?

9 A. What would I have done?

10 Q. Yes.

11 A. I would have met with both parties, both  
 12 teachers and the principal, including Maura. And would  
 13 have established some ground rules for dialogue and how  
 14 each of us was going to conduct ourselves, what our  
 15 principal goals were, in an environment where people felt  
 16 comfortable speaking and saying what their perception  
 17 was, so that we could try to get to the bottom of the  
 18 issues that were at hand and move on.

19 Q. Was this a process where you envisioned that you  
 20 would have Ms. Larkins and the other teachers and the  
 21 principal together in one meeting to air their concerns?

22 A. Possibly, yes. It would be a mixture.

23 Q. What would that mixture be?

24 A. It would be an opportunity to speak with people  
 25 both individually and in a large group.

Page 35

← Page 37

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

1 Q. And was that envisioning what you would do in  
2 that particular kind of issue?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. So one portion of it would be having everybody  
5 in one room who had differences and letting each of the  
6 people have their say while everyone was present in the  
7 room with you?

8 A. I don't remember exactly the steps in sequential  
9 order. But basically it was going to be an opportunity  
10 for people to understand what the purpose was, what the  
11 ground rules were, and to see what people's level of  
12 comfort was. -

13 Q. When you --

14 A. Because -- I'm sorry. Because the belief was  
15 that people first had to buy into it. So whether it's  
16 formal or informal, any mediation efforts in our district  
17 must be mutually agreed upon. People need to be willing  
18 to meet, and that's an initial premise.

19 Q. When you extended the offer, no matter how it  
20 might have been extended, directly or indirectly, to Ms.  
21 Larkins to participate in this mediation had you had any  
22 contact with the other teachers involved to determine  
23 their willingness to voluntarily participate in the  
24 process?

25 A. Not at that time. Not when I heard from her.

1 this Comer method was at least attempted to be used to  
2 resolve the issues involving Ms. Larkins?

3 A. I don't recall.

4 Q. At the time that you offered to organize this  
5 mediation process did you have any concern that Ms.  
6 Larkins was a danger to herself or to others?

7 A. At the time that I made the offer?

8 Q. Yes.

9 A. I don't believe so at that time.

10 Q. Did you discuss the matter either verbally or in  
11 writing with anybody other than Principal Donndelinger  
12 and Ms. Larkins at that point when you were offering to  
13 mediate?

14 A. I don't recall discussing it with anyone in  
15 writing at all. I frequently discuss all issues with  
16 cabinet. We talk every day. We're a very close group.  
17 And I don't recall the dates and times, but I would have  
18 certainly keep them abreast of issues such as this.

19 Q. So sitting here today you're confident that the  
20 issue that was raised of the perceived behaviors on the  
21 part of Ms. Larkins was brought up at cabinet discussions?

22 A. Um-hmm.

23 Q. Is that a yes?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And was that also true the first time that Dr.

Page 38

Page 40

1 Q. During that kind of mediation process that  
2 you've described are individual teachers permitted to  
3 have their representative present?

4 A. We've never denied that. Very rarely would we  
5 -- I would see no reason why they wouldn't. We didn't  
6 get to that point for discussion. But if it was  
7 something that I was facilitating, I would not have had a  
8 problem with that.

9 Q. And during the 2000-2001 school year how many of  
10 these type of mediations did you conduct?

11 A. Dozens. I do it constantly. I'm, by nature I'm  
12 a peacemaker. And I remember that I had shared with you  
13 -- well, dozens.

14 Q. So you conduct dozens in each school year?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And do you have any knowledge as to how many  
17 issues are resolved using either the Comer method or some  
18 other method?

19 A. Using the Comer method?

20 Q. Or any other method that any of these schools is  
21 free to adopt.

22 A. I don't have data on that.

23 Q. Is there any data that's been obtained on that?

24 A. Not to the best of my knowledge.

25 Q. Did you ever find out whether or not in fact

1 Donndelinger had brought these issues to you during the  
2 previous school year?

3 A. I don't recall.

4 Q. Did you get any direction or suggestions from  
5 other cabinet members as to how to perceive with this --  
6 excuse me, as to how to proceed with this issue?

7 A. I don't recall anything specific. I would say  
8 systematically our belief as a cabinet is to continue to  
9 coach. So, to be there as support to the principal but  
10 also a responsibility to make sure that all parties have  
11 an opportunity to be heard. And so in my coaching with  
12 the principal that's what I would have provided in terms  
13 of direction.

14 Q. Do you have a specific recollection of doing  
15 that, or is that simply what you are sitting here  
16 testifying to is your business practice?

17 A. No. There's no question in my mind that I  
18 shared that with Dr. Donndelinger. When I shared it with  
19 her, I can't give you the specific date or time. But  
20 there's no question in my mind that on more than one  
21 occasion I was emphatic on this particular issue, to make  
22 sure that all parties involved had the opportunity to be  
23 heard out prior to any judgment.

24 Q. Did there come some time when you became once  
25 again involved in these perceived behaviors?

Page 39

Page 41

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

1 A. Yes.  
 2 Q. When was that?  
 3 A. I don't remember the exact date, but it was a  
 4 Saturday evening at about 8:15 in the evening.  
 5 Q. And were you at home?  
 6 A. Yes, I was.  
 7 Q. And what happened?  
 8 A. I received a phone call from a very concerned  
 9 Castle Park teacher.  
 10 Q. And who was that?  
 11 A. I believe her last name is Hamilton. Her first  
 12 name escapes me right now.  
 13 Q. And what did Ms. Hamilton tell you?  
 14 A. She was very alarmed very concerned. She had  
 15 had a prior incident, I believe that week, where she had  
 16 come into contact with Ms. Larkins. And to the best of  
 17 my knowledge she felt like Maura had invaded her personal  
 18 space, and she felt very threatened by Maura. She did  
 19 not say that Maura touched her or physically hit her.  
 20 But what she shared with me basically, and not  
 21 necessarily using this language, but this was the message  
 22 that she was sending me, she felt like her space had been  
 23 violated, that Maura was close to her, that she felt very  
 24 threatened by the glares and looks that Maura was giving  
 25 her. And she was very, very concerned, because she

1 behavior of Ms. Larkins that she had raised with the  
 2 school principal?  
 3 A. No. 1, I don't know if she raised it with the  
 4 school principal at that time. I don't recollect that.  
 5 I don't know. No. 2, to the best of my knowledge -- and  
 6 this was on a Saturday evening. I was clearly caught off  
 7 guard hearing from a teacher on a Saturday evening. But  
 8 to the best of my knowledge she led me to believe that  
 9 Ms. Hamilton had very little dealings actually with  
 10 Maura. She was very uncomfortable, wasn't even sure why  
 11 this was happening. Because I slightly recall that there  
 12 was something about they don't work in the same grade  
 13 level, or they don't meet in the same teams, or something  
 14 along those lines. She was concerned that she would have  
 15 any engagement like this with Maura at all, and she  
 16 didn't really reference to the best of my knowledge past  
 17 problems with Maura that she per se had had.  
 18 Q. I'm sorry. She did?  
 19 A. Did not.  
 20 Q. Did not.  
 21 A. To the best of my knowledge. I don't recall  
 22 that.  
 23 Q. And have you since come to know what it is that  
 24 Ms. Hamilton was teaching at the time?  
 25 A. I don't know what grade level she was teaching.

Page 42

Page 44

1 shared that she was a mother of two young children and  
 2 she feared for herself.  
 3 Q. And what, if anything, did you respond to Ms.  
 4 Hamilton by saying?  
 5 A. I shared with her that I appreciated the  
 6 information, that I would share it with her principal,  
 7 that I recommended that she also share it with her  
 8 principal, and that I would be getting back with her.  
 9 Q. Without making reference to any kind of  
 10 documentation can you place approximately what month this  
 11 phone call occurred in?  
 12 A. No, I really can't.  
 13 Q. Do you recall if it was 2001?  
 14 A. It was the 2000-2001 school year. I don't  
 15 recall the date.  
 16 Q. Did you ask Ms. Hamilton if she had shared this  
 17 information with the school principal prior to contacting  
 18 you?  
 19 A. I really don't recall.  
 20 Q. Did you get the impression that she felt the  
 21 school principal was ignoring her concerns?  
 22 A. I don't, I don't know that to be the case. I  
 23 don't recall that.  
 24 Q. Did Ms. Hamilton indicate to you whether or not  
 25 she had had any previous concerns regarding the perceived

1 Q. Did she tell you when this confrontation, for  
 2 want of a better word, occurred?  
 3 A. Sometime during that week prior to that Saturday  
 4 evening.  
 5 Q. Did she indicate to you that it happened on  
 6 school grounds during school hours?  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 Q. So it would have been sometime Monday through  
 9 Friday?  
 10 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.  
 11 Q. Did you come to find out whether or not Dr.  
 12 Donndelinger was actually on site during that previous  
 13 school week?  
 14 A. I don't understand the question. On site  
 15 during, she was on site during that school year.  
 16 Q. During the school week, that previous school  
 17 week to that Saturday.  
 18 A. I don't know.  
 19 Q. Did anything said during the telephone  
 20 conversation that Saturday night give you any knowledge  
 21 as to why Ms. Hamilton had waited until 8:15 on a  
 22 Saturday night to contact you?  
 23 A. No.  
 24 Q. Did she indicate to you that, for example, maybe  
 25 there had been a phone call that had been made to her or

Page 43

Page 45

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

1 somebody appearing on her doorstep, something that was of  
 2 such an immediate threat that she needed to do something  
 3 right then and there?  
 4 A. She to the best of my knowledge did not  
 5 reference any of her prior -- but I believe that she had  
 6 referenced that she had friends who had had problems with  
 7 Maura. People who had felt threatened, people that Maura  
 8 had gotten into their face and raised her voice with and  
 9 shown anger, inability to get along with other peers of  
 10 Ms. Hamilton's. And she was concerned that this could  
 11 escalate to a point where she may be in danger of her  
 12 life.

13 Q. That Ms. Hamilton felt it could escalate to a  
 14 point where she could be in danger of her life?

15 A. Yes. Yes.

16 Q. Did Ms. Hamilton tell you during that telephone  
 17 conversation of any specific threat that had been made to  
 18 her or she believed had been made to anybody else by Ms.  
 19 Larkins?

20 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Compound.

21 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

22 Q. You can answer it.

23 A. Can you repeat the question, please?

24 Q. Sure. During that telephone conversation you  
 25 had with Ms. Hamilton did she relate to you any specific

1 A. I believe that she did, but I don't recall the  
 2 words.  
 3 Q. Sitting here today, just bottom line impression  
 4 do you remember any words that were in your estimation  
 5 threatening words that were mentioned?  
 6 A. What I remember very specifically was a grown  
 7 woman, a mother of two children who called me late on a  
 8 Saturday evening fearful of her life, very threatened by  
 9 a lady that she perceived invaded her personal space,  
 10 appeared to be very angry with her in the way she glared  
 11 at her and looked at her according to Ms. Hamilton. And  
 12 not having been there, all I can share with you is what  
 13 Ms. Hamilton shared with me. And that's basically the  
 14 extent of it.

15 Q. Did you ask Ms. Hamilton whether she had  
 16 complained or apprised anybody during the work week of  
 17 her concerns?

18 A. I may have. I don't recall.

19 Q. Did you ask her whether or not she had contacted  
 20 any law enforcement prior to calling you?

21 A. We may have had a conversation about that. I  
 22 don't recall.

23 Q. Do you know how she happened to have your home  
 24 phone number?

25 A. It's listed. Every employee is given my home

Page 46

Page 48

1 threat that had been made to her by Ms. Larkins?  
 2 A. What she communicated to me was how she felt  
 3 when Ms. Larkins was next to her. In terms of a specific  
 4 threat, I don't recollect any particular language where  
 5 she further articulated other than what I shared with you.

6 Q. Was it clear to you from her verbal  
 7 communication during that telephone conversation that Ms.  
 8 Larkins had in fact not touched her?

9 A. To the best of my recollection I don't recall  
 10 hearing her say that she had touched her, no.

11 Q. Was it clear to you as a result of that  
 12 telephone conversation with Ms. Hamilton that Ms. Larkins  
 13 had not had any kind of object in her hand?

14 A. No. I was not aware of that. I don't recall  
 15 discussing any object.

16 Q. So you had no reason then to believe that there  
 17 was any kind of object in Ms. Larkins' hand that she was  
 18 threatening Ms. Hamilton with?

19 A. I don't know that.

20 Q. You don't know one way or the other?

21 A. I listened to what she said, and I don't recall  
 22 any object being mentioned at all.

23 Q. All right. At that time, irrespective of  
 24 whether or not you can now recall, did Ms. Hamilton  
 25 relate to you any words that were spoken by Ms. Larkins?

1 phone number.

2 Q. Is it unusual for you to get after-hour phone  
 3 calls from employees?

4 A. Highly.

5 Q. Otherwise you might not give out your phone  
 6 number so easily?

7 A. I get many after-hour calls, primarily from  
 8 parents. It's highly unusual to get a phone call from a  
 9 teacher. On the weekends or during the work week in the  
 10 evenings I get frequent calls from administrators.  
 11 That's not unusual.

12 Q. Okay.

13 A. But from a classroom teacher, it's highly  
 14 unusual.

15 Q. Did you know anything about Ms. Hamilton prior  
 16 to the time that she made this phone call to your  
 17 residence?

18 A. Not any more than, with the exception of the  
 19 teachers that served on the interview committee, and I  
 20 don't recall every one of them. I know two or three of  
 21 them. But I didn't know her any more or less than any  
 22 other staff number.

23 Q. About how many school teachers does Chula Vista  
 24 Elementary School District employ?

25 A. We have almost 4,000 employees. We have about

Page 47

Page 49

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

1 13- to 1400 teachers that are full contracted. We have  
 2 about 3- to 400 what we reference as short-term at will  
 3 employees. And the rest are subs, classified, and  
 4 administrators.

5 Q. So it would be fair to say that it would be  
 6 virtually impossible for you to know every single teacher  
 7 intimately?

8 A. (Witness nods head.)

9 Q. Would that be fair to say?

10 A. I don't know any teacher intimately.

11 Q. Maybe that was a poor choice of words on my  
 12 part.

13 A. With the exception of my twin sister who is a  
 14 teacher. I know my sister well. I do know some of the  
 15 teachers at Castle Park who served on the interview  
 16 committee. We put a lot of time in together. And so,  
 17 there is one in particular I would say we had a fairly  
 18 close relationship because she's what's called the  
 19 liaison with the committee and my office.

20 Q. Which one is that?

21 A. And I want to -- her name is Kathy, and Maura  
 22 she lives on a boat. That's all I recall. I don't  
 23 recall what her last name is. But she was the liaison  
 24 with the committee. And there's maybe two or three other  
 25 teachers and parents that were on the committee that I

1 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Argumentative.  
 2 THE WITNESS: Counselor, in almost 25 years of  
 3 doing personnel work I would absolutely say it is highly  
 4 common having dealt with dozens and dozens of cases where  
 5 people feel threatened or upset about an issue that  
 6 happened that they wait not only 24 hours, but some wait  
 7 weeks, months, and years before they come forward with  
 8 what is perceived to them as very upsetting and very  
 9 threatening. So it's, I didn't find it uncommon or  
 10 overdramatic by any means.

11 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

12 Q. And so this woman, Ms. Hamilton literally told  
 13 you in this phone conversation that she felt in danger of  
 14 her life, correct?

15 A. She felt, yes.

16 Q. And did you direct her to any law enforcement  
 17 agency?

18 A. Yes, I did.

19 Q. And did you direct her to some specific law  
 20 enforcement agency?

21 A. What I told her was that we had the school  
 22 resource officers that work with our school district. I  
 23 also referenced that she always had the right to go to  
 24 the police if she ever felt endangered. That I would  
 25 hope that she had the chance to speak with her spouse or

Page 50

Page 52

1 got to know better.

2 Q. When Ms. Hamilton called you at 8:15 on a  
 3 Saturday evening to apprise you of her concerns of  
 4 feeling endangered as a result of something that had  
 5 happened during the previous work week, did it give you  
 6 cause for concern that it was Saturday evening, that it  
 7 was some time after whatever had happened had happened,  
 8 and that she might be overdramatizing?

9 A. Never. That was never my perception.

10 Q. So getting called at 8:15 on a Saturday night  
 11 with something that had happened at least 24 hours prior  
 12 thereto, if not more than that. Since I take it the  
 13 school workday on a Friday must end by 5:00 o'clock.  
 14 Would that be an accurate statement?

15 A. Most teachers workday ends between 3:00 and  
 16 4:00.

17 Q. So this event would have happened more than 24  
 18 hours previous thereto. You have somebody calling you  
 19 who was not touched by another person, did not report to  
 20 you that there was any kind of object in the person's  
 21 hand that could conceivably be used as a weapon, did not  
 22 report to you that you can recall right now that she had  
 23 reported this event to anyone else, and did that not give  
 24 you pause to think that maybe there was  
 25 overdramatization going on by this person?

1 family. And that I would be immediately sharing it with  
 2 her principal and recommending that our SROs, our school  
 3 resource officers would become involved.

4 Q. And on how many separate occasions during your  
 5 25 years of experience, Mr. Werlin, has somebody called  
 6 you at home on a weekend and told you that they felt  
 7 their life had been threatened?

8 A. Perhaps two or three times.

9 Q. In 25 years?

10 A. Um-hmm.

11 Q. Is that a yes?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And in any of those two or three times when you  
 14 were called at home on a weekend with somebody raising a  
 15 concern about their life being threatened did any of  
 16 those occasions involve some sort of object that could be  
 17 used as a weapon as told to you?

18 A. I don't recollect. I don't recall specifically.

19 Q. Were any of those while you were teaching --  
 20 strike that. Were any of those while you were acting as  
 21 assistant superintendent in Chula Vista?

22 A. Not to the best of my knowledge.

23 Q. And other than being called at home about a  
 24 purported life-threatening situation on the weekend, how  
 25 many other incidents have you been involved in in your 25

Page 51

Page 53

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

1 years of experience where there were allegations of  
 2 threat to life?  
 3 A. Other than?  
 4 Q. Well, my first question to you as I phrased it a  
 5 few questions back was how many times other than this one  
 6 time had you been called on the weekend by a person  
 7 concerned about their life being threatened. Now my  
 8 question is, since I limited it to weekends, excluding  
 9 the ones we've already discussed how many other times  
 10 have you been called in your 25 years of experience with  
 11 an employee claiming that they felt they had been  
 12 threatened?

13 A. If I were to include employees who threatened  
 14 their own lives probably about a half a dozen.

15 Q. Let's exclude employees who threatened their own  
 16 life.

17 A. Probably the same number that I gave you, three,  
 18 approximately three.

19 Q. Were those all employees as opposed to perhaps  
 20 students or parents?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. So you've gotten calls which are basically  
 23 suicide kinds of calls?

24 A. Separate from the approximately three that I  
 25 mentioned, yes.

1 remember the exact time and date.

2 Q. And what happened next?

3 A. I shared with Dr. Donndelinger my concerns for  
 4 the teacher.

5 Q. Was this in a telephone conversation?

6 A. Yes. Followed up in person. And shared with  
 7 her that in any situation of this magnitude it would not  
 8 be uncommon to place the employee on administrative leave  
 9 pending a review of the situation.

10 Q. Now, when you say you expressed concern for the  
 11 teacher, which teacher are you speaking of?

12 A. Ms. Hamilton.

13 Q. And when you referred to the fact that it would  
 14 not be uncommon to place an employee on administrative  
 15 leave, who are you referencing?

16 A. Any employee that may have had an allegation  
 17 made against them of this magnitude.

18 Q. And in this situation you were referencing then  
 19 Ms. Larkins?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And did you make any recommendation to Dr.  
 22 Donndelinger about actually placing Ms. Larkins on  
 23 administrative leave?

24 A. No. Because she doesn't have the authority to  
 25 do so.

Page 54

Page 56

1 Q. If I don't get out of this classroom I'm going  
 2 to kill myself kind of calls?

3 A. No, no. We have people who for many years had  
 4 said they were going to kill themselves and no one ever  
 5 believed them, and we always took them seriously. They  
 6 were very serious calls.

7 Q. And what, if any, immediate step did you take  
 8 with respect to this 8:15 p.m. Saturday night phone call?

9 A. I immediately attempted to make contact with Dr.  
 10 Donndelinger. Left a message. To the best of my  
 11 knowledge I could not get ahold of her right away. And  
 12 also left a message to make sure that she involved our  
 13 school resource officer, SRO, which is our partnership  
 14 with the Chula Vista Police Department.

15 Q. So the SROs are Chula Vista Police Department  
 16 police officers who are assigned to be an officer for one  
 17 or more of the elementary schools; is that correct?

18 A. We actually pay for half of their salary. So  
 19 they're a city employee, but really are more than just  
 20 partners. We pay for half of their salary.

21 Q. And what was your next contact concerning this  
 22 matter after leaving a phone call message for Dr.  
 23 Donndelinger?

24 A. I don't recollect exactly what it was. It was  
 25 to the best of my knowledge Sunday or Monday, but I don't

1 Q. Who has the authority?

2 A. I do, and any cabinet member.

3 Q. And did you during any of these conversations,  
 4 telephone or face-to-face, following the Saturday evening  
 5 make any recommendation about getting any kinds of  
 6 written statements or investigating the matter?

7 A. I don't recall the specifics behind it, but we  
 8 had a number of conversations with Ms. Hamilton and with  
 9 the principal, but I don't recall the specifics.

10 Q. And what, if anything, was the result of the  
 11 conversations with Ms. Hamilton and the principal?

12 A. Well, there were no witnesses to the alleged  
 13 incident. However, given the concerns that were  
 14 expressed to us before regarding the allegations that Ms.  
 15 Larkins had problems getting along with other employees  
 16 over a relatively long period of time, and the fact that  
 17 we felt that it was important to take the time to look at  
 18 the situation closely because the teacher was very  
 19 alarmed and did feel very threatened, that we would take  
 20 the necessary steps to place Ms. Larkins on  
 21 administrative leave pending further review of the  
 22 situation.

23 Q. Is that paid administrative leave?

24 A. Yes, it is.

25 Q. And who is the we who made that decision?

Page 55

Page 57

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

1 A. Cabinet. I make it as a representative of  
2 cabinet, but always talking with cabinet first.

3 Q. So it would be fair to say that you were the  
4 primary person who made the decision?

5 A. Generally the superintendent for human resources  
6 makes the final decision on administrative leaves.

7 Q. Prior to reaching the decision to place Ms.  
8 Larkins on paid administrative leave had you spoken to  
9 anybody other than Ms. Hamilton and Dr. Donndelinger?

10 A. I don't recall.

11 Q. Did you obtain any written statements from  
12 anybody?

13 A. I don't recall receiving any written statements.

14 Q. Did the SRO or more than one SRO investigate the  
15 matter prior to placing Ms. Larkins on paid  
16 administrative leave?

17 A. There was no need to have a report or an  
18 investigation from an SRO prior to making that decision.  
19 We are the employer, and we reserve the right, the  
20 authority and ability to place anyone on administrative  
21 leave with pay.

22 Q. I know you intended to answer my question but  
23 you haven't. My question simply was whether or not you  
24 had any kind of written report, not whether or not you  
25 were required to obtain one.

1 communication from Ms. Larkins after this event took  
2 place.

3 Q. And what was the communication about?

4 A. It's very difficult to recollect totally. It  
5 was a rambling, something dealing with a personal issue  
6 that I read the first sentence or two and then  
7 communicated verbally with Ms. Larkins that not only was  
8 I not associating any of her personal legal issues with  
9 this incident, but I didn't have any interest in it nor  
10 had I read the full document. She was alleging in a  
11 search for wanting to know why all of this was happening,  
12 was it perhaps somebody had told me something about a  
13 personal situation involving, I believe, a family member.  
14 And I had no idea what she was talking about, nor did I  
15 see a nexus, nor would I validate that.

16 Q. She didn't leave you with a document, she just  
17 asked you to read it?

18 A. No. I received a document.

19 Q. And did you retain that document?

20 A. To the best of my knowledge I believe I did.

21 Q. And does that document have some sort of name  
22 that we can identify it by?

23 A. I haven't named it.

24 Q. Where did you maintain that document?

25 A. It will be in the files in the human resources

Page 58

Page 60

1 A. Could you repeat the question, please?

2 Q. Surely. Did you have any kind of written report  
3 from any SRO concerning any allegations leveled against  
4 Ms. Larkins prior to reaching a decision to place her on  
5 administrative leave?

6 A. I don't recollect whether there was a written  
7 report before or after or any written report. I don't  
8 recollect that.

9 Q. Did you speak with any SRO concerning the  
10 allegations leveled against Ms. Larkins prior to making  
11 the final decision to place her on administrative leave?

12 A. I don't recollect the time line.

13 Q. Prior to placing Ms. Larkins on administrative  
14 leave did you find out whether or not Ms. Hamilton had  
15 contacted anybody in law enforcement?

16 A. I don't recollect.

17 Q. Did you have any information about Ms. Larkins  
18 prior to reaching the decision to place her on  
19 administrative leave that you haven't already testified  
20 about here today?

21 A. Not to the best of my knowledge.

22 Q. Did there come some time when you became aware  
23 that Ms. Larkins had been involved in some sort of matter  
24 involving an estate of a family member?

25 A. I remember getting a very, very bizarre

1 office to the best of my knowledge. I have not seen or  
2 looked at that document since I received it.

3 Q. And how was it that you received it from Ms.  
4 Larkins, whatever it is?

5 A. I have no idea. I don't recollect.

6 Q. Was there a cover letter from her?

7 A. It was in a letter format I believe.

8 Q. Okay. So it wasn't a report of some kind?

9 A. I don't know. It was something that Ms. Larkins  
10 constructed.

11 Q. And it was something that happened outside her  
12 work place environment which apparently, to your  
13 understanding Ms. Larkins had felt impacted your decision  
14 to place her on administrative leave?

15 A. I don't know that.

16 Q. You don't know that. But in your mind whatever  
17 it was it had nothing to do with what was going on  
18 between Ms. Larkins and the school district with respect  
19 to her teaching status?

20 A. It was part of her personal life. It had no  
21 impact or bearing at all.

22 Q. At any time did any employee of the Chula Vista  
23 Elementary School District make you aware of any  
24 incidents in Ms. Larkins' personal life which whether  
25 they were relevant or not to what was going on with the

Page 59

Page 61

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

1 school district that employee thought you ought to know?  
 2 A. I'm not aware of any, nor did I solicit any.  
 3 Q. Did you ever become aware that there was any  
 4 kind of dispute between Ms. Larkins and her brother  
 5 regarding the estate of a deceased parent?  
 6 A. I have no recollection. Not only do I not have  
 7 any recollection, I don't know anything about that. That  
 8 may have been in that document, but I dismissed it  
 9 immediately, had no relevance to what her role is or my  
 10 role is as a public school employee.  
 11 Q. All right. Then let's get back to this process  
 12 then of placing Ms. Larkins on administrative leave. How  
 13 did that come about in terms of once you've reached the  
 14 decision, what did you do next?  
 15 A. Basically, what we did was have an opportunity  
 16 to speak with Ms. Hamilton and the principal, and also I  
 17 began talking with other employees who volunteered  
 18 information about concerns that they had had with Maura.  
 19 Q. Is this before or after you reached the decision  
 20 to place Ms. Larkins on administrative leave?  
 21 A. After.  
 22 Q. And was it after Ms. Larkins had been notified  
 23 she was being placed on administrative leave?  
 24 A. I believe so, yes.  
 25 Q. And how was she notified that she was being

1 Code statutes that you comply with respect to  
 2 administrative leave?  
 3 A. Yes.  
 4 Q. And which statutes are those?  
 5 A. I would have to research what they are. But the  
 6 bottom line on administrative leave is as long as it's  
 7 with pay, the district has the right and reserves the  
 8 right to do that. And to answer your question about  
 9 process, there are no limitations to the best of my  
 10 knowledge in placing someone on administrative leave with  
 11 pay as long as they are being paid their daily rate of  
 12 pay. If they are not, they're on administrative leave  
 13 without pay, then there's both Ed Code and other  
 14 responsibilities involved.  
 15 Q. Has the district -- strike that. Had the  
 16 district prior to the time that Ms. Larkins was placed on  
 17 paid administrative leave adopted any kind of process for  
 18 placing somebody on paid administrative leave who was in  
 19 a teaching position?  
 20 A. It's, there was a process in place. It's  
 21 discussed by cabinet. A representative of cabinet, a  
 22 representative of the superintendent or the  
 23 superintendent has the authority to place anyone on  
 24 administrative leave. And I don't have the exact board  
 25 policy that would reference that. But it would be very

Page 62

Page 64

1 placed on administrative leave?  
 2 A. I don't recall. I would assume that she  
 3 received a letter from my office that would have informed  
 4 her of that. But it may have just been a verbal contact.  
 5 I don't recall. I would have to check.  
 6 Q. Does the district have a procedure for placing a  
 7 person on administrative leave?  
 8 A. Yes. Generally we work with the teacher  
 9 organization. And at that time Maura, despite the fact  
 10 that she wavered back and forth whether she was going to  
 11 use a representative or not, at that time she was. And  
 12 our process is to work in combination with the teacher  
 13 organization or the classified. And so there was a  
 14 number of verbal communications. Generally there is also  
 15 written communication, but that may not always take  
 16 place. But in this particular case we worked closely  
 17 with Gina Boyd, who was president of and is president of  
 18 the Chula Vista Educators.  
 19 Q. Is there a collective bargaining memo of  
 20 understanding, whatever you would like to refer to it as,  
 21 that describes the procedure for placing teachers on  
 22 administrative leave?  
 23 A. No. It's outside of the collective bargaining  
 24 agreement.  
 25 Q. So what about statutes, do you have statutes, Ed

1 clearly outlined in both board policy and also the  
 2 superintendent's contract.  
 3 Q. Okay. So there is a written board policy?  
 4 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.  
 5 Q. That was a, yes, correct?  
 6 A. Yes.  
 7 Q. So Ms. Larkins was placed on paid administrative  
 8 leave. Was that then pending investigation and  
 9 validations?  
 10 A. No. It was to give us an opportunity to review  
 11 the situation and to determine whether or not we felt it  
 12 was all right for her to return to work.  
 13 Q. When you spoke to Ms. Hamilton after Ms. Larkins  
 14 had been placed on administrative leave did she give you  
 15 any more facts as to what had occurred?  
 16 A. To the best of my knowledge nothing more than  
 17 what I shared with you.  
 18 Q. Now, you mentioned that you had begun speaking  
 19 to other employees who volunteered their concerns?  
 20 A. Yes.  
 21 Q. You used the word volunteered. How did that  
 22 come about?  
 23 A. They volunteered information.  
 24 Q. Did you contact every teacher at Castle Park  
 25 Elementary School --

Page 63

Page 65

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

1 A. No.  
 2 Q. -- to find out if any of those people had  
 3 information?  
 4 A. No. We limited it to people who expressed an  
 5 interest in visiting with me --  
 6 Q. And how --  
 7 A. -- and had a concern.  
 8 Q. How did those people find out that you had any  
 9 interest in visiting with them?  
 10 A. I have --  
 11 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Calls for speculation.  
 12 THE WITNESS: I have no idea.  
 13 BY MS. SCHULMAN:  
 14 Q. Did you use Dr. Donndelinger as a liaison?  
 15 A. No. I didn't use Dr. Donndelinger in any way.  
 16 Q. And who are these employees who volunteered to  
 17 discuss their concerns with you?  
 18 A. I don't remember everyone. But Linda Watson.  
 19 Rick Denmon. There was another gentleman, his name  
 20 escapes me, but he's relatively new. He had about five  
 21 or six years experience in the district. I don't recall  
 22 his name, but they're in the documents.  
 23 Q. Mr. Smith, Al Smith?  
 24 A. Perhaps, it may be Al. There were a number of  
 25 others.

Page 66

Page 68

1 Q. About how many all together?  
 2 A. I don't recall.  
 3 Q. And when you say they're in the documents, what  
 4 documents are you referencing?  
 5 A. There was a report that was shared with Ms.  
 6 Larkins in terms of concerns that were expressed  
 7 regarding her.  
 8 Q. Is that a November 2001 report?  
 9 A. I don't recall when. It may have been.  
 10 Q. Without referencing that document do you have  
 11 any independent recollection as to the concerns that were  
 12 raised by each of these people?  
 13 A. Yes. There was a consistent pattern.  
 14 Q. And do you remember what each person said?  
 15 A. No.  
 16 Q. And what was the consistent pattern?  
 17 A. People who were frightened and uncomfortable by  
 18 Ms. Larkins' behavior, the way she would approach them  
 19 physically and get in their space. The volume of her  
 20 voice. The glare in which she would look at them. And  
 21 then there were many specific situations. For example,  
 22 Rick Denmon had any number of issues with Maura. But  
 23 Rick was the only one who came forth and said that all  
 24 issues that he had had with Maura, and some of them were  
 25 rather bizarre according to my recollection of what he

Page 67

Page 69

1 shared with me, had been resolved between the two of  
 2 them. Ms. Watson said that issues with Maura had gone  
 3 back 10 or 12 years, but there had been a recent  
 4 situation where both teachers, Ms. Watson and Ms. Larkins  
 5 had taken their children on a swimming trip. And Ms.  
 6 Watson described being cornered in the locker room by Ms.  
 7 Larkins, and Ms. Larkins raising her voice and screaming  
 8 and yelling at her, and being very frightened by her.  
 9 And Ms. Watson has told this story many times and has  
 10 emotionally broken down most of the time when she talks  
 11 about it.  
 12 Q. She has told this story many times to you?  
 13 A. To me, yes.  
 14 Q. How many times?  
 15 A. Several. At least three or four.  
 16 Q. On which occasions, during what time period?  
 17 A. I have no idea. I don't remember the dates and  
 18 times. But she was emotionally distraught by her  
 19 interactions with Maura and had a need to talk about them  
 20 quite a bit.  
 21 Q. And when had this incident happened with the  
 22 swimming trip with the children?  
 23 A. I don't recall.  
 24 Q. And what did Ms. Watson say and what did Ms.  
 25 Hamilton -- I'm sorry, did Ms. Larkins say?

1 A. I would have no further information other than  
 2 what I shared with you already.  
 3 Q. And is this set forth in your report?  
 4 A. Yes.  
 5 Q. And this is an incident that occurred after  
 6 school hours I take it?  
 7 A. No.  
 8 Q. This was during school hours?  
 9 A. Yes. And we're not referring to one incident.  
 10 We're referring to 10 or 12 years of a multitude of  
 11 experiences that were adverse in the perception of Ms.  
 12 Watson.  
 13 Q. Well, for the moment I was referring to the  
 14 swimming trip with the children. Was that something that  
 15 happened as part of a participation in a school activity?  
 16 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. It was during  
 17 the workday and the student day.  
 18 Q. Okay. And this involved, when you said with the  
 19 kids, did you mean the students as opposed to their own  
 20 personal children?  
 21 A. There's nothing personal about their families.  
 22 This is, when I refer to children in this incident  
 23 between Ms. Watson and Ms. Larkins it's Ms. Watson's  
 24 students.  
 25 Q. I see. All right. That's I think where we were

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

1 maybe on a different wavelength here.

2 A. Okay.

3 Q. All right. So this was a swimming trip that was  
4 some trip that was sponsored by the school where the  
5 teachers took their students with them, and there was  
6 this confrontation, again I'll use that word, in the  
7 locker room between these two women?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And was it that particular recent incident that  
10 Ms. Watson broke down about when she spoke to you about  
11 three or four times, or the whole series over 10 to 12  
12 years?

13 A. Can you repeat that question, please?

14 Q. I'll try. You mentioned that Ms. Watson spoke  
15 to you three or four times and each time she spoke to you  
16 she broke down; is that correct?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. Was she speaking only about the swimming trip  
19 during this time that she spoke to you when she broke  
20 down three or four times?

21 A. Initially about the swimming trip.

22 Q. And then subsequently about other things that  
23 had happened in the 10 to 12 years?

24 A. Well, through inquiry. I asked several  
25 questions about any prior experiences, and she referenced

1 I believe it was during the last year that she had an  
2 unexpected, unannounced, unwelcomed visit from Ms.  
3 Larkins at her screen door one evening to discuss the  
4 proceedings between Ms. Larkins and the school district.  
5 This was yet another time where a teacher felt like her  
6 space was violated and all of a sudden Ms. Larkins  
7 appears at her doorstep. And again, it was uninvited, no  
8 prior knowledge, all of a sudden Ms. Larkins appears at  
9 her doorstep.

10 Q. When you say at her doorstep, are you speaking  
11 about at her home?

12 A. At her home. And there's a screen door. I  
13 don't know if it's in the front or back. She said her  
14 only salvation was that her husband was also home. But  
15 it was an extremely uncomfortable situation. And she did  
16 share this with other staff members who were having  
17 problems in their relationship, professional relationship  
18 with Ms. Larkins, and they became even more fearful that  
19 Ms. Larkins may attempt to contact them at their home.

20 Q. And you said that it was reported to you by this  
21 librarian that this visit to her home occurred during the  
22 process of, for want of a better word, the investigation  
23 about what was going on?

24 A. It was during the last year. At what point in  
25 time during all of these proceedings I can't exactly tell

Page 70

Page 72

1 a number of different situations that happened over the  
2 years.

3 Q. And what were those situations?

4 A. I don't recall.

5 Q. Did you make notes?

6 A. I don't know. If I did take notes, they're part  
7 of the record and I have them in my office.

8 Q. All right. And did you take part in the  
9 production of documents that was requested for this  
10 particular procedure?

11 A. Certainly.

12 Q. And did you do a diligent search of all your  
13 documents in order to provide all the records that you  
14 had that were requested?

15 A. Yes. You had asked me a question, and I hadn't  
16 finished answering. I don't know if you want me to go  
17 back.

18 Q. I apologize. I didn't realize I interrupted  
19 you.

20 A. You had asked me who all these people were.  
21 There is a librarian who's shared at Castle Park and  
22 another school. And I'm sorry, I think it's Sobering,  
23 but I'm not sure. But part-time librarian at Castle  
24 Park. And not only her perception, but very much my  
25 perception is that she was most uncomfortable during, and

1 you, but it was sometime over the, during the last year.  
2 From this date, from September 4th, I believe it was at  
3 some point in time during the last year.

4 Q. But it was at some time subsequent to the time  
5 that Ms. Larkins had been placed on this paid  
6 administrative leave; is that correct?

7 A. Subsequent to it, yes.

8 Q. And do you know of any district rule or contract  
9 rule or statute that prevents somebody who is essentially  
10 defending themselves as a school teacher as to  
11 allegations that had been raised from trying to speak to  
12 people about it, about what their allegations are?

13 A. School rule, law, or statute?

14 Q. Yes.

15 A. No. No, I'm not aware of any.

16 Q. And other than the fact that she, she being the  
17 librarian, felt uncomfortable with this visit to her home  
18 to discuss the proceedings and shared this feeling about  
19 being uncomfortable with others involved, did she tell  
20 you that there had been any threats that had been made?

21 A. There is correspondence between Maura, from  
22 Maura I believe to this librarian as to Maura's  
23 perception of the proceedings. And to the best of my  
24 recollection it was, appeared to be an attempt from Maura  
25 to try to get this librarian to side with her. And the

Page 71

Page 73

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

1 librarian's perception was that this visit was an attempt  
 2 to get this librarian to side with her during these  
 3 proceedings.

4 Q. And these proceedings would be part of due  
 5 process proceedings, correct?

6 A. All of the proceedings that are going on, yes.

7 Q. Okay. All right. And so based upon your  
 8 perception of this for whatever reason Ms. Larkins felt  
 9 that perhaps this librarian could actually in some  
 10 fashion be supportive of her, and so she went to her home  
 11 to discuss it with her?

12 A. She went to her home in the evening unannounced,  
 13 unwelcomed, and uninvited and this is what promoted an  
 14 atmosphere of high uncomfortability level. This  
 15 librarian was most uncomfortable. There had been a prior  
 16 situation in the library. I don't recollect all the  
 17 information regarding that, but there were some concerns.  
 18 And the fact that without a prior call, regardless of the  
 19 statute, the common courtesy to call someone if you're  
 20 going to visit them in the evening without any prior  
 21 knowledge, it did make her feel extremely uncomfortable.

22 Q. But sitting here today you're not aware of any  
 23 kind of rule or statute or contract provision which would  
 24 have precluded such a, as you have described it,  
 25 uninvited, unannounced evening visit at one's home?

1 all the situation that was going on, and the perceived  
 2 relationship between many of the employees at that school  
 3 and Maura at that time, that Maura would appear at the  
 4 doorstep of this librarian. I think the motive was  
 5 questionable. The fact that she was not invited, was not  
 6 welcomed, was not asked to be there, and there was no  
 7 prior announcement I thought was highly inappropriate.

8 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

9 Q. That's your personal opinion?

10 A. That is my personal and professional opinion.

11 Q. Do you recall whether or not the situation  
 12 between this librarian and Ms. Larkins had something to  
 13 do with the librarian failing to schedule Ms. Larkins'  
 14 bilingual class for a library session?

15 A. I don't recall the details.

16 Q. And did you include this particular incident in  
 17 your report that you referenced?

18 A. To the best of my knowledge I did, but I don't  
 19 recall.

20 Q. And how do you know that the librarian then  
 21 shared Ms. Larkins' visit to her home with other people?

22 A. Some of the staff members came to me very upset,  
 23 what are you going to do about this, we're concerned that  
 24 she's going to come visit us, we don't want anything to  
 25 do with her, we don't want any further interaction with

Page 74

Page 76

1 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Asked and answered.  
 2 You can answer again.

3 THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of any statute that  
 4 would prohibit it.

5 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

6 Q. So from your point of view would it be a fair  
 7 statement that from where you sit if you're going to go  
 8 visit somebody in the evening to try to solicit them as a  
 9 benefit to your position in a due process procedure, they  
 10 should at least call them first and make some arrangement  
 11 to do that?

12 MR. BRESEE: Objection. It's argumentative and  
 13 misstates his testimony.

14 MS. SCHULMAN: You can answer the question.

15 THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer the  
 16 question?

17 MR. BRESEE: Yes.

18 THE WITNESS: I don't believe that that's what I  
 19 said. I believe that there's a lot of detail to that  
 20 situation. I don't recollect all of the detail. But  
 21 this is a person who had some history with Maura. I  
 22 don't recollect all the details. But as the assistant  
 23 superintendent for human resources, a person who is  
 24 responsible to make decisions in a district and to assess  
 25 situations, I thought it was highly unprofessional given

1 her, she's already talked about a possible lawsuit naming  
 2 us. And they were very concerned. These are people that  
 3 are not generally speaking part of lawsuits or  
 4 interactions with legal counsel. So already their level  
 5 of concern was escalated. And I didn't know that the  
 6 librarian had shared that with them until they brought it  
 7 to my attention.

8 Q. How many people brought it to your attention?

9 A. I don't recall. I believe at least two or  
 10 three.

11 Q. And what, if anything, did you tell them?

12 A. Well, No. I, I wasn't in a position to share  
 13 anything with them until I spoke with the librarian and  
 14 made sure that she was comfortable being, having this  
 15 discussed. Because it directly involved her, and I was  
 16 not aware that they had had the discussion. This was  
 17 what they had shared with me. So I spoke with her, and  
 18 she was very comfortable having them involved and engaged  
 19 in a conversation, and did express to me her concerns.

20 Q. Had you known about this incident directly from  
 21 the librarian before the other people came to you to  
 22 express their concerns?

23 A. I don't recall the sequence of events. I  
 24 believe that I did, but I really, I don't recall. I  
 25 don't know that I had a conversation with the librarian.

Page 75

Page 77

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

1 I may have gotten a phone message, but I, again, don't  
 2 recall the sequence of events.

3 Q. Are there any other people who expressed  
 4 concerns about Ms. Larkins during this 2000-2001 school  
 5 year that you haven't already mentioned either by  
 6 referencing by name or by some other generalization if  
 7 you can't recall the name?

8 A. I can't make a definitive statement. There  
 9 could very well be others. There are certainly others  
 10 that have come forward since that time.

11 Q. Did Mr. Denmon tell you how he and Ms. Larkins  
 12 had resolved their differences?

13 A. He may have given me some detail. To the best  
 14 of my knowledge it was basically through dialogue.

15 Q. And you had characterized the information that  
 16 Mr. Denmon gave you about the incident or incidents that  
 17 had occurred between him and Ms. Larkins as bizarre. Do  
 18 you recall that testimony?

19 A. Yeah, absolutely.

20 Q. And can you recall anything about what it was  
 21 that he said that led you to that conclusion?

22 A. All I know is that he referenced some kind of  
 23 notebook incident. And he didn't get into a lot of  
 24 detail, but there was I think something regarding a  
 25 notebook. But basically he had all along said that he

1 her first and ask her some questions. I attempted to  
 2 reach Ms. Lopez as a return call. It was on a weekend,  
 3 and I was unable to reach her. I called her a number of  
 4 times that Sunday. I finally reached her. But prior to  
 5 reaching her she had left me another message that she had  
 6 gotten another phone call from Ms. Larkins, basically  
 7 with the, with a similar interest, and that she was most  
 8 uncomfortable with these phone calls. She felt that it  
 9 was an uncomfortable situation. I'm not sure that I can,  
 10 that I have enough information that I can describe why  
 11 she felt it was uncomfortable, but she did. And she  
 12 wanted the conversations, phone calls to be stopped.  
 13 Separate from Ms. Lopez there are others.

14 Q. I'm getting there. I'm aware that there are  
 15 multiple, and we'll do them one at a time. And what, if  
 16 any, action did you take?

17 A. We consulted our two attorneys involved in our  
 18 dealings with Ms. Larkins.

19 MS. SCHULMAN: And I take it if I ask further  
 20 questions you would assert the attorney-client privilege;  
 21 is that correct?

22 MR. BRESEE: Yes, I would. Attorney-client  
 23 communications.

24 MS. SCHULMAN: I will move on. I'm going to  
 25 close this door, because I see what time it is, and we

Page 78

Page 80

1 did have some concerns about his professional  
 2 relationship with Maura, but they had resolved them. And  
 3 he was the only one that had shared that.

4 Q. You mentioned a few moments ago that since, and  
 5 I take it the since was the 2000-2001 school year, other  
 6 persons have spoken to you about their concerns?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And who are those people?

9 A. President of our board of education.

10 Q. And what is that person's name?

11 A. Mrs. Bertha Lopez. L-O-P-E-Z.

12 Q. And when did Ms. Lopez first speak to you about  
 13 her concerns?

14 A. I believe that it was the weekend prior to this  
 15 Labor Day weekend.

16 Q. The end of August 2002?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And what did she tell you?

19 A. She called me saying that she had received a  
 20 phone message, and I believe it was on a Saturday  
 21 evening, from Ms. Larkins. And she claimed that the  
 22 message was that Ms. Larkins had planned on amending her  
 23 lawsuit against the district. That she had planned on  
 24 suing all the school board members with the exception at  
 25 this time of Ms. Lopez, and she would like to talk with

1 might have people who are coming down and using the gym  
 2 or going to the dispensing machines for food and drink.

3 MR. BRESEE: Can we go off the record for a  
 4 second?

5 MS. SCHULMAN: Sure.

6 (Recess, 11:30 - 11:34 a.m.)

7 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

8 Q. You had mentioned there were others besides Ms.  
 9 Lopez, but before we move on let me ask you if there's  
 10 anything else that's not privileged communications with  
 11 your attorneys about the Ms. Lopez situation that you  
 12 haven't already told us?

13 A. Well, I shared with you that there were two  
 14 phone calls alleged by Ms. Lopez. And she was very  
 15 uncomfortable, as were the other people that I have  
 16 referenced, because of the variety and the barrage of all.  
 17 the different proceedings. I mean, the school district  
 18 is named in lawsuits. There are grievances. There are  
 19 unfair labor practices. Then there are amendments to all  
 20 of these things. And so it's very uncomfortable when  
 21 someone doesn't know whether or not they should be  
 22 talking with someone or what the person's intent is. And  
 23 when she received the phone call that said I'm amending  
 24 my lawsuit against the district and I'm suing the board  
 25 members, I haven't named you at this time but I'd like to

Page 79

Page 81

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

1 ask you a couple of questions, or I would like to have a  
 2 chance to talk with you or visit with you, the president  
 3 of the board told me that they made her feel very  
 4 uncomfortable and she did not want these phone calls.

5 Q. Okay. And these phone calls were actually phone  
 6 messages that were left on an answering machine?

7 A. To the best of my knowledge they were messages.  
 8 There was no direct contact where they had a  
 9 conversation.

10 Q. Okay. Then you mentioned there have been  
 11 others. Who are the others?

12 A. There have been other situations or instances.  
 13 I don't know that I would recall all of them. One of  
 14 them involved a phone call about six weeks ago that I  
 15 thought was difficult to explain. Maura has been to the  
 16 best of my knowledge told that she's not to have any  
 17 communication with me without her attorney. And yet  
 18 about six weeks ago I get a phone call from one of my  
 19 assistants, a message from one of my assistants in my  
 20 office that Maura called to speak with me. And this was  
 21 about six, seven weeks ago. And I was not sure where  
 22 that was coming from. And then because of the length of  
 23 time with all of the different proceedings and all of the  
 24 different situations where several of our teachers are  
 25 named and then they're not named, they're not used to

1 proceeding doesn't have anything to do with those  
 2 particular complaints that may or may not exist that you  
 3 can't recall?

4 A. I'm sorry, counselor. I absolutely disagree  
 5 with that statement. As the employer of these employees  
 6 I'm having a great deal of difficulty in understanding  
 7 how you can draw a line there. When these employees call  
 8 me on a regular basis and say that they're being named in  
 9 this or named in that, and these are the same employees  
 10 that you are calling for depositions, it's very difficult  
 11 for them to draw the line. They think that the case has  
 12 been dropped against them. And their anxiety level can't  
 13 drop, because now they're being deposed, and they don't  
 14 understand that they're separate entities. They see it  
 15 all as being very connected. And so I understand where  
 16 you're coming from, but please understand that is not at  
 17 all the perception of most of the teachers that are  
 18 involved.

19 Q. Well, have you explained to those teachers whose  
 20 notices of deposition have been provided to your counsel  
 21 that the district in fact initiated an action against Ms.  
 22 Larkins, and that their depositions are being taken with  
 23 respect to that action?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And does the Chula Vista Elementary School

Page 82

Page 84

1 working within the legal parameters. So there's a  
 2 lawsuit against the teachers, and then there's an  
 3 amendment where they're not named. And then there's  
 4 discussion with people that there's going to be another  
 5 amendment. So people are continuously calling and coming  
 6 up to me saying when are we going to be finished with  
 7 this, this is resting heavily on us, this is burdensome.  
 8 So people, their level of anxiety is very, very high and  
 9 they feel very threatened.

10 Q. By the pending lawsuit?

11 A. I think by a multitude of things. There's, the  
 12 lawsuit is only one of many, many, many things going on.  
 13 It's only one of many.

14 Q. Well, let me ask you the question like this, and  
 15 I understand that there have been a number of grievances  
 16 and there's a lawsuit and there is this proceeding. I am  
 17 only involved in representation for this proceeding.  
 18 Aside from the legal battles, if you will, that are going  
 19 on, has there been anybody else who has complained to you  
 20 about something that's not related to legal battles,  
 21 other than these folks who complained to you during the  
 22 2000-2001 school year?

23 A. There may have been. I don't recollect at this  
 24 time.

25 Q. And the subject matter of this particular

1 District disavow the notion of due process as an official  
 2 policy?

3 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Argumentative.

4 THE WITNESS: Did I ever make that statement,  
 5 counselor?

6 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

7 Q. I'm asking you a question.

8 A. I'm answering the question with asking did I  
 9 ever make that statement.

10 Q. No, that's not the question. I asked a simple  
 11 question. To your knowledge does the Chula Vista  
 12 Elementary School District --

13 A. No.

14 Q. -- have a policy that disowns due process?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Okay. So would it be fair to say then that  
 17 there is with those teachers employed at the Chula Vista  
 18 Elementary School District who have been noticed to have  
 19 their depositions taken in this matter a degree of  
 20 hostility, if you will?

21 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Calls for speculation.  
 22 You're asking him to --

23 MS. SCHULMAN: Well, he's been characterizing  
 24 their mental states to me voluntarily during the course  
 25 of this deposition.

Page 83

Page 85

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

1 MR. BRESEE: Same objection. And another  
 2 objection that it misstates the testimony. He's been  
 3 conveying his perceptions. He has not represented how  
 4 other people feel.

5 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

6 Q. I'll rephrase the question. Is it your  
 7 perception then that there is some degree of hostility  
 8 which has been expressed by the teachers whose notices of  
 9 deposition have been served on their counsel towards Ms.  
 10 Larkins?

11 MR. BRESEE: Same objections.

12 THE WITNESS: I've never perceived nor have I  
 13 ever communicated any sense of hostility on anyone's part  
 14 of those parties that I mentioned.

15 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

16 Q. Would it be fair to say these she's not the most  
 17 popular person amongst those people whose notices of  
 18 deposition have been served?

19 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Calls for speculation.

20 THE WITNESS: They have not communicated that to  
 21 me.

22 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

23 Q. But they have communicated to you high states of  
 24 anxiety; is that correct?

25 A. A teacher who is visited in the evening

1 (Exhibit No. 2 marked for identification.)

2 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

3 Q. I have a few questions to ask about it. Let me  
 4 start by asking you whether or not you have actually ever  
 5 before today seen what is the response to request for  
 6 production of documents, which is the first seven pages  
 7 on this lined paper?

8 A. Have I ever seen the first seven pages prior to  
 9 just now?

10 Q. Yes, prior to just now.

11 A. Yes, I have.

12 Q. Did you take part in searching for the requested  
 13 documents?

14 A. One of several people that did, yes.

15 Q. And who else besides you did that?

16 A. Well, the key player would be my administrative  
 17 aide, Lupe L-U-P-E, Loredo, L-O-R-E-D-O. And I have  
 18 approximately 16 classified staff in my office. There  
 19 are times that she'll delegate the research into getting  
 20 a particular document that's dated a certain date or  
 21 pulling a file. So it could have been any number of  
 22 people in the office, but generally Lupe and I do that  
 23 together.

24 Q. Okay. So other than you and your staff, you're  
 25 not aware of other people, for instance other cabinet

Page 86

Page 88

1 unannounced, unwelcomed, and uninvited who understands  
 2 that she may or may not be involved in different  
 3 situations is very uncomfortable. That's what I stated.

4 Q. And a school board member who has two messages  
 5 left saying, gee I'd like to come speak to you, is it  
 6 okay, is also somebody who is uncomfortable, correct?

7 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Calls for speculation.  
 8 It's argumentative. It blatantly misstates his  
 9 testimony. Go ahead and answer.

10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?

11 MS. SCHULMAN: I'll move on. I think my point  
 12 has been made here.

13 MR. BRESEE: It's lost on me, but I think it's a  
 14 good idea to move on.

15 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

16 Q. The point is really obvious. Is there anybody  
 17 else who has -- strike that. We've gone over that ground  
 18 already. I'm going to give you in its entirety a  
 19 response to request for production propounded to the  
 20 Chula Vista Elementary School District by respondent, Set  
 21 No. 1, with the response and the documents attached. I'm  
 22 going to ask this be marked as Exhibit 2, and ask that  
 23 you just simply peruse that. I don't really expect you  
 24 to read it thoroughly. I have another copy here.

25 A. Thank you.

1 members or the superintendent or principal or anyone like  
 2 that?

3 A. No, I'm not aware.

4 Q. And the documents that are attached, are they  
 5 then all the documents that are responsive to these  
 6 requests?

7 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.

8 Q. Do we have that report that you referenced  
 9 earlier attached here?

10 A. I'm sorry?

11 Q. You said that there was a report that you had  
 12 which reported what each of these people had stated.

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Is that attached?

15 A. October 4th, yes. It's Page 8 I believe.

16 Q. Page 8 of?

17 A. The document that you shared with me has a No. 8  
 18 on the bottom I believe.

19 MR. BRESEE: It's not the eighth page.

20 THE WITNESS: It's maybe about the 15th or 20th  
 21 page.

22 MR. BRESEE: It's the sixth page of the attached  
 23 documents, or am I --

24 THE WITNESS: Of the attached.

25 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

Page 87

Page 89

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

1 Q. It's entitled, interim summary?  
 2 A. Yes, that's correct.  
 3 Q. And was there a final summary as opposed to the  
 4 interim summary?  
 5 A. No.  
 6 Q. So this is it?  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 Q. And this is entitled, "Interim summary of  
 9 concerns/allegations against Ms. Maura Larkins by staff  
 10 members at Castle Park Elementary, response to request by  
 11 Maura Larkins, October 4, 2001." Is that correct?  
 12 A. That's correct.  
 13 Q. Why does it say response to request by Maura  
 14 Larkins?  
 15 A. Because Maura wanted to have something in  
 16 writing in terms of what the people were saying, and this  
 17 was an answer to a question that we had received from  
 18 both Ms. Larkins and her then attorney, Pamela Havird.  
 19 Q. And did you use any particular documents in  
 20 assembling this response?  
 21 A. Not to the best of my knowledge. It's basically  
 22 a summary of what was verbally told to me.  
 23 Q. Were there not also individual statements that  
 24 were given to you?  
 25 A. Written statements?

1 Q. And again, you've cited the 2000-2001 school  
 2 year, correct?  
 3 A. That's correct.  
 4 Q. And that's the most specific you can get on the  
 5 date, correct?  
 6 A. Yes.  
 7 Q. And you use the word distraught in this report.  
 8 Was that a conclusion that you reached?  
 9 A. That was my perception of how she was  
 10 communicating to me. She felt very threatened and was  
 11 beside herself.  
 12 Q. Now, you say that she, referring to Ms.  
 13 Hamilton, claimed that conversations with Ms. Larkins  
 14 were very strange and she felt very uncomfortable talking  
 15 with Ms. Larkins.  
 16 A. Yes.  
 17 Q. Did she in fact relate more than one  
 18 conversation to you that she had had with Ms. Larkins?  
 19 A. Apparently she must have, as a result of my  
 20 writing this and seeing this now. But there was one  
 21 primary conversation that prompted this phone call.  
 22 Q. And that was the visit to her home?  
 23 A. No. This is a different person.  
 24 Q. I'm sorry.  
 25 A. She was not visited at her home.

Page 90

Page 92

1 Q. Yeah.  
 2 A. I would have to check. I'm not aware of any  
 3 written statements. There may have been, but if there  
 4 were they should be in here.  
 5 Q. All right. Let's go through this. Now, the Ms.  
 6 Hamilton you were speaking of appears is Jo Ellen  
 7 Hamilton?  
 8 A. That's correct.  
 9 Q. All right. Now, did you yourself write this  
 10 report?  
 11 A. Yes, I did.  
 12 Q. And it consists of two pages that have small  
 13 numbers 8 and 9 on the bottom; is that right?  
 14 A. That's correct.  
 15 Q. All right.  
 16 MR. BRESEE: Just for the record I believe on  
 17 the second page there is a reference to an attached  
 18 written statement which would be the page after that, so  
 19 the complete document is three pages.  
 20 MS. SCHULMAN: All right. So 8, 9 and 10.  
 21 Okay.  
 22 Q. Now, in this summary you mentioned this Saturday  
 23 evening 8:15 phone call. Is that the one that you've  
 24 testified to?  
 25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. I'm getting my people mixed up. And so it was a  
 2 particular conversation that she was concerned about?  
 3 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.  
 4 Q. And is that the conversation you've already told  
 5 us about?  
 6 A. Yes, ma'am.  
 7 Q. All right. And when you state, this was  
 8 reported to the site principal by the assistant  
 9 superintendent for human resources services and support,  
 10 that was you reporting to Principal Donndelinger?  
 11 A. Yes.  
 12 Q. Alan Smith, does this help you recall that that  
 13 was the --  
 14 A. Yes.  
 15 Q. -- name of the man who you recalled before.  
 16 Now, you start by saying Mr. Smith indicated that while  
 17 he had not worked with Ms. Larkins for many years, he  
 18 still had professional concerns with her.  
 19 A. Um-hmm.  
 20 Q. Is that correct?  
 21 A. Yes.  
 22 Q. All right. And how many years had it been since  
 23 Alan Smith had worked with Ms. Larkins to your knowledge?  
 24 A. I really don't know. I think it was under four  
 25 or five years, but it may only have been a couple of

Page 91

Page 93

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

1 years. I really don't know. It was a limited amount of  
 2 time, I know that. As compared to someone like Ms.  
 3 Watson who had a breadth of experience in working with  
 4 Ms. Larkins.

5 Q. And how long had Ms. Hamilton worked in the same  
 6 school as Ms. Larkins, if you know?

7 A. I really don't know. Just a guesstimate, I  
 8 would think she, I think that Ms. Hamilton has been there  
 9 8 or 10 years. But I really don't know for sure.

10 Q. And how long had Ms. Larkins taught at that  
 11 school?

12 A. I don't know. I believe that Maura has shared  
 13 with me that she taught at least at one other school, but  
 14 I don't recall her history.

15 Q. That she, meaning?

16 A. Maura.

17 Q. Maura had taught at least at one other school?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. So you don't know if Ms. Hamilton and Ms.  
 20 Larkins went back 8, 10, or 12 years, or 2 or 4 years,  
 21 correct?

22 A. To the best of my knowledge anything that Ms.  
 23 Hamilton would have referenced to me was recent to her  
 24 phone call to me.

25 Q. Okay. All right. And here you say that Mr.

1 evaluations received by Ms. Larkins there was any concern  
 2 raised about her ability to get along with other people?  
 3 A. I don't recall that.  
 4 Q. Did you ever read any of those?  
 5 A. I don't recall whether I read them or not.  
 6 Q. Would that have been important for you to check  
 7 back to see if there was any record in writing of any  
 8 concerns about getting along with your peers?

9 A. Perhaps.

10 Q. Now going to Rick Denmon, do you know how long  
 11 Rick Denmon and Ms. Larkins were in the same school?  
 12 A. For a number of years, but I don't know. I  
 13 assume minimum of five years, but I really don't know for  
 14 sure.

15 Q. And Mr. Denmon, did he tell you what this prior  
 16 difficulty in his professional relationship with Ms.  
 17 Larkins had been that had been resolved?

18 A. Rick wanted to meet with the other teachers who  
 19 had expressed concerns to show support for those  
 20 teachers, but he really was not interested in his issues  
 21 and concerns really being in the forefront. Because he  
 22 was very up front and said that they had really come to  
 23 closure on them. They had both, he said he confronted  
 24 Maura with his concerns with their professional  
 25 relationship, and that they resolved them.

Page 94

Page 96

1 Smith stated that she, meaning Ms. Larkins, did not speak  
 2 with him in a professional manner on more than one  
 3 occasion. Did he mention any specifics to you that you  
 4 can recall?

5 A. What I'm recalling is that he had a number of  
 6 conversations with her, because they had to work closely  
 7 together, he felt that they were disrespectful, that she  
 8 didn't talk to him in a professional tone of voice and  
 9 manner, and that he was uncomfortable working with her.

10 Q. But nothing that you specifically recall as  
 11 specific instances?

12 A. Beyond that, no.

13 Q. By the way, was Ms. Larkins evaluated from year  
 14 to year?

15 A. As a tenured teacher she would have been  
 16 evaluated every other year unless the principal wanted to  
 17 do her annually.

18 Q. And to your knowledge had any principal ever  
 19 done any kind of annual evaluation on Ms. Larkins?

20 A. I haven't looked at any recently, but I can  
 21 assure you that the practice in our district is that all  
 22 PERBs and temps are evaluated every year, all tenured are  
 23 evaluated every other year.

24 Q. And sitting here today do you have any knowledge  
 25 as to whether or not in any of the every other year

1 Q. Now, Ms. Watson is Linda Watson, correct?  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. And do you know how long Ms. Watson and Ms.  
 4 Larkins had worked in the same school together?

5 A. No, I do not. I know it's for a number of  
 6 years, but I don't know if they worked together in  
 7 another school or not. But I know that they've known  
 8 each other for a number of years.

9 Q. And how do you know that?

10 A. Because Ms. Watson references problems going  
 11 back 10 or 12 years ago.

12 Q. Do you know in any other way?

13 A. I do not, no.

14 Q. Did you ever discuss with Ms. Watson what, if  
 15 anything, she had tried to do about events that had come  
 16 up 10 or 12 years ago?

17 A. I talked with her on a number of occasions. She  
 18 said that she tried to talk with Maura and to share with  
 19 her what her concerns were. And that it normally would  
 20 result in a very stressful situation for her, and she  
 21 just was at a loss. She didn't feel like she was able to  
 22 get anything resolved with her.

23 Q. And Ms. Larkins -- you had spoken to us earlier  
 24 about the locker room incident and the swimming event.  
 25 Does this report contain everything that you can remember

Page 95

Page 97

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

1 about that particular event?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And so sitting here today in reviewing this  
4 report, other than the fact that Ms. Watson claimed that  
5 Ms. Larkins spoke to her with an elevated voice and most  
6 unprofessionally, you can't remember anything else that  
7 might have specifically been said?

8 A. She felt like she had invaded her space and she  
9 felt threatened and was very concerned with Maura yelling  
10 at her and acting unprofessionally in that manner, and  
11 that there were children around who witnessed this.

12 Q. And, I'm sorry, where here does it say that she  
13 invaded her space?

14 A. It does not say that specifically, but that is  
15 very much my recollection of the conversation that I had  
16 with Ms. Watson.

17 Q. So that's an independent recollection on your  
18 part?

19 A. No, I don't believe it is at all. I believe  
20 that it's closely tied in with the statements that are in  
21 that paragraph.

22 Q. You haven't used the phrase in the summary that  
23 you composed, invaded her space, have you?

24 A. No, I have not.

25 Q. And you haven't specifically said here that

1 Q. And with regard to Michelle Leon-Scharmach,  
2 that's an attached statement, correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And we'll get to that in a moment. Going down  
5 to Dr. Donndelinger, and here you say that Dr.  
6 Donndelinger, "has expressed numerous concerns about Ms.  
7 Larkins' inability to be a team player with other  
8 teachers on her grade level. She also has expressed  
9 concerns with Ms. Larkins' behavior that would include  
10 raising her voice with colleagues and her principal and  
11 an unwillingness to work collaboratively with teachers on  
12 her team." That's the total statement?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Do you have any recollection of any specific  
15 instances for any of what Dr. Donndelinger described to  
16 you about Ms. Larkins not being a team player, raising  
17 her voice, not working collaboratively?

18 A. Nothing separate from what I shared with you  
19 already.

20 Q. Then we go to Page 10, you've got the statement  
21 from the librarian, Michelle Leon-Scharmach; is that  
22 correct?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. And this is the librarian who you were  
25 referencing earlier; is that correct?

Page 98

Page 100

1 there were actually students who were in visual or  
2 earshot of whatever this interaction was between Ms.  
3 Watkins, or Ms. Watson, rather and Ms. Larkins, have you?

4 A. Well, I would say that I inferred that by the  
5 statement that she approached her in the locker room  
6 where her students had been swimming.

7 Q. I see.

8 A. I use the phrase, had been swimming, near where  
9 their students had been swimming. My inference was that  
10 children were within earshot.

11 Q. That was your inference, not something she  
12 directly told you?

13 A. No. That was something she shared with me.

14 Q. But you didn't actually write in this report  
15 that the students had either visually or auditorily  
16 heard?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And that's what you meant to convey by the  
19 phrase, approached her in the locker room near where  
20 their students had been swimming?

21 A. That's what I believe I did convey.

22 Q. Is that what you intended to convey?

23 A. That's what I believe I did convey.

24 Q. Is that what you intended to convey?

25 A. Yes.

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. All right. This seems to be a pretty full and  
3 complete statement of the incident that occurred, would  
4 you agree?

5 A. To the best of my knowledge. I wasn't there  
6 during the situation.

7 Q. Okay. And did she, that is the librarian, Ms.  
8 Leon-Scharmach share any further information with you  
9 concerning this matter that is not relayed in her  
10 statement?

11 A. During this specific situation, not that I'm  
12 aware of.

13 Q. And who's house was it that was being gone to at  
14 night?

15 A. Ms. Scharmach's.

16 Q. And when did Ms. Scharmach give you this  
17 particular document that appears as Page 10?

18 A. I assume that it would have been somewhere in  
19 September or October of 2001.

20 Q. And was that before or after the going to the  
21 home incident?

22 A. Long, long before.

23 Q. I see. And did she give you any other kind of  
24 statement following the visit to the home?

25 A. Not written statement, not to the best of my --

Page 99

Page 101

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

1 there may be something in the records, and I would have  
 2 to check the records on that. I don't know. I would  
 3 have to check that.

4 Q. Did you check the records at the time of the  
 5 discovery request?

6 A. Yes, I believe I did. But again, I may have  
 7 omitted unintentionally. But there specifically was  
 8 communication as I entered into my sworn testimony  
 9 regarding Ms. Scharmach's concern about the visit.

10 MS. SCHULMAN: Perhaps this would be a good time  
 11 to take an hour break for lunch. I notice it's about 10  
 12 after 12:00.

13 MR. BRESEE: Okay.

14 MS. SCHULMAN: Off the record.

15 (Lunch recess taken, 12:06 p.m.)

16 AFTERNOON SESSION, WEDNESDAY, 9/4/2002, 1:11 P.M.

17 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

18 Q. You understand you're still under oath?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And I never did ask you this morning, actually,  
 21 if you knew of any reason why you couldn't give your best  
 22 testimony here today, so let me ask you that.

23 A. No. I don't know any reason why I could not.

24 Q. You're feeling okay today?

25 A. Feeling great.

1 A. Not to the best of my knowledge.

2 Q. No. I should have narrowed my question. Maybe  
 3 we need to close this after all.

4 And were there grievances that were filed then  
 5 on behalf of Ms. Larkins?

6 A. Over the past two years there's been at least  
 7 four or five or six grievances. I haven't looked at them  
 8 recently or studied them: I don't know the time lines,  
 9 but there's a multitude of grievances.

10 Q. And I don't want to rehash those grievances.

11 Did there come some time when there was an effort on the  
 12 part of the school district to reassign or transfer Ms.  
 13 Larkins?

14 A. All along there has been. That actually is the,  
 15 was the primary goal and mission of the district.

16 Q. And when did that become the primary goal and  
 17 mission of the district?

18 A. When we received correspondence from Maura's  
 19 physician that she had a release to return to work. And  
 20 that would have been in the summer of 2001, I believe,  
 21 that we received notification from her physician. Our  
 22 intent was to invite her multiple times to sit down and  
 23 talk with us about what was open and finding another  
 24 location separate from Castle Park for her to be  
 25 successful in the district.

Page 102

Page 104

1 Q. Okay. Good. Standard question I usually ask.  
 2 Let's go back to the time now that you have put Ms.  
 3 Larkins out on paid administrative leave, then you  
 4 started talking to all of these people that we've been  
 5 reviewing their statements. Was there anybody else who  
 6 you spoke to whose statement does not appear as part of  
 7 this record that we reviewed this morning right before  
 8 lunch, or who you haven't yet testified about?

9 A. Well, I made reference to the fact that  
 10 throughout this period for the most part Maura was  
 11 represented by Chula Vista Educators. So on at least one  
 12 occasion, but more than one occasion, but at least on one  
 13 we sat down with Maura and shared with her what the  
 14 issues, what many of these issues were that were coming  
 15 up. And she had an opportunity to respond. In fact, one  
 16 of the events she brought her husband, and she also had  
 17 Gina Boyd as her representative.

18 Q. Well, let me stop you. I don't want to be  
 19 impolite, but the thrust of my question was simply to  
 20 find out whether or not there were other people who you  
 21 haven't yet mentioned who during this period when she was  
 22 out on paid administrative leave came to you with issues  
 23 or perceived issues concerning Ms. Larkins' conduct?

24 A. Excuse me. I'm sorry.

25 Q. And I should have --

1 Q. Was there some reason that you deemed she could  
 2 not go back to Castle Park?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. What was that?

5 A. We did not feel it was in the best interest of  
 6 Maura or the other staff members that had expressed  
 7 concerns. We did not feel like the environment was such  
 8 that these teachers felt comfortable nor did we feel that  
 9 Maura was comfortable with these teachers. And we felt  
 10 like she deserved an opportunity for a fresh start where  
 11 people were not aware of the situation that she had been  
 12 through involving these other teachers. And that this  
 13 would be an opportunity for her to have a fresh start and  
 14 set her up for success.

15 Q. Now, you've said a lot. You started talking  
 16 about a physician's release to return to work. Was that  
 17 a requirement of the district?

18 A. If someone is using sick leave, they are out on  
 19 sick leave, they are not as a process returned to work  
 20 until we have a release from their physician. In this  
 21 particular case we received medical documentation from  
 22 Maura's physicians that she was under their care and was  
 23 not able to return to work until X date. Which I would  
 24 believe to be to the best of my knowledge sometime during  
 25 the summer of 2001. But I'm not sure about that, but to

Page 103

Page 105

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

1 the best of my knowledge.

2 Q. So if I understand you correctly the district  
3 policy is that any time any of the employees is using  
4 sick leave and you get a note initially from the doctor  
5 that they're under doctor's care, then they need a  
6 physician's release to come back to work?

7 A. That's correct. Generally, it's any time  
8 they're out a consecutive number, anywhere from three to  
9 five days on. Somebody might have a bad flu and call in.  
10 But generally speaking if there's a prolonged, anytime  
11 after three to five days and we've received a notice from  
12 the physician.

13 Q. That is if somebody had just called in and said,  
14 I'm home sick with the flue and I'll be a couple days,  
15 and then they call back after a couple days and say I'm  
16 still flat on my back, you don't require a doctor's note  
17 then?

18 A. Generally speaking, no.

19 Q. All right. Had there been any time during this  
20 time period prior to the time that Mrs. Larkins provided  
21 a release from her physician that there was any  
22 suggestion or requirement that she undergo a fitness for  
23 duty exam?

24 A. I don't recall the specifics around that. There  
25 may have been some discussion about it, but I really

1 subsequently placed on administrative leave after an  
2 incident that occurred between she and I.

3 Q. And what was that incident?

4 A. Maura was told that she was going to be released  
5 from administrative leave, the first one, but that prior  
6 to starting work she would have to have a meeting that  
7 would be among her principal, her C.V.E. representation,  
8 and my office prior to starting work and returning to  
9 Castle Park.

10 Q. So the plan was for her to return to Castle  
11 Park?

12 A. When I felt that after review of the information  
13 that I had received from the principal and other teachers  
14 that she was not a threat to anyone. I did not believe  
15 that her return to Castle Park would be a threat to  
16 anyone. We had decided that she could return, but prior  
17 to that return she would need to sit down and have a  
18 meeting with her union representation, her principal, and  
19 myself.

20 Q. Okay. So let me see if I get the sequence of  
21 events here. Ms. Larkins was placed on administrative  
22 leave. You spoke to various people who had complaints,  
23 perceived complaints, whatever, concerning Ms. Larkins.  
24 You came to a conclusion that she did not pose an actual  
25 threat if she were to return to the school, but the

Page 106

Page 108

1 don't recall any specifics. She made us aware that she  
2 was under a physician's care, and until we had a release  
3 from the physician we were not aware of what the  
4 circumstances were. All we knew was that she was not  
5 able to return to work. She did not have a release for  
6 fitness for her job to return to Castle Park, so we  
7 waited until we got that.

8 Q. Was there some period of time when she was on  
9 administrative leave and you completed whatever it was  
10 that you were looking into, and then she switched over to  
11 sick leave? Or did she switch over to sick leave while  
12 she was still in the process of undergoing some sort of  
13 investigation?

14 A. Well, it's very complicated. Because there were  
15 two or three major instances that occurred before, so I  
16 can't give a simple answer. Yes, she was on  
17 administrative leave. But it switched to sick leave when  
18 we received documentation that her physician was not  
19 allowing her to return to work due to medical reasons.

20 Q. And do you have any recollection of  
21 approximately how long she was on administrative leave  
22 before she provided a doctor's note?

23 A. No. Because there were a couple of situations.  
24 She was placed on administrative leave pending the  
25 initial call from Ms. Hamilton, and then she was

1 condition of her return was that she first meet with you,  
2 her union rep, and the principal. Is that a fair  
3 summary?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. Okay. All right. And about how long did all of  
6 that take?

7 A. Well, we had spoken, it was at the end of the  
8 week before the incident that occurred between Maura and  
9 I at the school. And she was to wait to hear from us  
10 until we could set up that meeting prior to returning to  
11 Castle Park or to work at all. And we were having  
12 trouble getting her union representatives a time when  
13 they could be available and we could be available. So we  
14 were trying to establish that time line so that we could  
15 conduct that meeting.

16 Q. I am really more interested in just finding out  
17 approximately, are we talking about two days, two weeks,  
18 two months from the time she started the administrative  
19 leave?

20 A. I have no idea. I don't recall without looking  
21 at paperwork. I imagine it was about a month, but I  
22 don't know for sure.

23 Q. Okay. We can check the paperwork out later.  
24 I'd just like to go along with the continuum of the story  
25 here so we understand at least the chronology without the

Page 107

Page 109

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

1 dates.

2 A. Certainly.

3 Q. So there was some time finding, or some problem  
4 finding a mutually convenient time; is that correct?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. And then before this meeting actually convened  
7 there was an incident between you and Ms. Larkins?

8 A. Yes. We made it very clear to Ms. Larkins that  
9 she was going to be able to return to Castle Park and her  
10 assignment, but not before a meeting was convened among  
11 the parties I just mentioned. I received a phone call  
12 that Monday from her principal that she was seen on  
13 campus, and she was not supposed to be there. She was  
14 not working. Supposedly she was getting some papers  
15 together or something. And so we still had not been able  
16 to establish the meeting. So unannounced I came on  
17 Tuesday to make sure that Ms. Larkins was not there, and  
18 she was there without permission. And we had made it  
19 very clear to her that she would be able to return, but  
20 not until a prior meeting was set up. She was in the  
21 office, and I saw her on that Tuesday.

22 Q. She was in the office at Castle Park Elementary  
23 School?

24 A. In the main office outside of the principal's  
25 office.

1 before she returned to school, how was that clearly  
2 conveyed to Ms. Larkins?

3 A. It was communicated to both Ms. Larkins verbally  
4 and through her union. And her union confirmed in a  
5 meeting with us that they were aware that that was the  
6 stipulation. And they were representing her at that  
7 time.

8 Q. Was it your understanding that they ran this  
9 idea or the conveyance of an idea was that she wasn't to  
10 return to work at that site before this meeting, or that  
11 she was barred period from putting a foot on that campus  
12 before the meeting?

13 A. It was from the site, period.

14 Q. From the site, period. Was there any writing to  
15 that effect that you're aware of at that time?

16 A. I don't believe so. We felt that it was  
17 sufficient that we had verbally communicated that both  
18 with Maura and her union representative. And the  
19 executive director of CTA, Mr. Tim O'Neill, confirmed  
20 that that was the understanding.

21 Q. Okay. And so you went over on Tuesday to Castle  
22 Hill, Castle Park rather to see if in fact Ms. Larkins  
23 might be there again on Tuesday?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. Had anybody called you to say, hey, she's here

Page 110

Page 112

1 Q. And did you find out what she was doing there?  
2 A. Her principal had said that she was gathering  
3 together some paperwork or supplies or something. I  
4 don't remember the exact statement, but something along  
5 those lines.

6 Q. So if I understand you, Ms. Larkins was seen on  
7 the Castle Hill campus on the Monday of whatever week  
8 that was, correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And the principal reported this to you, correct?

11 A. Yes, that's correct.

12 Q. And did the principal on Monday say what she  
13 thought Ms. Larkins was doing on the campus then?

14 A. To the best of my knowledge what I remember is  
15 that she thought she was getting some paperwork together  
16 or supplies or something.

17 Q. Is that to your knowledge what Ms. Larkins  
18 conveyed to Dr. Donndelinger?

19 A. To the best of my knowledge. But I really don't  
20 know what she was doing there.

21 Q. She wasn't in the classroom, was she?

22 A. I don't know where she was. My understanding  
23 was she was in the lounge area and the outer office area,  
24 which are connected.

25 Q. And the requirement that this meeting occur

1 again?

2 A. No.

3 Q. So you went over, picked an arbitrary time?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. You found her there?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Then what happened?

8 A. I quietly asked her to leave the main office so  
9 that we could speak directly outside of the office where  
10 there weren't parents and children in the main office.

11 Q. And what happened next?

12 A. I quietly shared with Maura that we had directed  
13 her not to come back to the site until there was a  
14 meeting that was to be held with her union

15 representative, her principal, and myself. And she  
16 immediately started to have erratic behavior. She got  
17 angry, started yelling and screaming at me, started to  
18 glare at me. Ran away, ran back, ran away again. I  
19 quietly said, Maura, I'm trying to have a quiet  
20 conversation with you. She had pencils or pens or  
21 something in her hand, and she was so angry she threw  
22 them down, and they fell near where I was standing. And  
23 she would not stay still. Her behavior was very erratic,  
24 again, yelling and screaming. And at that time it was  
25 very clear to me that she was not acting in a

Page 111

Page 113

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

1 professional manner in which we could conduct a  
2 conversation.

3 Q. Okay. So let me review this so that I'm sure  
4 that I understand it. You found her in the main office  
5 and quietly asked her to leave the main office with you  
6 so you would be away from students, parents, and anybody  
7 else so you could have a private conversation?

8 A. Staff members, yes.

9 Q. Did she do that?

10 A. Yes, she did.

11 Q. Where did the two of you go?

12 A. Right outside of the main office door or about  
13 50 feet from the main office door.

14 Q. Were you then outside the building?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Okay.

17 A. But on the campus.

18 Q. And were you and she talking while you were  
19 walking this 50 feet away?

20 A. No.

21 Q. And about how long did it take you to walk that  
22 50 feet?

23 A. About five seconds.

24 Q. And then did you get to some point where the two  
25 of you stopped?

1 back, and run back to me and run away, run back, and it  
2 was, it was very difficult to follow. Because she was,  
3 it was very, very difficult to follow her. Her behavior  
4 was extremely erratic.

5 Q. Had she walked out the door with you carrying  
6 these pens and pencils?

7 A. I really don't recall looking at her. I knew  
8 that she had something in her hand when I stopped and  
9 talked with her, but I didn't know. You know, I didn't  
10 really pay much attention to it.

11 Q. Now were these pens and pencils in boxes or were  
12 they separate in her hands?

13 A. I really don't know. I can't recall.

14 Q. Did she throw them at the ground, or did they  
15 fall on the ground?

16 A. All I know is that she threw them down, and they  
17 fell to the ground right next to me.

18 Q. But your perception is that she threw them as  
19 opposed to dropping them?

20 A. To the best of my recollection, yes.

21 Q. Now, was she holding anything else at that time  
22 besides the pens and pencils?

23 A. I don't recall. Her behavior was very jerky.

24 Her movements were very abrupt and jerky. They were back  
25 and forth, back and forth. She'd run away, she'd come

Page 114

Page 116

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And what did you say to her and what did she say  
3 to you?

4 A. I shared with her that we had directed her not  
5 to be at the site until further notice, until we had had  
6 an opportunity to meet with her union representative, her  
7 principal, and myself. That we had decided that she  
8 would be able to return to work, but not until this  
9 meeting took place. And she got very angry, raised her  
10 voice, started yelling and screaming at me and very  
11 erratic behavior, almost jumpy and jerky, running back  
12 and forth. Until I called her quietly, please come back  
13 so we could finish our conversation. And there was a  
14 glare in her eyes and there was a behavior that resembled  
15 what other people had shared with me had been their  
16 experiences, but I had personally never experienced that  
17 with her. But it was very reminiscent, reflective of  
18 what others had described her behavior to be.

19 Q. What was she yelling and screaming, what was  
20 she saying?

21 A. You're not listening to me. That's not what was  
22 said. I want to return to work. I want to work. And  
23 she was angry, and she threw her pencils down. And just  
24 the kind of behavior, it was extremely erratic and jerky.  
25 Her body movements were very jerky. She would be running

1 back, she'd run away, she'd come back. So whatever she  
2 was carrying was not, I don't recall what it was. But it  
3 was very clear that her movements were very abrupt.

4 Q. Did she have a handbag with her?

5 A. I have no idea what she had with her.

6 Q. So when you first saw her in this main office  
7 area as far as you know maybe she was gathering pens and  
8 pencils as supplies?

9 A. I don't know. I was rather surprised to see her  
10 given the directive she had received from us, and her  
11 principal had made it clear to her that she wasn't  
12 supposed to be there either. So it was very surprising  
13 to see her there. So I thought the best thing would be  
14 to have, quietly have a conversation away from the  
15 parties there. Staff were very concerned. They did not  
16 expect to see her there. I had shared with staff that we  
17 had been talking to who had concerns that I would let  
18 them know when she would be returning, because people  
19 were still very fearful.

20 Q. Now, you have several times described your voice  
21 as doing something or saying something quietly.

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. So it's your recollection that in walking this  
24 50 feet and seeing Ms. Larkins' actions that you have  
25 described as you perceived them that you never raised

Page 115

Page 117

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

1 your voice?

2 A. That I ever raised my voice?

3 Q. That you never raised your voice this entire  
4 time; is that your recollection?

5 A. It's not only my recollection, I'm 100 percent  
6 confident I never raised my voice.

7 Q. Were there other people who were around who saw  
8 any of this to your knowledge?

9 A. Not to the best of my knowledge. Nor has anyone  
10 ever confronted me or shared with me that they were  
11 witness to this meeting.

12 Q. And how long were the two of you together at  
13 this approximate 50-foot difference span from the front  
14 door?

15 A. Maybe a minute to two minutes.

16 Q. And was it your perception that she threw any of  
17 these pens or pencils at you?

18 A. I know that she threw them down, and they fell  
19 at my feet. Whether or not she threw them at me, I can't  
20 get into her head. I don't know what -- I think it was  
21 part of a very abrupt and jerky movement. And they were  
22 thrown down and they fell at my feet. Whether she was  
23 throwing them at me or not, I don't know.

24 Q. How far apart were the two of you standing when  
25 the pens and pencils ended up on the ground?

1 behavior?

2 A. First she was abrupt and raising her voice and  
3 yelling. And quite frankly whether she had a different  
4 perception or not, that wasn't justification or support  
5 for her behavior. And the way she did her reacting  
6 response, her yelling and her language, her unwillingness  
7 to listen or unwillingness to quietly dialogue, her  
8 unwillingness to stand in one place and have a  
9 professional conversation was very disconcerting.

10 Q. Am I to understand that after this meeting after  
11 two minutes that then there was quieted down time when  
12 the two of you spoke?

13 A. No. We separated.

14 Q. After that, that was it. So when did you come  
15 to understand that she had a different understanding than  
16 yours of what the directive was?

17 A. At some point in time I believe, and I don't  
18 recall the exact timing, I believe she said something,  
19 but she later said something to her union representative.  
20 And she clarified it for both of us very clearly that it  
21 was very clear she was given a directive that she was not  
22 to return to the site until that meeting took place.

23 Q. But before her union representative made that  
24 statement did she indicate to you that she had not  
25 understood that she was barred?

Page 118

Page 120

1 A. Maybe a foot and a half, two feet away from each  
2 other.

3 Q. Were you on grass or cement?

4 A. Sidewalk.

5 Q. And the glaring, what do you mean by glaring?

6 A. Very bizarre behavior. Very bizarre.

7 Q. Well, when you say glaring, could you describe  
8 what you mean by glaring?

9 A. Staring at somebody with a very bizarre look in  
10 her eyes. Just focused, very angrily looking at me. Her  
11 eyes appeared to be glassy and very angry as when someone  
12 glares at you giving you what might be perceived as a  
13 dirty look, or with their eyes glaring. And she was  
14 quite hostile and quite angry.

15 Q. Would it be fair to say that she had a different  
16 understanding than yours of where she was and wasn't  
17 permitted to be?

18 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Calls for speculation.

19 THE WITNESS: At that time I don't know that.

20 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

21 Q. She conveyed to you, did she not, that she did  
22 not understand that she was not supposed to be at that  
23 school at that time?

24 A. After her abrupt behavior, yes, she did.

25 Q. And what do you mean by after her abrupt

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. In other words, she thought she could go to the  
3 site, it's just that she wasn't going to be able to teach  
4 there until this meeting was held?

5 A. That was her understanding.

6 Q. Okay. All right. So, you know, given that  
7 knowledge with 20/20 hindsight did it strike you as being  
8 so bizarre or erratic that she would have been angered by  
9 your appearing and telling her she shouldn't be there?

10 A. Absolutely. Her behavior was unprofessional, it  
11 was bizarre, it was erratic and was unacceptable to the  
12 district.

13 Q. And it was between you and her 50 feet away from  
14 the front door of the office and as far as you know  
15 nobody else heard or saw the two of you in this  
16 discussion?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. Okay.

19 A. And it's the perception of the assistant  
20 superintendent for human resources. It's my  
21 responsibility to make those judgments and to relay that  
22 to the cabinet. And that continues to be my perception  
23 and assessment of the situation.

24 MS. SCHULMAN: Motion to strike. There was no  
25 question pending that response was responsive to.

Page 119

Page 121

1 Q. Now, she didn't give you any problem about  
 2 walking out of the office with you and walking the 50  
 3 feet away from the main door, did she?

4 A. I don't really recall, because I just gave her  
 5 the directive and walked out.

6 Q. And if I understood your testimony correctly as  
 7 a result of this incident between the two of you a  
 8 decision was reached that she would not be permitted to  
 9 return to Castle Park?

10 A. Correct, for that point in time.

11 Q. And whose decision was that?

12 A. It was a collective decision of both myself and  
 13 cabinet.

14 Q. And had the decision to return her to Castle  
 15 Park subsequent to the condition precedent of having the  
 16 meeting that we were speaking of earlier, was that a  
 17 decision that was also a collective decision made by the  
 18 cabinet and including yourself?

19 A. Yes. It was a joint decision.

20 Q. And was the decision now not to return her at  
 21 that point in time to Castle Park to your knowledge  
 22 conveyed to Ms. Larkins?

23 A. Yes, it was.

24 Q. And do you know how it was conveyed to Ms.  
 25 Larkins?

1 physician's care and that she was unable to return to  
 2 work until X date. And we weren't sure when and for how  
 3 long. But I would have to go back through those records  
 4 and check that time line. I have not reviewed that in a  
 5 while, so.

6 Q. So would this in your recollection be a second  
 7 time when she was placed on medical leave by a physician?

8 A. I'd have to check the records. I believe so.

9 Q. Was there ever then a time when the school  
 10 district informed her to your knowledge that she was to  
 11 report to work at a different school site?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And when was that?

14 A. Long after many things happened. I don't have  
 15 the exact date, but it would have been in the fall of  
 16 2001. And it would have been after numerous attempts to  
 17 ask her to come in and visit with us about viable options  
 18 for employment in the district.

19 Q. Were those numerous attempts through her union  
 20 rep or directly with her or a combination of both?

21 A. We continued to communicate both to Maura and  
 22 her union, until we were notified by Pamela Havird that  
 23 we were to only contact Maura and Pamela Havird, that the  
 24 union was, to the best of my knowledge Ms. Havird was  
 25 representing her.

Page 122

Page 124

1 A. She received a directive in writing from us.

2 Q. And was that something signed by you?

3 A. Yes, it was.

4 Q. And did the, this directive direct her to go  
 5 someplace else to work?

6 A. I don't recall. I would have to read the  
 7 memorandum. But I believe it restrained her from coming  
 8 to Castle Park or any other Chula Vista site until  
 9 further notification.

10 Q. So she was restrained from appearing at any  
 11 district site until further notification?

12 A. That's correct. To the best of my recollection,  
 13 yes.

14 Q. And at that point was she no longer on sick  
 15 leave and no longer on paid administrative leave?

16 A. She was on paid administrative leave at that  
 17 point in time.

18 Q. And did there come some time when she was then  
 19 redirected to some other site?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Did their come some time when she was told to  
 22 report to another site?

23 A. I'll have to go back, because I'm not sure  
 24 exactly what transpired. I know that we received  
 25 information from her physician that she was under a

1 Q. Perhaps if we look at some of this documentation  
 2 we can pin this down.

3 A. Sure.

4 Q. Look at this, well, it looks like Page 2 and 1  
 5 got reversed, but they look like the first three pages  
 6 perhaps of some sort of form perhaps. Are you familiar  
 7 with these doctors excuses?

8 A. Yes, I am.

9 Q. Unfortunately, sometimes you get copies of  
 10 copies of copies on these things. But it does appear  
 11 that she was off work until June 15, 2001?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. And are these the kinds of forms that the  
 14 district accepts?

15 A. Yes. If the physician is from Kaiser, yes.

16 Depends on the physician, but this is the type of form  
 17 that is acceptable, yes.

18 Q. And then I have something that actually has a  
 19 little 2 typed on the bottom of it, which might be  
 20 repetitive, but that is a note that is typed on Kaiser  
 21 Permanente stationery with Dawn Engel's letterhead. Is  
 22 this a document that was then provided to you? There's  
 23 no date on this.

24 A. I really don't recall how we got it. We have  
 25 literally hundreds of faxes and E-mails from Maura and

Page 123

Page 125

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

1 the organization constantly throughout the years, so I  
 2 don't really remember. Apparently, this appears to be  
 3 something that Gina Boyd, president of C.V.E., may have  
 4 shared with us because there's a note to us. It was a  
 5 note that Gina had apparently had copied to us because it  
 6 appears that it was from Maura to Gina. I don't know  
 7 that we ever received this. I have to check the records.  
 8 But obviously we received it in this format.

9 Q. And going to Page 4, it appears to be an April  
 10 26, 2001 letter to you from Ms. Larkins indicating that  
 11 she was ill?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. Is this a letter that you received at or about  
 14 the time indicated of the mailing?

15 A. I assume. I can't say for sure, but I have no  
 16 reason to believe that we didn't.

17 Q. Does the district use a date received stamp on  
 18 mail that comes in?

19 A. Generally we do. But, again, I would have to  
 20 look to see, because many things were faxed. In fact  
 21 most of our correspondence from Maura was faxed and then  
 22 it was duplicated in receipt through the U.S. mail. So  
 23 this may have been a duplicate that we already received a  
 24 fax of and we didn't give you a copy of both.

25 Q. Then if we go a couple of pages there's an

1 permitted to set foot on school district grounds. Was  
 2 this a letter that you saw contemporaneously with it  
 3 being received by the district?

4 A. I don't know if it was contemporaneously. I  
 5 received it and was totally confused by it.

6 Q. Okay. Had there at that point been any kind of  
 7 offer for Ms. Larkins to teach at Loma Verde?

8 A. There were a number of offers prior to this time.

9 Q. And had Loma Verde been one of them?

10 A. I would have to check, but I believe it was, to  
 11 the best of my knowledge. Let's think for a minute. The  
 12 principal at Loma Verde was Marge Brigsbe. In trying to  
 13 look at possible options for Maura we tried to pick  
 14 principals, also, that we thought were compassionate and  
 15 thoughtful and would be positive for a fresh start. And  
 16 Marge is a very compassionate person, and she was one of  
 17 the choices for that reason.

18 Q. I also see from this letter that there is  
 19 expression of concern about whether Ms. Larkins would be  
 20 able to go to any campus in the district if she were to  
 21 work at Loma Verde, particularly whether or not she could  
 22 only go to Loma Verde or whether she could set foot on  
 23 any other school property. Had there been some decision  
 24 made by mid October of 2001, regarding whether or not Ms.  
 25 Larkins would be permitted to step foot on any other

Page 126

Page 128

1 October 19th, 2001 letter addressed to Dr. Gil. I see  
 2 you've got that one. It has No. 1 typed on the bottom.

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. It appears to be date stamped as received by the  
 5 superintendent's office on October 19th, 2001, and then  
 6 it says scanned October 22nd, 2001. You see that?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Are you familiar with either of those stamps?

9 A. I'd have to check on this scanned. I'm not  
 10 really quite sure who wrote that. But I do know that the  
 11 October 22nd on the top is our division stamp.

12 MR. BRESEE: For the record the scanned is from  
 13 our office.

14 MS. SCHULMAN: I see. Thank you.

15 MR. BRESEE: There are several documents that  
 16 were already in our possession.

17 MS. SCHULMAN: All right. Good. Thank you.  
 18 That clears up that mystery. Does that mean that you  
 19 scanned them into the computer?

20 MR. BRESEE: We have been engaging in a feeble  
 21 attempt to have a paperless office. Feeble might not be  
 22 the right word. Unsuccessful.

23 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

24 Q. All right. I see that the subject matter of  
 25 this letter appears to be whether Ms. Larkins would be

1 school property other than the school where she would  
 2 agree to be assigned to work?

3 A. We never put any constraints separate from the  
 4 fact that we wanted to establish a mutually agreed upon  
 5 work place for her and where she felt she would be  
 6 successful and we felt she would. There was never any  
 7 dialogue about any further restraints. We were ready to  
 8 bring her back. With the release from the physician we  
 9 did not feel like she was a threat to anyone. We wanted  
 10 her to merely teach.

11 Q. You felt she wasn't a threat to anyone  
 12 subsequent to the time that you and she had this  
 13 encounter that you've described with the pens and  
 14 pencils?

15 A. Subsequent, yes.

16 Q. And this October 19th of 2001, would have been  
 17 in the time subsequent to that discussion?

18 A. Yes, correct.

19 Q. If you could go to the next piece of  
 20 correspondence, very next page which appears to be  
 21 received by the superintendent's office on February 27th,  
 22 2002 and is dated February 25th, 2002, from Ms. Larkins  
 23 to the school board. Is this a document that you saw  
 24 fairly contemporaneously with the end of February of  
 25 2002?

Page 127

Page 129

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

1 A. This, I received this memo. And I assume that  
 2 I received it the week of February 27th. I completely  
 3 was at a loss with this memo. I had no idea what this  
 4 was about.

5 Q. Is that your handwriting on the bottom where it  
 6 says Mark Bresee?

7 A. Yes, it is.

8 Q. And that's your signature down there?

9 A. Right.

10 Q. And I don't want to inquire as to what  
 11 conversation might have ensued between you and your  
 12 attorney. I think I probably can take a good guess at  
 13 what your scrawling meant.

14 A. Well, actually, I just shared with you what it  
 15 meant. That this was more information that I had no  
 16 idea, this was similar to what I had received in the  
 17 past. I really didn't know who Robin Colls was. I knew  
 18 she was a teacher, but I couldn't identify her name with  
 19 the face, nor did I know any legal involvement with her  
 20 families. I had no idea what this was about. More of  
 21 this stuff I don't know about, more questions.

22 Q. Do you know anything about two men visiting her  
 23 home?

24 A. At this point in time I knew absolutely nothing.

25 Q. Since that point in time do you know anything

1 this was related to anything that we were doing. This  
 2 was of a personal nature. We had no idea about two men.  
 3 I still don't know about two men. I don't know anything  
 4 about this.

5 Q. Did Robin Colls express to you that she was  
 6 upset that she hadn't been to the meeting because she had  
 7 this information that was information about something or  
 8 other going wrong outside the school, or that she had  
 9 information that she wanted to share with you about  
 10 something that was going on in the school?

11 A. I really don't remember to be quite frank with  
 12 you. I think that she was concerned that we had never  
 13 discussed anything with her. And I didn't see any reason  
 14 to discuss anything with her, because I wasn't aware that  
 15 their relationship professionally had a positive or  
 16 negative. I didn't think it impacted in our area of  
 17 jurisdiction. To me this was a personal.

18 Q. Did Robin Colls relate to you that her brother  
 19 or some other family member had some relationship with  
 20 law enforcement?

21 A. She did share that with me.

22 Q. Was it her brother who --

23 A. I really don't remember. Because we -- again, I  
 24 dismissed it. It was not anything that was relative to  
 25 either people who were having a difficult time in

Page 130

Page 132

1 about two men visiting Ms. Larkins' home?  
 2 A. The only thing that I know about this is that  
 3 Robin Colls, who is a teacher at Castle Park, was very  
 4 upset with me because I had not included her in any  
 5 meetings. And I wouldn't have included her in any  
 6 meetings, because I didn't know she had any involvement.  
 7 I had no idea what this was about. I had, and to this  
 8 day I felt like this was something that was totally  
 9 irrelevant to what we were trying to do. We were trying  
 10 to get her back to work. We were trying to get a  
 11 successful situation. This was completely out of the  
 12 blue. Robin had never shared with me any concerns. This  
 13 appeared to me, again, to be something of a personal  
 14 nature which didn't sway us in any way.

15 Q. Have you spoken to Robin Colls about the  
 16 contents of this letter or any aspect of her relationship  
 17 with Ms. Larkins?

18 A. Only when Robin expressed concern that she was  
 19 not invited to a meeting with other teachers who had  
 20 shared what I mentioned earlier. And she said to me that  
 21 there was some involvement on a personal level that one  
 22 of her relatives, you know. I said, it doesn't involve  
 23 the school district and we're not going to get involved  
 24 in this. Quite frankly we told her we had no intention  
 25 of purposefully offending her, we just didn't feel like

1 performance of professional relations with Maura at  
 2 school nor with finding Maura a position. During this  
 3 tenure, during 2001-2002 our goal had been we were trying  
 4 to get her back to work.

5 Q. Did anybody ever attempt to show you any kind of  
 6 police report or law enforcement report that had anything  
 7 to do with Maura Larkins?

8 A. To the best of my knowledge, no. And if they  
 9 had I would have completely dismissed it, because it was  
 10 not, it was like the other information I received from  
 11 Maura. It had no impact. It had no, it had nothing to  
 12 do with what we were trying to accomplish for Maura.

13 Q. Did Robin Colls express to you that she had  
 14 seen some sort of police report concerning Ms. Larkins?

15 A. I absolutely do not remember that, and I  
 16 remember very little about any dialogue with Robin.

17 Q. If we can skip one page and then to go to the  
 18 letter that appears to be addressed to Dr. Gil from Maura  
 19 Larkins, "Pursuant to Article 38 of the contract." You  
 20 see that?

21 A. Yes, ma'am.

22 Q. All right. Was there some time when Maura  
 23 Larkins had been suspended without pay? I see there is a  
 24 reference to a letter of yours of September 26th, 2001.

25 A. Maura was never suspended without pay.

Page 131

Page 133

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

1 Q. Looking at the next letter that is dated August  
 2 31st to Libby Gil, had you seen this contemporaneously  
 3 with Ms. Gil receiving it? This is one where she needs  
 4 to have access to her property so that she can provide  
 5 evidence for her grievance.

6 A. I don't remember when I saw it. But, yes, I did  
 7 see it. To the best of my knowledge it would have been  
 8 the same day, because our date stamp was the same.

9 Q. Was this before or after the pencil incident?

10 A. I would assume this is long after the pencil  
 11 incident.

12 Q. And do you have any knowledge as to whether or  
 13 not Mrs. Larkins was ever provided with the documents she  
 14 needed to process her grievance as requested in this  
 15 letter?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And was she?

18 A. We, in meeting with both Ms. Harvard on a number  
 19 of occasions and meeting with Ms. Boyd on a number of  
 20 occasions the district bent over backward and actually  
 21 hired additional personnel to provide for many hours for  
 22 Mrs. Larkins to take her personal belongings away. To  
 23 the best of my knowledge I remember somewhere between  
 24 three and a half and five and a half hours having to pay  
 25 a custodian overtime so that it was mutually agreed upon

1 least 10 or 12 times that we wanted to set up a meeting  
 2 so that we could establish a work place for her. I'm not  
 3 sure what she's saying because we made it very clear in  
 4 writing that we were trying to establish a work place for  
 5 her.

6 Q. But in the interim to establishing that work  
 7 place for her was she or was she not permitted to go on  
 8 school property except for any of the meetings?

9 A. I don't recall, I would have to check the files,  
 10 but I don't recall there being a banning. There were  
 11 specific directives earlier in these proceedings when she  
 12 was directed not to go back to Castle Park. But that was  
 13 during times that she was on administrative paid leave.

14 Q. And as of October 3rd, 2001, was she no longer  
 15 on administrative paid leave?

16 A. No, she was not.

17 Q. She makes some reference to the policeman who  
 18 questioned my husband at Werlin's request had his gun --  
 19 excuse me, had his hand on his gun. I'll read that  
 20 again. The reference is, the policeman who questioned my  
 21 husband at Werlin's request had his hand on his gun. Did  
 22 you ever request any kind of law enforcement officer,  
 23 including any SRO directly or indirectly to question  
 24 either Mrs. Larkins or Mr. Larkins?

25 A. No. I wouldn't have directed. I did call an

Page 134

Page 136

1 when she could gather all these things. So the district  
 2 through my facilitation did provide ample time. It was  
 3 my understanding that there was still more material even  
 4 after that number of hours that had to be picked up, and  
 5 there were attempts to try to arrange for that pick up.  
 6 And that is documented.

7 Q. And was Ms. Larkins then present with the  
 8 overtime staff going through documents?

9 A. I wasn't there. But to the best of my knowledge  
 10 we paid the custodian to be there so that she could get  
 11 her things. And she did during at least one time that  
 12 I'm aware of.

13 Q. Then if we go to the next letter. That is dated  
 14 as received on October 3rd, 2001. She is requesting the  
 15 formal complaint packet and policy. She says in the  
 16 letter, as long as the ban on my setting foot on district  
 17 property is in effect for all other times except  
 18 meetings, I do not feel safe having meetings on district  
 19 property. Had she been banned from district property at  
 20 that point in time except for meetings?

21 A. No. She had been told that we were trying to  
 22 establish a work place for her, and that we had  
 23 established certain times to meet with her. I don't  
 24 remember discussing any banning of any coming to any  
 25 property. We begged her in writing, it's documented at

1 SRO because Mr. Larkins demanded to immediately have Ms.  
 2 Larkins materials removed from our warehouse. And what  
 3 we shared with Mr. Larkins was we couldn't just  
 4 automatically drop everything that was being done. First  
 5 of all, most of the warehousemen don't stay at the  
 6 warehouse. They were deliverymen. They would have to  
 7 arrange a time and place that was agreeable to both  
 8 parties. That was unacceptable to him, and he was very  
 9 upset about it. So we did call the SRO and I talked with  
 10 the SRO. And he told me, stand here, I would like to  
 11 talk with him. And the SRO made that decision to talk  
 12 with him. Now, I don't recall his demeanor or behavior  
 13 being any different with Mr. Larkins than it was with me.

14 Q. I take it then this was a face-to-face incident  
 15 that occurred?

16 A. I believe there was some phone communication as  
 17 well as face-to-face.

18 Q. And so had Mr. Larkins actually physically gone  
 19 down to the warehouse site to gather up his wife's  
 20 belongings?

21 A. He was actually at my office area to do so.

22 Q. And had he been given some understanding to your  
 23 understanding that --

24 A. No.

25 Q. -- her belongings were at your office?

Page 135

Page 137

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

1 A. Maura was given the understanding in writing  
 2 that we needed to meet with her so that we could come up  
 3 with a mutual time in which she could pick up the remains  
 4 of her belongings. On a number of occasions she refused  
 5 to meet with us. Most of the time after an invitation to  
 6 do so, she didn't even respond to us. She just didn't  
 7 show up.

8 Q. So would it be accurate to say that you at least  
 9 at that point had the impression that Maura did not  
 10 believe she could go on school grounds so she sent her  
 11 husband to gather up her things?

12 A. No.

13 MR. BRESEE: Objection, calls for speculation.

14 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

15 Q. All right. So there was some face-to-face  
 16 meeting near your office and an SRO was called and the  
 17 SRO wanted to speak to Mr. Larkins?

18 A. To the best of my recollection I was out of the  
 19 office when Mr. Larkins appeared on our ed center  
 20 property. My secretary and I had a conversation that he  
 21 was rather upset that he could not pick up Maura's  
 22 belongings. I in turn remember calling an SRO to the  
 23 site so that when I got there I could visit with the SRO  
 24 about the situation. I was not sure whether I would see  
 25 Mr. Larkins or not. So to the best of my knowledge I was

1 A. I believe that I got it from the librarian, but  
 2 I don't know exactly.

3 Q. From Ms. Scharmach?

4 A. To the best of my knowledge. She was rather  
 5 upset about it.

6 Q. And did she tell you how this particular  
 7 communication was received by her?

8 A. No. I don't recall how she, she may have. I  
 9 don't recall.

10 Q. Who is Cindy Miller?

11 A. Cindy was one of my assistants. She was the  
 12 director of classified personnel and risk management,  
 13 benefits and so forth.

14 Q. Benefits as well?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. So I see that the next page after the end of the  
 17 letter to the librarian appears to be a December 10th,  
 18 2001, letter to Cindy Miller from Maura Larkins having to  
 19 do with life insurance. Would she have been the proper  
 20 person then to have contacted?

21 A. Correct, yes.

22 Q. And if Ms. Larkins in the last few months had  
 23 some question about benefits, would it be a question that  
 24 could be answered through your office?

25 A. Through Cindy's office, yes.

Page 138

Page 140

1 away, off the campus. I was somewhere else. I came  
 2 back. Mr. Larkins was still at the site. I spoke with  
 3 the SRO, explained to him the situation. He asked Mr.  
 4 Larkins to stand to the side and he would talk to him in  
 5 a minute. And after the SRO spoke with me, in the same  
 6 demeanor and approach he went over and talked with Mr.  
 7 Larkins for a few minutes. And then to the best of my  
 8 knowledge Mr. Larkins left after that.

9 Q. All right. You didn't see the SRO with his hand  
 10 on his gun I take it?

11 A. His demeanor to the best of my recollection was  
 12 no different than it was with me. I don't remember where  
 13 his hands were.

14 Q. Go then to the next to page. It appears to be a  
 15 letter with some cute little logos on it that goes on for  
 16 a few pages, addressed to Michelle Leon-Scharmach from  
 17 Maura Larkins dated December 27th, 2001. And I see at  
 18 the very end what looks like it says Merry Christmas,  
 19 Michelle from Maura Larkins, and then it says scanned  
 20 12/11/01. And it's got a pussycat at the very last page  
 21 of this document, that looks like the end of it, sitting  
 22 on top of a gift box. Is this a document that you have  
 23 seen before?

24 A. To the best of my knowledge I have, yes.

25 Q. And how did you get a copy of this document?

1 Q. Cindy directly rather than you?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. She's still doing this?

4 A. No. She's retired.

5 Q. When did she retire?

6 A. In December.

7 Q. December 2001?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. So if it was in the last few months then who  
 10 would be the person to contact?

11 A. It would have been both Cindy while she was  
 12 still there and then Dr. Tom Cruz.

13 Q. So he's the more direct person in charge of  
 14 benefits?

15 A. Now he is. Now he's over risk management and  
 16 benefits.

17 MR. BRESEE: C-R-U-Z.

18 (Discussion off the record.)

19 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

20 Q. Who is Cheryl Cox?

21 A. Dr. Cox is currently a board member on the Chula  
 22 Vista board of trustees, formerly an administrator with  
 23 the district.

24 Q. Who is Larry Cunningham?

25 A. Larry Cunningham is also an elected board

Page 139

Page 141

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

1 official.

2 Q. And who is Pamela Smith?

3 A. The same.

4 Q. Elected board official?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And is Patrick Judd also an elected board  
7 official?

8 A. Yes, he is.

9 Q. Did there come some time when Ms. Larkins was  
10 not receiving paychecks that were due her to your  
11 knowledge?

12 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Assumes facts not in  
13 evidence.

14 THE WITNESS: Actually, Ms. Larkins was overpaid  
15 by two months. I'm not aware that Ms. Larkins ever  
16 reached a point where she should have received a check  
17 and didn't. She was actually overpaid.

18 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

19 Q. And what makes you say she was overpaid?

20 A. She failed to report to work as she had been  
21 numerously directed in our attempts to minimize and set  
22 up a successful situation for her. Our school year  
23 starts in July. One of the options we were looking at  
24 was a year-round position for her. When we looked at  
25 Loma Verde as a possibility different than Castle Park,

1 when you expect to lift your ban against my setting foot  
2 on any district property.

3 THE WITNESS: I remember seeing it, receiving  
4 this. I don't recall the exact date. However, I don't  
5 have any reason to believe that it wasn't on or about  
6 this date.

7 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

8 Q. All right. And was it your understanding that  
9 at the end of September 2001, that there had been a ban  
10 against Ms. Larkins coming on to any district property?

11 A. I don't recall the exact stipulations. I do  
12 recall that by the time September 28th came, we had a  
13 number of meetings scheduled that she refused to come to,  
14 did not communicate to us. And so she wasn't allowing  
15 any communication. So I'm not sure. We had no  
16 opportunity to tell her about a ban or no ban. Our only  
17 effort was to get her back into work, and there was no  
18 opportunity to talk to her about a ban. We did talk to  
19 her attorney. We made it perfectly clear to her attorney  
20 that this was not about a ban. This was about trying to  
21 get her to look at viable options for employment in the  
22 district for 2001, for that school year.

23 Q. If you could go to the November 14, 2001 letter  
24 from you to Ms. Larkins. It's part of a grievance  
25 attachment. Looks like this (indicating).

Page 142

Page 144

1 Loma Verde is a year-round school that starts in July,  
2 not in August like Castle Park does. So, basically, we  
3 did not want to divert her monies, so we didn't stop her  
4 pay in July and August because we had assumed that once  
5 her physician released her that she would come to work.  
6 We had no reason to -- now we weren't sure whether she  
7 was going to work year-round or traditional. I made a  
8 decision not to stop her pay, because I assumed that all  
9 along our goal was to get her back in to work in a  
10 successful situation. And so the months of July and  
11 August she received pay but never worked.

12 Q. If you could flip a few pages, probably about a  
13 little bit more than halfway through this whole packet is  
14 a September 28th, 2001 letter to you from Ms. Larkins.  
15 Looks like this. I went through quite a bit, past the  
16 grievance stuff.

17 A. Past the grievance. Okay.

18 Q. All right. Did you receive this communication  
19 somewhere around the date that it bears of September 28,  
20 2001?

21 A. I remember --

22 MR. BRESEE: Just for the record this is the one  
23 that starts, "I am wondering"? I want to make sure I'm  
24 looking at the same document.

25 MS. SCHULMAN: Right. I am wondering if and

1 A. November 14th?

2 Q. I think you're too far back.

3 A. I'm too far back, okay. Yes.

4 Q. Is this a true copy of the letter that you sent  
5 and there were attachments with it?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And are then the attachments with this the --  
8 you know, this is the way I got it. Assuming, my  
9 secretary copied all of this documentation for me, this  
10 is the way my office received it from your attorney's  
11 office. So I'm not sure what is supposed to be attached.

12 MR. BRESEE: Well, in the upper right it says,  
13 grievance Page 3 of 4, grievance Page 4 of 4, so I  
14 believe those are the only documents, these are the  
15 attachment to the grievance. Those were the only  
16 documents that were part of it.

17 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

18 Q. Was this disciplinary action ever filed,  
19 actually filed against her that you reference in your  
20 November 14th letter?

21 A. Yes. The board of education took specific  
22 action, and Maura had been notified prior to that action  
23 that it was going to take place.

24 Q. And did she then file a grievance over that  
25 action?

Page 143

Page 145

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

1 A. I would have to check. There are so many  
2 grievances.

3 Q. I don't want to belabor the point. Still  
4 looking for the letter that I know I've seen in this  
5 packet so we can talk about it. Did any of those  
6 grievances get ultimately resolved?

7 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.

8 THE WITNESS: I would have to check. We  
9 followed the contract and responded to the grievances and  
10 met with level, set up Level 2 meetings. In fact Maura  
11 generally did not show up. Mr. Groth was there on a  
12 number of occasions when Maura never showed up. And  
13 subsequent to that the grievances were filed with PERB in  
14 an attempt to arbitrate. And I, we gave responses to  
15 those grievances.

16 BY MS. SCHULMAN: Okay. All right. There's a  
17 particular communication that I know I've seen that  
18 doesn't appear to be in that packet of materials. So let  
19 me see if I can find it.

20 MR. BRESEE: Can we have a break?

21 MS. SCHULMAN: Sure.

22 (Recess, 2:25 - 2:34 p.m.)

23 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

24 Q. Let me give you what will be Exhibit 3 which is  
25 simply one page.

1 correct?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. All right. You can stop doing that task now.  
4 She'll take care of those.

5 A. Whose are these (indicating)?

6 Q. Those are yours to keep, yes. And then you'll  
7 get a transcript. So you don't need to worry about all  
8 that.

9 A. Very good.

10 Q. Okay. All right. I have a number of pieces of  
11 correspondence between you and Ms. Larkins that I think  
12 were not included in the packet of materials that were  
13 provided to us. Now there might be some redundancy,  
14 because I don't have everything memorized. But I just  
15 want to make sure we have everything on the record.

16 A. Sure.

17 Q. Let me show you an April 3rd, '01 letter from  
18 Ms. Larkins addressed to you. We'll mark this as Exhibit  
19 4. And I'm simply going to ask you if you received this  
20 letter at or about the time that it appears to be dated?

21 A. I don't remember seeing this letter. It  
22 certainly may be in the file, but these names don't  
23 strike a bell or mean anything to me.

24 Q. So the name Kathleen Elton means nothing to you?

25 A. Nothing.

1 A. Thank you.

2 Q. A letter dated November 21st, 2001. You don't  
3 need to do that. She'll write it in. And ask you if  
4 this is a letter that you sent to Ms. Larkins attaching  
5 the interim summary which we have earlier identified as  
6 part of Exhibit 2? And that summary was dated early  
7 October, I think the 3rd or 4th.

8 A. No.

9 (Exhibit No. 3 marked for identification.)

10 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

11 Q. What was attached to this?

12 A. This was not sent to her. This was finally  
13 given to her when she and her attorney finally agreed to  
14 respond to our numerous invitations to meet. This was  
15 hand-delivered to her in person in Dr. Gil's office.

16 Q. All right. At a meeting?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Along with the interim summary?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Actually, I'd like you to not write on those  
21 because the court reporter, those are her copies. She'll  
22 put her stamp on them.

23 A. I apologize. I thought these were our copies.

24 Q. They're yours to use during the deposition, but  
25 then we'll leave them. You've marked 1, 2 and 3,

1 Q. And Mr. Wigdahl means nothing to you?

2 A. Nothing.

3 (Exhibit No. 4 marked for identification.)

4 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

5 Q. And you have no recollection of seeing this  
6 before?

7 A. But I will say to you that in our records, and  
8 you should have a copy of this completely, there was a  
9 mass of paperwork that Maura sent me about a personal  
10 lawsuit and/or something. This may have been stuck in  
11 the middle of it, but I never read it.

12 Q. Let me show you a 8/22/01 letter from Dr. Otis  
13 addressed to you. Mark this Exhibit 5. I want to know  
14 if you have ever seen this before?

15 A. I do recall this.

16 (Exhibit No. 5 marked for identification.)

17 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

18 Q. Did you receive this at or about the time the  
19 letter is dated, end of August?

20 A. I assume I did. I would have to check, but I  
21 believe I did.

22 Q. And is this what you would call a full medical  
23 release satisfactory to the district for Ms. Larkins to  
24 return to work?

25 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.

1 Q. Next in order, Exhibit 6, is a September 3, 2001  
 2 memorandum to Maura Larkins from Richard Werlin regarding  
 3 assignment for 2001-2002 school year. That's the letter  
 4 I was looking for. It was right under my fingertips.

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And is this a letter that you caused to be  
 7 provided to Maura Larkins?

8 A. Is this a letter that I what? I'm sorry.

9 Q. Is this a letter you caused to be provided to  
 10 Maura Larkins?

11 A. I sent it to Maura.

12 (Exhibit No. 6 marked for identification.)

13 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

14 Q. I notice that it's dated September 3rd with a  
 15 direction to, "report to my office at 8:00 a.m. on  
 16 September 4th." How was this delivered to her?

17 A. I would have to check, but most information was  
 18 faxed to her. She had a fax machine that she was  
 19 receiving, and I would have to check our records. But  
 20 most of our communication was through certified return  
 21 receipt mail through her attorney. It was faxed to her  
 22 attorney. And we have most receipts from her fax  
 23 machine, so I'd have to pull our records.

24 Q. Before a teacher can be transferred in the Chula  
 25 Vista Elementary School District is there some procedure

1 (Exhibit No. 7 marked for identification.)

2 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

3 Q. And as Exhibit 8 is a September 17th letter to  
 4 Maura Larkins, again from you. And the same question,  
 5 did you cause this to be sent to Ms. Larkins?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Yes?

8 A. Yes.

9 (Exhibit No. 8 marked for identification.)

10 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

11 Q. Do you keep a file with these documents in it?

12 A. Um-hmm.

13 Q. That was a yes?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And was this part of the documentation that was  
 16 provided in production?

17 MR. BRESEE: No, I don't think it was. And I  
 18 don't think -- there's two reasons for that. One is  
 19 these are all attachments to the charges which you  
 20 obviously already have, because you filed an answer to  
 21 the charges. And secondly, I don't think that these were  
 22 responsive to your specific discovery requests. Most of  
 23 the discovery requests were related to grievances and  
 24 documents relating to the spring of 2001.

25 MS. SCHULMAN: Well, I don't need to go through

Page 150

Page 152

1 that needs to be followed?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. What is that procedure?

4 A. We call the teacher in, and she has a meeting  
 5 generally with both myself and the superintendent. And  
 6 we discuss what our intentions are, and give the teacher  
 7 an opportunity to talk with us. And basically we try to  
 8 explore together what our intentions are with an  
 9 administrative transfer. Our goal though prior to doing  
 10 that is always to try to find a mutually agreed upon  
 11 placement.

12 Q. Is there an Article 33 in the memo of  
 13 understanding with the teachers union that sets forth  
 14 requirements for voluntary and involuntary transfers?

15 A. Absolutely. There's an article. It's not a  
 16 memorandum of agreement. It's part of the contract. I  
 17 don't know what article it is. And it was followed, like  
 18 all other articles. The meeting, numerous meetings were  
 19 scheduled to discuss viable options long before  
 20 administrative assignment was to take place.

21 Q. And the next in order would be Exhibit 7, is a  
 22 September 7th, 2001 letter to Maura Larkins apparently  
 23 from you. And I merely need to ask you if this was a  
 24 communication that you caused to be sent to Ms. Larkins?

25 A. Yes.

1 the nomenclature, but thank you for the explanation. I  
 2 merely want to be sure we have everything at this point.

3 Q. Exhibit 9 appears to be a September 20th letter  
 4 from you to Maura Larkins, and ask you to identify it as  
 5 well. We'll mark it as Exhibit 9.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And you caused that to be sent to Ms. Larkins;  
 8 is that correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 (Exhibit No. 9 marked for identification.)

11 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

12 Q. Next is a letter from you dated 9/26/01 to Ms.  
 13 Larkins, apparently from you. And, again, I want to ask  
 14 you if this is a letter that you caused to be sent to  
 15 her?

16 MR. BRESEE: What are we on, 10?

17 MS. SCHULMAN: 10.

18 THE WITNESS: Yes.

19 (Exhibit No. 10 marked for identification.)

20 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

21 Q. And here as Exhibit No. 11 is a 9/27/01, looks  
 22 like a fax to Maura Larkins from you. Mark this as 11.  
 23 Is that in fact a fax that was faxed to your knowledge on  
 24 or about the same date to Ms. Larkins or to her  
 25 representative?

Page 151

Page 153

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

1 A. Yes.  
 2 (Exhibit No. 11 marked for identification.)

3 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

4 Q. This series of letters that we're going through  
 5 now in September, or memos and faxes, this represents  
 6 your efforts that you talked about to get Ms. Larkins  
 7 back into the work place?

8 A. In part.

9 Q. And we have as Exhibit 12 a letter from Dr. Gil  
 10 which you were carbon copied on to Ms. Larkins about an  
 11 October 5th meeting. And it's dated October 3rd. And I  
 12 simply want to know if you've ever seen this letter  
 13 before?

14 A. Yes.

15 (Exhibit No. 12 marked for identification.)

16 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

17 Q. Did you see it fairly contemporaneously with the  
 18 date appearing on the letter?

19 A. I would assume. I can't verify the date, but I  
 20 assume it was near that time.

21 Q. And then as Exhibit 13 is a letter faxed to Ms.  
 22 Larkins from Dr. Gil, dated October 4th, a carbon copy to  
 23 you. And again my question is did you see a carbon copy  
 24 of this fax communication from Dr. Gil to Ms. Larkins on  
 25 or about the date of the communication?

1 document?  
 2 MS. SCHULMAN: If he -- he's answered my  
 3 question. But if he feels he needs to read the whole  
 4 thing, please do.

5 MR. BRESEE: You had said to him previously you  
 6 don't expect him to read the whole thing I believe. I  
 7 think that's what you said.

8 MS. SCHULMAN: And I see what happened here is  
 9 that, at least on my copy maybe this got stuck together.  
 10 I think the request for admissions are also -- well, I  
 11 see this was integrated. Okay. So this also includes  
 12 the request for admissions. Or at least maybe they got  
 13 screwed up here when they copied them. Maybe take a look  
 14 at this. Nope, he didn't.

15 MS. LARKINS: One of the things in the  
 16 interrogatories, there's a whole list of comments on the  
 17 requests.

18 MS. SCHULMAN: Okay.

19 MR. BRESEE: I believe your interrogatory No. 8  
 20 requested a reason for the denial of any requests for  
 21 admissions that were denied.

22 MS. SCHULMAN: Thank you. You're absolutely  
 23 right. It did.

24 Q. Did you have anything else you wanted to add  
 25 after reading the document?

Page 154

Page 156

1 A. Yes.  
 2 (Exhibit No. 13 marked for identification.)

3 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

4 Q. Exhibit 14, I'm going to provide you with a copy  
 5 of the district's responses to special interrogatories.  
 6 Have you ever seen this response before?

7 A. Yes, I have.

8 (Exhibit No. 14 marked for identification.)

9 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

10 Q. Did you help in any way with the preparation of  
 11 this response?

12 A. Yes, I did.

13 Q. Did anybody else, other than your attorneys, to  
 14 your knowledge help in the preparation of this response?

15 A. Not to the best of my knowledge.

16 Q. And is all the information contained in here  
 17 true and correct to the best of your knowledge? And I  
 18 don't expect you to comment on the legal objections.

19 A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.

20 Q. Do you sitting here today have any other  
 21 information which you've discovered subsequent to the  
 22 response to these interrogatories which you now wish to  
 23 add in response to any of these interrogatories?

24 A. Not to the best of my knowledge.

25 MR. BRESEE: Do you want him to read the whole

1 A. No.

2 Q. Have you had enough time to look at it?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And then we'll go to the requests for admissions  
 5 and that is 15. And this is the response to requests for  
 6 admissions propounded to Chula Vista Elementary School  
 7 District by respondent. And my question is did you take  
 8 part in preparing any of these responses?

9 A. Yes.

10 (Exhibit No. 15 marked for identification.)

11 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

12 Q. Other than you and your attorneys, are you aware  
 13 of any other school district employee who participated in  
 14 preparing responses to the requests for admissions?

15 A. Not that I'm aware of, no.

16 Q. Sitting here today do you have any additional  
 17 knowledge which would in any way change or enhance the  
 18 responses contained in Exhibit 15?

19 A. No.

20 MR. BRESEE: You know, I want to make an  
 21 objection on the record. This is, it's an eight-page  
 22 document. You're asking him a narrative question  
 23 regarding a discovery response that contains objections  
 24 and obviously was prepared, propounded to counsel and  
 25 prepared by counsel with Mr. Werlin's input. So I think

Page 155

Page 157

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

1 it's impossible for him to effectively answer these  
 2 questions when you're asking him an open-ended question  
 3 about an eight-page document.

4 MS. SCHULMAN: Your objection is on the record.  
 5 Q. And we'll go to the responses to requests for  
 6 discovery propounded to Chula Vista Elementary School  
 7 District by respondent. Mark this as Exhibit 16. And if  
 8 you could look at these, Mr. Werlin, please. Have you  
 9 had an opportunity to read these pages?

10 A. Yes, I have.

11 (Exhibit No. 16 marked for identification.)

12 BY MR. SCHULMAN:

13 Q. And did you take part in preparing any of the  
 14 responses to this particular discovery request?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Other than you and your attorney do you know of  
 17 any other school district employee who participated in  
 18 preparing the responses?

19 A. No.

20 Q. Is there anything that you would in any way  
 21 change or enhance at this point in time based on  
 22 information which you now have that you weren't aware of  
 23 at the time that these responses were propounded?

24 MR. BRESEE: Same objections.

25 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

1 claim, please?

2 Q. Okay. Do you understand in your position, your  
 3 cabinet position that before certain kinds of lawsuits  
 4 can be filed against a school district or any of its  
 5 board members or employees that a law in California  
 6 requires what we call a tort claim to be filed?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Within six months of occurrence. So you  
 9 understand that?

10 A. My confusion is that there's been reference to  
 11 many claims and lawsuits. So I'm trying to understand  
 12 are you referencing one that addresses the EEOC claim, or  
 13 are you referencing -- I mean, there's a lot of different  
 14 issues here. And the word suit and claim have been used  
 15 repeatedly with more than one claim.

16 Q. All right.

17 A. So my confusion, so which are you referencing?

18 Q. Let's break it down. You understand then based  
 19 upon that definition what I'm talking about?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Six-month tort claim?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Was there some time when you had some  
 24 understanding or knowledge that one or more six-month  
 25 tort claims had been filed by Ms. Larkins?

1 Q. And I understand that there are legal  
 2 objections. I'm not asking you to address those. I'm  
 3 simply asking you to address informational issues.

4 MR. BRESEE: Same objections. And vague and  
 5 ambiguous.

6 THE WITNESS: No.

7 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

8 Q. And just so it's clear, this isn't a trick  
 9 question. For example, in the response to No. 1 there is  
 10 an indication that there may be information discovered as  
 11 part of responding party's continuing investigation. I  
 12 just want to find out if there was anything else since  
 13 the middle of July when these answers were propounded  
 14 that you discovered that you now want to add?

15 A. Not to the best of my knowledge.

16 Q. Did you become aware at some point in time that  
 17 Ms. Larkins had filed a six-month tort claim with the  
 18 school district?

19 MR. BRESEE: Object. Vague, ambiguous. I  
 20 think he understands and I understand what you mean by  
 21 six months tort claim, but it's not real clear.

22 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

23 Q. You can answer the question if you understand  
 24 it.

25 A. Can you clarify that for me, the six-month tort

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And sitting here today do you recall how many  
 3 tort claims were filed by her?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Did you take part in the decision to file the  
 6 current dismissal action?

7 A. What dismissal action are you referencing?

8 Q. Well, there are charges that cause exists for  
 9 dismissal of a permanent certified employee.

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Which is the subject matter of this particular  
 12 proceeding. Did you take part in the decision --

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. -- to file those. All right. And who else  
 15 besides you took part in that decision?

16 A. Cabinet, each of the cabinet members.

17 Q. And with respect to filing of this dismissal  
 18 action, was that then approved by the board of education?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And was subsequently filed?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And do you have any knowledge as to whether or  
 23 not these charges of cause to dismiss were filed  
 24 following the filing of any of the six-month tort claims

25 by Ms. Larkins?

1 A. I would have to go back and look at the time  
 2 lines. This action was not as a result of any claims  
 3 that were filed by Ms. Larkins. This action was  
 4 exclusively filed based on her continued insubordination  
 5 and refusal to return to duty.

6 Q. And that it's your understanding is the sole  
 7 subject matter of this dismissal action, her refusing to  
 8 return to duty?

9 A. I understand that to be your questions of the  
 10 last hour, but not the predominance of the day's  
 11 questions. I don't see them as being related to that. I  
 12 do so the last hour, yes.

13 Q. And so it's clear then to you that the earlier  
 14 discussions that we had for the approximate first few  
 15 hours of this deposition concerning allegations that were  
 16 brought by these various co-workers and by Dr.  
 17 Donndelinger is not the subject of the current dismissal  
 18 action?

19 A. They were not the basis for the charges that  
 20 were filed with the board.

21 MS. SCHULMAN: If we could take a few minutes  
 22 break, I might review my notes and figure out if there's  
 23 anything else I need to ask.

24 MR. BRESEE: Okay.

25 (Recess, 3:08 - 3:13 p.m.)

1 probably before. And then you'll get it to my office --

2 THE WITNESS: That's less than five days.

3 MS. SCHULMAN: No, no. By, the hearing date is  
 4 I think on the 23rd. It starts on a Monday. So whatever  
 5 that Friday is it will be delivered to my office by 3:00  
 6 o'clock Friday signed. If it's not signed under penalty  
 7 of perjury --

8 THE WITNESS: But that's what I'm saying.  
 9 That's about five days. You have the weekend, but in  
 10 terms of workdays.

11 MS. SCHULMAN: How many days do you need?  
 12 You'll have to --

13 THE WITNESS: I understand you're extending it  
 14 the most you can. I'm just saying it's a lot to do.

15 MS. SCHULMAN: You have the weekend before.

16 MR. BRESEE: Yeah. It's five workdays.

17 THE WITNESS: I've fasting that weekend. I'm  
 18 going to be very weak. Are we off the record?

19 MS. SCHULMAN: No. We're on the record.

20 THE WITNESS: Then I won't say.

21 MS. SCHULMAN: I get it.

22 THE WITNESS: I understand. I'm just saying I  
 23 won't even be here at that time. I'll be with my family.

24 THE REPORTER: Can we go off the record?

25 MS. SCHULMAN: Yes.

Page 162

Page 164

1 MS. SCHULMAN: I don't even have the usual, I  
 2 only have one more question, which is a lawyer's biggest  
 3 lie. I think I've covered everything that I need to  
 4 cover. I'll offer the stipulation with respect to the  
 5 transcript that the court reporter will have the  
 6 transcript delivered to -- do you want it to your office,  
 7 or to go directly to the school district office?

8 MR. BRESEE: It will be a rather short time  
 9 frame. Why don't we deliver it straight to --

10 MS. SCHULMAN: Straight to Mr. Werlin. Mr.  
 11 Werlin will give the court reporter the information about  
 12 the delivery site address and phone number off the  
 13 record. He'll have it on or before the 13th of the  
 14 month. Is that sufficient time before the hearing -- or  
 15 it will probably be before that. The court reporter is  
 16 going on vacation on the 13th.

17 THE WITNESS: So I have how many days to  
 18 respond? Normally I'm used to 30 days response time.

19 MS. SCHULMAN: I know. We're too close to that,  
 20 because we originally wanted to take the depositions last  
 21 month but there was availability problems. So if I had  
 22 it returned to my office the Friday before the hearing  
 23 date I can deal with any changes by that time.

24 THE WITNESS: Okay. So I will receive it when?  
 25 MS. SCHULMAN: On or before September 13th,

1 (Discussion off the record.)

2 MS. SCHULMAN: All right. In an off the record  
 3 discussion it's been agreed that any changes to the  
 4 deposition and the signature page will be faxed to my  
 5 office by 3:00 p.m. on the Friday before the hearing; and  
 6 that the transcript can be signed under penalty of  
 7 perjury; that the school district then and its attorney  
 8 will be custodian of the original and will produce the  
 9 original at the hearing; and if for any reason the  
 10 original should become lost, destroyed, or otherwise  
 11 unusable, a certified copy may be used in its place. And  
 12 the court reporter has indicated that she expects that  
 13 the transcript will more than likely be delivered on or  
 14 before the 11th of the month, but certainly by the 13th  
 15 at the very latest. So stipulated?

16 MR. BRESEE: So stipulated.

17 MS. SCHULMAN: Anything else to add?

18 MR. BRESEE: No.

19 MS. SCHULMAN: I take it you didn't want to ask  
 20 the deponent any questions. I didn't give you that  
 21 opportunity.

22 MR. BRESEE: You're correct.

23 MS. SCHULMAN: All right. I think that takes  
 24 care of it. We're off the record.

25 (TIME NOTED: 3:20 P.M.)

Page 163

Page 165

42 (Pages 162 to 165)





BEFORE THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE  
CHULA VISTA ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT

-----  
IN THE MATTER OF THE ACCUSATION )  
AGAINST )  
MAURA LARKINS, ) Case No. L-2002050728  
Respondent. )  
-----

DEPOSITION OF GRETCHEN DONNDELINGER

Taken on Tuesday, September 10, 2002  
At 9:00 A.M.  
At 84 East J Street  
Chula Vista, California 91910

**CONDENSED TRANSCRIPT**

1 APPEARANCES  
2

3 For the Plaintiff:

4 MARK R. BRESEE  
BY: MARK R. BRESEE, ESQ.  
5 23195 La Cadena Dr., Suite 103  
Laguna Hills, California 92653  
(949) 587-05856  
7 For the Respondent:8 SCHULMAN & SCHULMAN A.P.C.  
9 BY: ELIZABETH SCHULMAN, ESQ.  
10 1551 Fourth Ave., Suite 502  
San Diego, California 92101  
(619) 238-030311  
12 Also present:  
13 Maura Larkins14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1 A One.

2 Q And was that related to an employment issue?

3 A Yes -- no. It was a hiring issue.

4 Q All right. There are certain rules that govern the  
5 taking of depositions, and over the years I've learned to  
6 summarize these in a written form which we've attached as  
7 Exhibit I to a previous deposition in this matter.8 Counsel, would it be all right with you if we just  
9 seriatim, continue on with the exhibits, so that I can simply  
10 show a copy of Exhibit I to this witness, and if I wanted,  
11 examine her on Exhibits 1 through 16, which we attached in the  
12 first deposition in this case? That way we could simply make  
13 reference to those and any new exhibits, to start with 17, in  
14 this matter.

15 MR. BRESEE: That would be fine.

16 MS. SCHULMAN: Let's go off the record for a moment.

17 (Discussion held off the record.)

18 Back on the record. I'm going to show you a copy of  
19 the deposition preamble which we've previously marked as  
20 Exhibit I in this matter and ask that we go off the record as  
21 you read it to yourself. Let us know when you're finished.

22 (Discussion held off the record.)

23 Back on the record. The witness off the record  
24 indicated that she had completed her reading of the deposition  
25 preamble.

Page 2

Page 4

1 GRETCHEN DONNDELINGER,  
2 called as a witness by the defendant, who, being by me first  
3 duly sworn, was thereupon examined as a witness in said cause.4 EXAMINATION  
56  
7 MS. SCHULMAN: Could you state and spell your full  
8 name?9 A Gretchen Maria Donndelinger, G-r-e-t-c-h-e-n  
10 M-a-r-i-a D-o-n-n-d-e-l-i-n-g-e-r.

11 Q And is it Dr. Donndelinger?

12 A Yes, it is.

13 Q Is that a doctorate in education?

14 A Yes.

15 Q My name is Elizabeth Schulman, and I'll representing  
16 Maura Larkins in the defense of the wrongful dismissal at the  
17 district against her. And we have asked you to come here today  
18 to give deposition testimony relevant to some issues, which we  
19 believe you have knowledge of, concerning that dismissal  
20 action.

21 Have you ever had your deposition taken before today?

22 A On this case?

23 Q No, in any matter.

24 A Yes.

25 Q On how many separate occasions?

1 Q You've completed reading the document that we have  
2 marked as Exhibit I?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And do you have any questions about any of the  
5 information contained in the document?

6 A No.

7 Q Did you understand the information contained in the  
8 document?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Do you know of any reason why you couldn't give your  
11 best testimony here today?

12 A No.

13 Q All right. Then we'll proceed. What is the highest  
14 level of education that you've completed?

15 A Doctorate in education.

16 Q And when and where did you obtain that degree?

17 A University of Southern California, completed in 1997.

18 Q Where did you do your undergraduate work?

19 A San Diego State.

20 Q And what degree did you get and when?

21 A Degree in psychology, 1978.

22 Q And did you obtain a master's degree?

23 A Master's at National University, completed '92.

24 Q And what was the master's in?

25 A Education.

Page 3

Page 5

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

1 Q And where did you graduate from high school?  
 2 A Brent school, B-r-e-n-t, in the Philippines, 1970.  
 3 Q 1970?  
 4 A Uh-huh.  
 5 Q You'll have to say yes instead of uh-huh.  
 6 A Yes.  
 7 Q Thank you. It just makes life so much easier.  
 8 Following your graduation from college, did you obtain gainful  
 9 employment?  
 10 A No. I was pregnant and had children, and so I didn't  
 11 really work in any long-term position until the children were  
 12 grown.  
 13 Q What was the first long-term position that you worked  
 14 in?  
 15 A I was -- became a teacher in the Poway Unified School  
 16 District in 1990.  
 17 Q 1990?  
 18 A 1990.  
 19 Q And what level did you teach?  
 20 A Third grade.  
 21 Q And how long did you remain a teacher in the Poway  
 22 Unified School District?  
 23 A Until '93.  
 24 Q Did you teach third grade the entire time?  
 25 A No. I taught third, fourth, and sixth.

1 Castle Park.  
 2 Q That would be Chula Vista Elementary School District,  
 3 correct?  
 4 A Yes.  
 5 Q Prior to your becoming a full-time teacher in 1990,  
 6 had you gone and engaged in any kind of educational employment,  
 7 part time, substitute, anything of that sort?  
 8 A No.  
 9 Q From the time you graduated from college until the  
 10 time that you obtained employment in Poway Unified School  
 11 District, were you a full-time, stay-at-home mom?  
 12 A No, not exactly. I did a little bit of real estate.  
 13 I did -- I worked at a health spa. I think that was after  
 14 college. Worked for Exclusively Women's Spa. I was a manager  
 15 of one of their health spas, and I did real estate for a little  
 16 while, like, maybe a year. I think that's it.  
 17 Q Okay.  
 18 A But I was a PTA mom galore.  
 19 Q And how many children did you raise?  
 20 A Two.  
 21 Q Upon coming to the Chula Vista Elementary School  
 22 District, which I'll probably just refer to as "the district,"  
 23 is that agreeable with you?  
 24 A Yes.  
 25 Q You'll understand what I'm referring to, from this

Page 6

Page 8

1 Q Did you obtain tenure in that school district?  
 2 A Yes.  
 3 Q And what was your next position?  
 4 A Assistant principal.  
 5 Q In Poway?  
 6 A Yes.  
 7 Q At what school?  
 8 A At Deer Canyon Elementary and Westwood Elementary.  
 9 Q Were those two separate positions?  
 10 A Right, yes.  
 11 Q You didn't hold them simultaneously?  
 12 A No.  
 13 Q During what period of time were you the assistant  
 14 principal at Deerwood Canyon?  
 15 A Deer Canyon, I was assistant principal from, I  
 16 believe, '94, '95.  
 17 Q And at Westwood?  
 18 A '95 until '97.  
 19 Q And did there come a time when you departed the  
 20 employment of the Poway Unified School District?  
 21 A Yes.  
 22 Q And when was that?  
 23 A '97.  
 24 Q And what was your reason for leaving?  
 25 A I took a position in Chula Vista as principal at

1 point on?  
 2 A Uh-huh.  
 3 Q You'll have to say yes.  
 4 A Yes.  
 5 Q Upon coming to the district, did you gain the  
 6 acquaintanceship of the teachers who taught at that time, 1997,  
 7 at Castle Park?  
 8 A The friendship of?  
 9 Q The acquaintanceship of.  
 10 A The acquaintance of.  
 11 Q And was Maura Larkins one of those teachers?  
 12 A Yes.  
 13 Q So, she was here in 1997 when you took over the  
 14 principalship?  
 15 A Yes. Right. We hired her that year.  
 16 Q When you say "we," who do you mean?  
 17 A The panel at Castle Park Elementary. We interviewed  
 18 her.  
 19 Q And who is on that panel?  
 20 A I don't remember. That was the first time I was  
 21 principal. Let's see. I don't remember.  
 22 Q Was she transferring from another school in the  
 23 district?  
 24 A I believe she was coming from leave.  
 25 Q And how do you spell leave?

Page 7

Page 9

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

1 A L-e-a-v-e.  
 2 Q That was simple, too simple.  
 3 MR. BRESEE: You mean leave of absence, not leave of  
 4 elementary school.  
 5 BY MS. SCHULMAN:  
 6 Q That's what I was wondering.  
 7 A Right. I seem to recall that she was coming off of a  
 8 leave of absence.  
 9 Q As opposed to transferring from another school?  
 10 A Uh-huh, yes.  
 11 Q And was she hired to teach a particular class at that  
 12 time?  
 13 A She was hired to teach, I believe, it was a third  
 14 grade bilingual position.  
 15 Q Was that a Spanish/English bilingual class?  
 16 A Yes.  
 17 Q And at that time did the elementary school have other  
 18 bilingual educators teaching at the school?  
 19 A Yes.  
 20 Q And who was that or who were those people?  
 21 A There was a kindergarten teacher, a first grade  
 22 teacher, and a second grade teacher. I know there was. I  
 23 can't remember who the second grade teacher was, but I believe  
 24 that the program went with the third grade position. It went  
 25 from K through three with the bilingual program.

Page 10

Page 12

1 Q Do you remember the name of the kindergarten teacher  
 2 at that time?  
 3 A Now, I remember, yes, Michelle Tellez.  
 4 Q Could you spell the last name?  
 5 A T-e-l-l-e-z.  
 6 Q And first grade teacher?  
 7 A The first grade teacher was Stephanie Parker.  
 8 Q Parker?  
 9 A Parker, P-a-r-k-e-r.  
 10 Q And the second?  
 11 A The second was Maria Beers.  
 12 Q B-e-e-r-s?  
 13 A B-e-e-r-s. Right. Like the drink, B-e-e-r-s.  
 14 Q And was Ms. Larkins taking over for some previous  
 15 third grade teacher, or was this a newly created position?  
 16 A I can't remember. I think it was new.  
 17 Q At that time, in 1997, when you were first principal  
 18 at the elementary school, did you come to know any kind of  
 19 tension that existed about the implementation of the bilingual  
 20 program?  
 21 A At the time -- it wasn't so much the bilingual  
 22 program, per say. There was tension among the team as to the  
 23 psychology of activities, so that the bilingual children could  
 24 be more included in the -- what Maura wanted was more inclusion  
 25 in the academic program of the bilingual students, more

Page 11

Page 13

1 integration.  
 2 Q I don't mean to overdramatize this by using the word  
 3 "factions," but were there factions who were lined up on one  
 4 side and wanted it one way, and one side wanted it another way?  
 5 A I wouldn't agree with the word "factions" either.  
 6 What it is, is we had three English only teachers with their  
 7 classrooms and this was a new team, basically, who were trying  
 8 to get their programs together, and Maura, being new in that  
 9 position, trying to get her program together.  
 10 And at the time I think Maura was a little bit more  
 11 experienced than at least two of the other teachers in that  
 12 grade and was wishing for more integration among her Spanish  
 13 only speaking students and the English only speaking students.  
 14 But there was trouble. It was a hardship on the team  
 15 to do that. They couldn't really figure out how to include the  
 16 Spanish only speakers because they couldn't speak Spanish and  
 17 so that they didn't feel like they could do a good job teaching  
 18 those children.  
 19 And philosophically, I agreed that children shouldn't  
 20 be segregated, in any way, and I really don't feel like the  
 21 other teachers wanted to segregate them either. I think it was  
 22 just a challenge to try to figure out how to do that and we  
 23 worked on that.  
 24 I think it took the better part of that year to try  
 25 to figure out how to schedule the change. And I think an idea

1 did come up where we could do that, somehow, and the team  
 2 agreed. And I thought the rest of the year proceeded, you  
 3 know, not totally smoothly because it's a continuous challenge  
 4 trying to meet all children's needs but, you know, fairly well.  
 5 I think trying to meet the needs of Spanish speakers is a  
 6 continuous problem that no one has the magic potion, or else we  
 7 would all be doing it.  
 8 Q Who were the three English only teachers? And is  
 9 this the '97, '98, school year we're speaking about?  
 10 A '97, '98, Linda Watson was the veteran third grade  
 11 teacher. Rick Denman was new in that he was coming from a  
 12 sixth-grade position the year before, and Jim Marshall, he  
 13 was --  
 14 Q I'm sorry?  
 15 A Marshall.  
 16 Q Jim, did you say?  
 17 A Jim.  
 18 Q He had never taught before?  
 19 A I believe he was a brand new teacher. We hired him  
 20 just a couple of days before we hired Maura.  
 21 Q And sitting here today, do you recall approximately  
 22 how much prior -- excuse me, I'll rephrase that. How much  
 23 prior teaching experience had Mr. Denman had?  
 24 A I don't remember. I just know he had taught sixth  
 25 grade before.

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

1 Q And were each of these three teachers teaching other  
 2 third grade classes: Linda Watson, Rick Denman, and Jim  
 3 Marshall?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Was this integration problem a problem only with the  
 6 third grade, or did it reach down into the kindergarten through  
 7 second grade?

8 A I don't think there was much of it in kindergarten or  
 9 first, at that time. Second grade, I know that Mrs. Beers was  
 10 doing a wonderful program where she had her children switching  
 11 with another English only classroom for science. She did the  
 12 science for both classrooms or something like that and that  
 13 was, I think, the model that Maura was hoping for at the time.

14 Q And Ms. Beers was bilingual, correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q So, she was able to teach that science class in both  
 17 English and Spanish, is that the way it worked? Or did she  
 18 teach it only in English?

19 A I believe she taught -- I don't remember, but she  
 20 could speak both. So, she was able to teach it in both  
 21 languages. I don't remember exactly how it worked.

22 Q Was there integration in the second grade in more  
 23 than just the science class?

24 A It was just her class with that other classroom, and  
 25 it was because the other teacher cooperated with that. She was

1 nonacademic in nature?

2 A It would be academic in that they learned days of the  
 3 week and months of the year and whatever, you know, the morning  
 4 activities were at that time they did a little bit of, you  
 5 know, the typical daily stuff, daily oral language, and I  
 6 just -- I can't remember everything that -- and I don't even  
 7 remember exactly how much time that was. It was a good half an  
 8 hour I think, in the morning.

9 Q And was that a successful integration?

10 A Well --

11 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. You  
 12 can answer.

13 THE WITNESS: It seemed to work at the time.

14 BY MS. SCHULMAN:

15 Q Was it used the next year?

16 A I don't remember. I don't even remember how long  
 17 that went on.

18 Q Would it be fair to say that the third grade became a  
 19 pivotal, focus because that was the last year for the bilingual  
 20 program? And the concept of integration was, perhaps, more  
 21 dramatic?

22 A I didn't see it -- at the time I certainly didn't see  
 23 it that way. It was a new program. We were trying to do the  
 24 best we could. I mean, there was really no model for that. We  
 25 were trying to meet the needs of these students as well as we

Page 14

Page 16

1 able to include that in her schedule or work it out somehow.

2 Q And who is that other teacher?

3 A Lewis Flowers.

4 Q Did you say Flowers, like the flower?

5 A F-o-w, without the l. F-o-w-e-r-s.

6 Q Okay.

7 A And that was what we presented, sort of, to the other  
 8 teachers. Here's a way to do it. They couldn't figure out how  
 9 to do that, and still get the academics that -- "they," meaning  
 10 the other third grade teachers, couldn't figure out how to have  
 11 a plan like that and still keep their class achieving what they  
 12 felt their kids needed to achieve. They just couldn't see  
 13 doing that. They thought that it would take away from their  
 14 program. So we worked at that.

15 Q And how did that finally resolve, if it did?

16 A Well, one of the teachers, I believe it was Linda  
 17 Watson -- see, I can remember formulating or talking to Linda  
 18 about this possibility. I can't remember -- and I remember her  
 19 trying, it and I can't remember if it continued or not. But  
 20 what it was, was Maura would bring her children into Linda's  
 21 classroom for X amount of time during the morning for morning  
 22 activities, such as calendar, and maybe the pledge. And then,  
 23 that way, the children could get, you know, that time with  
 24 English only speakers.

25 Q Something that would be an activity but would be

1 could.

2 We thought that we were getting an extra year,  
 3 because the year before they didn't have it at all. You know,  
 4 come third grade it was all English. So we thought, wow, this  
 5 is great to be able to extend it some, and the understanding  
 6 was that in that bilingual class, the second half of the year  
 7 would be mostly in English anyway. So, it was a transitional  
 8 time anyway within the classroom.

9 So, what she was asking for was sort of above and  
 10 beyond that which, philosophically, I totally agreed with the  
 11 more we can do, the better. However, not at the expense of the  
 12 English only program that the two of the teachers were  
 13 struggling to just learn and get to know. And also, not at the  
 14 expense of the team effort, you know, working together as a  
 15 team, coming up with ideas and programs that they all agreed to  
 16 do, you know, that was very, very important to keep that team  
 17 effort going. And I felt the other members of the team worked  
 18 at it, did work diligently at trying to create the program for  
 19 all the third graders.

20 Q When you say "team," are you referring to all the  
 21 third grade teachers?

22 A Right, that's what I mean.

23 Q And would there be then a team for all second grade  
 24 and all first grade and all kindergarten teachers?

25 A Yes.

Page 15

Page 17

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

1 Q And typically, are there four classes at each grade  
 2 level, at that particular school, at that historical point in  
 3 time?  
 4 A I think so, yes. There might have even been two, or  
 5 three at that time. I can't remember.  
 6 Q A combined second and third grade?  
 7 A Uh-huh.  
 8 Q Was that a yes?  
 9 A Yes. She was the brand new --  
 10 Q That's okay. It's all right. You don't need to tax  
 11 your memory on which year what was occurring in.  
 12 And Ms. Larkins continued to teach at Castle Park  
 13 until some time in 2001; is that correct?  
 14 A Yes.  
 15 Q And over the course of that time period, were you  
 16 consistently the principal at Castle Park?  
 17 A Yes.  
 18 Q And you still are, correct?  
 19 A No. I am no longer there.  
 20 Q Where are you now?  
 21 A I am -- right now, I'm not at any school.  
 22 Q Are you currently employed?  
 23 A I am employed by National University.  
 24 Q And when did you start at National University?  
 25 A Actually, I've been a professor there since '94.

Page 18

Page 20

1 Q And when did you leave your employment with the Chula  
 2 Vista Elementary School District?  
 3 A I left in 2001.  
 4 Q Do you recall what month?  
 5 A The end of school, so, actually the -- it must have  
 6 been the 30th of June then.  
 7 Q And did you take up full-time employment at National  
 8 University?  
 9 A No. I took up full-time employment at Carlsbad  
 10 Unified.  
 11 Q And what was your position there?  
 12 A Principal.  
 13 Q Excuse me?  
 14 A Principal.  
 15 Q At what school?  
 16 A Kelly.  
 17 Q I'm sorry?  
 18 A Kelly elementary, K-e-l-l-y.  
 19 Q And how long did you stay principal at Kelly?  
 20 A I was there for this year.  
 21 Q So, that would be the two --  
 22 A 2000, 2002 school year.  
 23 Q 2001, 2000?  
 24 A What did I say? 2001, 2002, yes.  
 25 Q And you're no longer employed at Carlsbad?

Page 19

Page 21

1 A No.  
 2 Q And you are currently employed at university?  
 3 A Yes.  
 4 Q Is that a full-time position?  
 5 A It's a part-time position.  
 6 Q And what was your reason for leaving Carlsbad?  
 7 A I am really seeking a different position. I'm ready  
 8 to move on from principal, principling. I'd like to retire,  
 9 actually I am. I'm retiring.  
 10 Q So, from 1997 until you left the employ of the Chula  
 11 Vista Elementary School District at the end of June of 2001,  
 12 did you notice or become aware of any increasing tension  
 13 between Ms. Larkins and any other teachers who taught at Castle  
 14 Elementary School?  
 15 A Yes.  
 16 Q Castle Park Elementary School is what I meant to say.  
 17 A Castle Park Elementary. I wouldn't say increasing  
 18 tension. I would say, not increasing tension with any  
 19 particular person, but just -- it appeared -- especially the  
 20 last year, more the last year, not especially, but the last  
 21 year 2001, there appeared to be more incidents of disagreements  
 22 with Maura and other teachers, escalated, you know. It just  
 23 seemed like --  
 24 Q What was the name of these disagreements?  
 25 A That was the part that was difficult. Teachers would

1 come to me and say that they had had a disagreement, or had an  
 2 incident, with Maura and didn't quite understand why it had  
 3 become a problem.  
 4 Q What do you mean by "why it had become a problem"?

5 A For example, there was an incident in the library  
 6 where there was a very, sort of, simple, scheduling problem  
 7 that had occurred with the librarian and Maura. And the way  
 8 that Maura brought it to the librarian's attention, it then  
 9 became a problem instead of just, you know, a scheduling  
 10 misunderstanding.  
 11 It then became a problem because of the way that she  
 12 handled it. She had brought -- she had come into the library  
 13 during another teacher's library time and confronted the  
 14 librarian in front of children and the teacher, which then  
 15 created a bigger problem instead of fixing it, you know, being  
 16 able to handle it professionally. Then it became just a bigger  
 17 problem. I hate to sound vague.  
 18 Q When did you first become aware of this problem?  
 19 A I didn't hear about it until later.  
 20 MR. BRESEE: Objection. I'm not clear. Are you  
 21 asking about the incident, that specific incident, she was just  
 22 talking about?  
 23 MS. SCHULMAN: Yes.  
 24 Q That's what you understood, didn't you?  
 25 A I understand that. And I didn't hear about that

1 until much later.  
 2 Q And how did you hear about it?  
 3 A The teacher, who was there at the time that Maura  
 4 came into the library to confront the librarian came to me and  
 5 said -- I don't know why she waited so long, or why, then, she  
 6 came to me, but she relayed what happened. And she said she  
 7 just wanted me to know that she was uncomfortable with that  
 8 situation and wanted to be sure I knew about it.

9 Q And who was that teacher?

10 A Lynne Delgado.

11 Q Lynne Delgado?

12 A It's D-e-l-g-a-d-o.

13 Q And the first name is Lynne?

14 A Lynne, uh-huh.

15 Q I'm sorry, was that L-y-n-n?

16 A L-y-n-n-e.

17 Q And the librarian was Michelle with a hyphenated last  
 18 name?

19 A Scharmack.

20 Q So, it was not the librarian who initially reported  
 21 this incident to you? It was the teacher who was there?

22 A No, right.

23 Q And what did Ms. Delgado tell you, as far as she  
 24 could specifically recall, had happened?

25 A What she said was that Maura came in very upset and

1 everything, PE, and library and computer times. That's when it  
 2 happened, and I don't think she told me about it happening  
 3 until either just before Christmas holidays or after. So, some  
 4 time.

5 Q So, some time in late 2000, or early 2001?

6 A Late 2001 -- late 2000, early 2001.

7 Q And the incident itself probably happened in  
 8 September?

9 A In September. But I think it was because she was  
 10 feeling that it hadn't -- that Maura hadn't dropped it. I  
 11 think that she said what she said because she -- I can't  
 12 remember. Either she wasn't talking to her or was giving her  
 13 the cold shoulder or something. I can't remember what it was  
 14 that prompted her to tell me.

15 Q Did you speak to the librarian about the incident  
 16 after Ms. Delgado reported it to you?

17 A I asked Ms. Delgado to, you know, to talk to her and  
 18 ask her to come and to talk to me about it.

19 Q And did the librarian come and speak to you about it?

20 A Yes, she did.

21 Q And what did the librarian tell you?

22 A She told me pretty much the same thing that Lynne  
 23 did, and she also said, you know, "It's done. It's over. I  
 24 don't know what would have caused her to act that way." But,  
 25 you know, it's done. She just wanted to forget it.

Page 22

Page 24

1 began to -- I think her words were "yell at the librarian,"  
 2 Michelle, about her schedule and how she messed it up. And she  
 3 didn't think it was fair or something to that nature, and that  
 4 she tried to say, "Well, can we talk about this later, because,  
 5 you know, my kids are here and it's my library time now." And  
 6 apparently, Maura couldn't -- would not be appeased.

7 Q Do you know how it came about that Ms. Larkins  
 8 actually left the library, assuming that that did happen on  
 9 that occasion?

10 A From what I remember I think the librarian just told  
 11 her, "We'll talk about this later." I don't remember anything  
 12 else.

13 Q Okay. And when, if ever, had you discussed with  
 14 Ms. Larkins this library incident, after it had been reported  
 15 to you by Ms. Delgado?

16 A I don't think I did. So much time had passed,  
 17 Ms. Delgado had said, you know, "I don't want to bring it up at  
 18 this time. It's over. It's done. I just wanted to let you  
 19 know that it had happened," and that she couldn't figure out,  
 20 you know, why, why it happened at all.

21 Q And approximately, when was this when Ms. Delgado  
 22 made this report to you about the library incident?

23 A That, I can't remember. I think that the whole thing  
 24 occurred at the beginning of the school year. That's when the  
 25 schedules are happening, and teachers are trying to schedule

1 Q Did the librarian or Ms. Delgado give you some notion  
 2 as to span of time that this library incident took place?

3 A It took long enough that that was the reason the  
 4 teacher was upset, was because it was taking such a long time,  
 5 and it was taking away from the kids. The kids were  
 6 kindergartners, and they were running around waiting for the  
 7 librarian to talk to them, and it was taking up that time. So,  
 8 I don't know exactly.

9 Q Was it five minutes, ten minutes, one minute, do you  
 10 know?

11 A My impression was that it was 15 minutes maybe, at  
 12 least 15 minutes.

13 Q Did you have any understanding as to whether or not  
 14 this was a discussion that was being carried on within earshot  
 15 of the students?

16 A It sounded like it. I think that's the reason,  
 17 really, that the teacher was upset, and that the librarian  
 18 just, you know, was also upset was because they didn't want to  
 19 have to discuss this in front of the children.

20 Q So, you spoke to Ms. Delgado. You spoke to Michelle,  
 21 the librarian. Both of them indicated to you it was a long  
 22 time ago, to forgot it, correct?

23 A Right.

24 Q And so, did you then, after speaking to each of these  
 25 two women, in your mind, feel comfortable not speaking to

Page 23

Page 25

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

1 Ms. Larkins concerning the events?  
 2 A Right. I really feel like they handled it. They  
 3 took care of it. They didn't want to bring it up again, to  
 4 either make Maura upset, or anything, because it had happened  
 5 so long ago. They just -- again, I don't remember why they  
 6 came and told me at all. I don't know if they know why they  
 7 came and told me at all.

8 Q You alluded to Ms. Delgado feeling that Ms. Larkins  
 9 was giving her the cold shoulder and not speaking to her.

10 A Something like that, but again, I just don't -- I  
 11 don't know, you know, I don't know why.

12 Q But whatever it was, at that point in time, you felt  
 13 comfortable putting it to bed, so to speak?

14 A Right. I said, "Okay. It's over it's done. You  
 15 guys took care of it. The schedule is fine. She's happy with  
 16 it. She didn't come to me to complain about it," meaning,  
 17 Maura didn't come to me to complain, so there was no problem.

18 Q Who told you the schedule was fine?

19 A Michelle did, that she found a spot for Maura that  
 20 she was happy with.

21 Q Was there some other concern that you became aware of  
 22 concerning Ms. Larkins during the school year?

23 A It was towards the end of the year, after the  
 24 holiday, where things started to happen that were very  
 25 concerning to me. I wish I had my notes. I can't remember too

1 Maura became extremely upset, I mean, very, very upset at in  
 2 what she felt like was upset, and said things that were  
 3 targeted to Robin directly. And so she felt threatened, and  
 4 she came to me.

5 Q Robin came to you?

6 A Right. She didn't understand why she would be  
 7 directing, why Maura would be directing, her anger towards her  
 8 when she was just the messenger.

9 Q In other words, don't shoot the messenger if you  
 10 don't like the message?

11 A Right, right.

12 Q I think we've had a problem with that from the days  
 13 of the ancient Greeks.

14 And who taught or participated in the Kingdom's  
 15 program?

16 A The whole school.

17 Q And what kind of program is that?

18 A It's a program where the entire school is split up,  
 19 and everyone takes a kingdom, a portion of that partitioning  
 20 off of all the children. So, for example, each group of 20  
 21 children would be a group of, I think, at that time it was just  
 22 first, first through six grade. So, there would be 20  
 23 children, first through six grades, in a kingdom and then those  
 24 kids would go to a teacher and/or other staff member. And they  
 25 would talk about character traits like responsibility, respect,

Page 26

Page 28

1 clearly the timing at all. But at one point there was a change  
 2 in the schedule, and Maura was upset about it and confronted a  
 3 teacher about the change in a schedule, and the teacher was  
 4 upset by the confrontation. And so the teacher came to tell  
 5 me.

6 Q And who is that teacher?

7 A It was Robin Colls.

8 Q C-o-l-l-s?

9 A C-o-l-l-s.

10 Q And what grade did she teach?

11 A She taught a special day class.

12 Q And what is a special day class?

13 A It's for children with special needs.

14 Q And what was it that Robin Colls said Ms. Larkins was  
 15 upset about?

16 A Robin was sitting in the lounge as she does almost  
 17 every morning, that's her place. And there was a change in  
 18 scheduling, and so she became the messenger, telling all the  
 19 teachers that something had been changed. And when Maura came  
 20 in, she told Maura just like she told all the teachers, you  
 21 know.

22 I think it was a Kingdoms thing. I'm not sure.  
 23 Kingdoms is a program that we had. I think either it was  
 24 cancelled or it was scheduled for that day. And so she was  
 25 just telling everybody and, apparently, when she told Maura,

1 caring, and the whole school would have a lesson, the same  
 2 lesson in that particular style, that way. So, I can't  
 3 remember if it was cancelled that day or it was scheduled for  
 4 that day.

5 It was a surprise, anyway, for everybody, and that's  
 6 why we wanted to be sure that everybody got the message.

7 Q And what was the reason that Robin was relating this  
 8 message to other people? Was it simply because she was at the  
 9 lounge that day?

10 A She was just there.

11 Q She was not tasked to that responsibility was she?

12 A No. I didn't tell anybody --

13 Q So, she took it upon herself to deliver the message?

14 A Uh-huh.

15 Q Was that a yes?

16 A Yes.

17 Q What was it that Robin told you was the response from  
 18 Ms. Larkins when she delivered this message to Ms. Larkins?

19 A She said that she -- I can't remember her exact  
 20 words, but basically that she got very, very upset and said  
 21 very threatening like things. And I don't even remember what  
 22 those things were now that upset her so much that she felt like  
 23 she had to come to me, and there were other people in the room  
 24 that saw that. And they agreed. Robin said, "What happened or  
 25 why did she do that?" And then she came to me with that.

Page 27

Page 29

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

1 Q The other people in the room, were these teachers?  
 2 A There were other teachers. You know it was a very  
 3 busy time in the morning, lots of teachers coming in and out  
 4 because that's just before school starting. Everyone coming in  
 5 to get their mail to get a cup of coffee.

6 Q This was the teacher's lounge?

7 A Teachers lounge, and read any messages on the board.  
 8 Q At the time even though you can't recall the words  
 9 now, did Robin Colls relate to you what she believed the words.  
 10 were spoken to her.

11 A I'm sure she did. I just don't remember the words.  
 12 I just know that she was upset by it. She felt threatened by  
 13 it. That's really all I remember, and she didn't understand  
 14 why she was being targeted and she had said, "I'm just the  
 15 messenger. I'm just telling you."

16 Q So, were these threats to Ms. Colls's physical  
 17 safety or professional standing?

18 A There's no threats to her profession. What do you  
 19 mean professional standing? She just felt threatened. "She  
 20 scares me," I think is what she said.

21 Q By professional standing I mean was it something  
 22 like, "Oh, here it is again. Now you've changed the schedule.  
 23 The kids aren't going to get the kinds of chances that they  
 24 should get," something like that, professionally as opposed to,  
 25 "I'd like to slap you across the face," kind of thing?

1 A I maintained some notes. Mostly, I think it was just  
 2 so that I -- because I had a tough time. Again, the  
 3 conversation did not follow a very linear path, and so it was  
 4 difficult for me to understand exactly sometimes the reason our  
 5 conversation went the way it did. And so, I would write things  
 6 down as much as I could remember to try to analyze it. Because  
 7 I wanted to do whatever I could to understand the problem so  
 8 that I could help make it better, but I don't have those notes  
 9 and I don't remember.

10 Q You also had notes concerning the report to you about  
 11 the library incident, correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And did you turn those notes over to someone?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Who did you turn them over?

16 A To Dr. Shinoff.

17 Q Dan Shinoff?

18 A Dan Shinoff, yes.

19 Q And who is Dan Shinoff?

20 A He was an attorney in the case where Maura brought  
 21 against the school district.

22 Q When did you turn those notes over to Mr. Shinoff?

23 A That was during the case. I can't remember now. It's  
 24 taken so long. It's been months.

25 Q Months?

Page 30

Page 32

1 A I don't think there was any physical threat. I  
 2 think -- I don't know. I just know that she felt threatened,  
 3 and she said something to the words of, "She scares me. What's  
 4 going on?" She didn't understand, and that's why she came to  
 5 me.

6 Q And what did you do, if anything, in response to  
 7 Ms. Colls's reporting those events to you?

8 A I talked to Maura. I remember that, and I can  
 9 remember saying, "You know, Maura," and I don't know if it was  
 10 that day. I think it was that day saying I'm sorry, Maura. It  
 11 was my fault. I was the one who scheduled that. It wasn't  
 12 anybody's fault but mine. I remember saying those words, but I  
 13 can't -- I don't remember.

14 Q What happened after that. What was Maura's response,  
 15 if any?

16 A I don't remember. I remember that the conversation  
 17 did not follow a linear pattern as far as I was concerned. I  
 18 remember feeling frustrated and confused after my conversation  
 19 with her. I can't remember the exact words. I know I wrote  
 20 them down, but I just really can't remember exactly what was  
 21 said.

22 Q This is the second time in the last few minutes that  
 23 you've made reference to notes or knowing that you wrote words  
 24 down. Did you maintain some sort of notes then of the  
 25 incident?

1 A Uh-huh.

2 Q Was that would have been some time in 2002?

3 A 2002.

4 Q And would those notes help you to more accurately  
 5 recall the events?

6 A It would help me, right. What I said, right.

7 MS. SCHULMAN: Let's go off the record for just a  
 8 moment.

9 (Recess taken.)

10 I'm going to ask have marked as Exhibit 17, a letter  
 11 or note dated 1-17-01, addressed to Maura and apparently signed  
 12 Gretchen. I ask you just to take a moment to look at that.

13 Okay. Have you had the opportunity to look at that note?

14 (Exhibit No. 17 was marked for identification and is  
 15 annexed hereto.)

16 A Uh-huh.

17 Q Was that a yes?

18 A Yes.

19 Q All right. Is that a note that's entirely in your  
 20 own handwriting?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And I think your handwriting is pretty clear, but  
 23 with why don't you just read the whole thing into the record

24 for us, including the date.

25 A 1-17-01, "Maura, I would like to talk to you soon

Page 31

Page 33

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

1 about the misunderstanding about Kingdom's. Unfortunately, I  
 2 will be unavailable today. Please see Sally about scheduling a  
 3 time most convenient for you, Gretchen."

4 Q And who is Sally?  
 5 A The secretary.  
 6 Q All right. And so, the meeting took place with  
 7 Ms. Larkins some time after January 17?  
 8 A I presume that, yes.  
 9 Q And do you recall approximately how long after  
 10 January 17th you met with Maura?  
 11 A No. I thought it was right away. So, it must  
 12 have --  
 13 Q Is your best recollection that it would have occurred  
 14 within a week of January 17, 2001?  
 15 A I assume so. I don't know. Again, I just -- I  
 16 thought it was right away. I can remember, you know,  
 17 apologizing for it and everything. I thought it was right  
 18 away.  
 19 Q Does the sentence here, "unfortunately I will be  
 20 unavailable today," refresh your recollection that it was not  
 21 the same day?  
 22 A Right. It sounds like I had a meeting or something  
 23 that day. I don't know.  
 24 MS. SCHULMAN: Mr. Bresee, do you have a copy of  
 25 Dr. Donndelinger's others notes that she's turned over to Dan

Page 34

1 Shinoff?  
 2 MR. BRESEE: No, I don't.  
 3 BY MS. SCHULMAN:  
 4 Q Did you give Mr. Shinoff the original of the notes.  
 5 A I just gave him -- yes.  
 6 Q And you did not retain a copy of them for yourself?  
 7 A No.  
 8 Q Big mistake. Never give an attorney anything without  
 9 maintaining a copy.  
 10 A I just didn't think -- first of all, they were just  
 11 scribbles really. I wasn't taking notes. You know I just --  
 12 they were just more for my recollection more than anything  
 13 else.  
 14 Q And let me ask you about those scribbles how many  
 15 pages offer scribbles were there?  
 16 A There were several incidents, so maybe 30.  
 17 Q 30 separate pieces of paper?  
 18 A Possibly.  
 19 Q Were they all written on the same kind of paper?  
 20 A Oh, no. They were whatever I could scribble on at  
 21 the time.  
 22 Q What size papers were they?  
 23 A I believe they were this size, legal, both sizes,  
 24 mostly yellow I think.  
 25 Q Both legal pad size an 8 1/2 by 11?

Page 35

1 A It was mostly this size.  
 2 Q Mostly legal pad size?  
 3 A Yes.  
 4 Q Lined?  
 5 A Yes.  
 6 Q And --  
 7 MR. BRESEE: Just for the record, what she's pointing  
 8 to is 8 1/2 by 11.  
 9 MS. SCHULMAN: You have an 8 1/2 by 11.  
 10 MR. BRESEE: Yes, not legal.  
 11 BY MS. SCHULMAN:  
 12 Q All right. And was it a pad that you would write on  
 13 and then tear the piece of paper? Is that it?  
 14 A Yes.  
 15 Q And as a general rule were the pages all filled, all  
 16 lines filled; half filled, some filled with not too much?  
 17 A I say mostly spaces actually. I would just write a  
 18 sentence as I remember. You see my writing, it's kind of big.  
 19 So, no, not very filled.  
 20 Q Now, I note that this particular Exhibit 17 appears  
 21 to be on lined paper but appears to be one of those maybe 3 1/2  
 22 by 5 1/2 kind of pads?  
 23 A Little notepad. This is what I would use when I  
 24 would write notes to teachers. This might even have a little  
 25 sticky on the back. Is that another note in -- yeah, I would

Page 36

1 use whatever I could find.  
 2 Q And so, most of your 8 1/2 by 11 had jottings on  
 3 them. Would that be a good description?  
 4 A Jottings, yes, that would be good.  
 5 Q And basically they were what, memory teasers for you?  
 6 A It was for me just to try to keep things straight. I  
 7 have a palm pilot now. Now, I put everything in that. It  
 8 would have been better.  
 9 Q Until it loses its memory.  
 10 A Oh, don't say that.  
 11 Q Was it your custom and practice to keep notes about  
 12 matters in the manner in which you kept notes about these  
 13 matters that came to the fore concerning Ms. Larkins?  
 14 A I kept notes whenever I thought that it was something  
 15 that I needed to remember, notes you know. Kids would come in  
 16 and be in trouble, you know, ongoing curriculum problem, yeah  
 17 it was just more for me. They were just my notes.  
 18 Q Was this the first time in all your years of  
 19 experience of being a principal that you had maintained notes  
 20 about some incidents or incidents involving a teacher?  
 21 A Oh, no. No, I kept notes, especially my first year.  
 22 In fact, I was hoping -- I mean I was wishing that I had all my  
 23 notes from my first year as a principal because I think it  
 24 would have been fun to look back on all of those notes, but I  
 25 generally just throw them out at the end of the year. I threw

Page 37

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

1 all those out. I wish I hadn't. No, I would keep them. A lot  
 2 of them were funny little anecdotes or things that I didn't  
 3 wants to forgot. Because you think you're going to remember  
 4 everything, but you don't. I mean even this that I was so --  
 5 it took up so much of my time. I don't remember so many  
 6 details. You know you ask me stuff, and I can't remember,  
 7 terrible.

8 Q But you do you recall meeting about this issue of the  
 9 Kingdoms with Ms. Larkins?

10 A I remember the apology.

11 Q And who apologized?

12 A Me. It was my fault. I changed the schedule. That  
 13 started the whole thing.

14 Q And did Ms. Larkins become rude or abrasive with you  
 15 during this meeting?

16 A Maura is very excitable. That's just her  
 17 personality, and so often times I remember our conversations as  
 18 being very excited. I don't know if she was rude in this  
 19 particular incident or not. I just remember that she was upset  
 20 by it and that she felt I was taking sides when it was just a  
 21 very simple change of schedule that -- I had a difficult time  
 22 understanding what she wanted, what she wanted me to do.

23 Q This Kingdoms program affected all children, not just  
 24 bilingual children?

25 A Right. This was an integration I mean to the nth

1 I can't -- it's so difficult to relay the conversations that I  
 2 had with her because, again, it was so convoluted. Things she  
 3 would bring up, topics that were obscure that -- then I didn't  
 4 understand what that had to do -- the relevance of that with  
 5 the particular topic we were talking about, and so it was  
 6 difficult to have a clear cut conversation with her. So, I am  
 7 feeling with this conversation, it still was not clear exactly  
 8 why she was so upset. It wasn't just the scheduling then, it  
 9 was other stuff. The fact that either we were having Kingdoms  
 10 or whether or not we were having Kingdoms, and why do I listen  
 11 to other teachers more than others. That sort of conversation  
 12 that I didn't understand why that had anything to do with what  
 13 we were talking about and why then she was bringing that topic  
 14 up at all.

15 And so, I don't remember how that conversation ended,  
 16 but I do you remember feeling frustrated that her needs and  
 17 wants were things that I couldn't seem to get to the bottom of.

18 Q Did she express an opinion or a concern to you during  
 19 that meeting that she viewed that the English only teachers had  
 20 somehow influenced you to reschedule a Kingdoms session and  
 21 that somehow she felt that this negatively impacted the concept  
 22 of integration?

23 A It was not a bilingual program. There was no rift  
 24 between the bilingual teachers and the nonbilingual teachers in  
 25 reference to this program. It was two different things.

Page 38

Page 40

1 degree. The entire school was integrated in this by grade  
 2 level even. I mean everybody, all the Special Ed children were  
 3 all in this. It was a great program.

4 Q What happens when this Kingdom's program put into  
 5 effect?

6 A This was the second year we had it.

7 Q And how many times in a school year would this  
 8 Kingdom's program happen?

9 A It started out as twice a week, I believe, and the  
 10 last year it was once a month.

11 Q And when was the first school year that this program  
 12 started out?

13 A '99, 2000.

14 Q And was there some reason that it was reduced from  
 15 twice a week to once a month?

16 A It's difficult. With a new high accountability  
 17 there's so much curriculum to get, in that some of the teachers  
 18 felt it was taking away from that curriculum time, and so we  
 19 cut it down some.

20 Q Once you explained to Ms. Larkins that it was your  
 21 sole decision that the Kingdoms program be rescheduled, did  
 22 that appear to satisfy her as an explanation?

23 A From what I remember it was no. It was not. I don't  
 24 believe she left that office satisfied or happy because for  
 25 some reason then -- it was -- she took it as a personal thing.

1 Q I understand what you're saying. But my question was  
 2 from what Ms. Larkins was expressing and from what I've heard  
 3 from you did she try to convey to you that it was her concern  
 4 that you had somehow been influenced by the English only  
 5 teachers to eliminate or postpone a Kingdoms session, which  
 6 would have enabled these bilingual children to be integrated  
 7 fully with the English only children?

8 A It's possible that she said that to me, that that is  
 9 her viewpoint.

10 But first of all, that was not the reason that it was  
 11 changed. It was -- I don't even remember why it was changed,  
 12 but it had nothing to do with any kind of disagreement between  
 13 bilingual and nonbilingual teachers.

14 Q And I understand that. That's your vision of what  
 15 happened here. I'm simply trying to fathom out what  
 16 Ms. Larkin's vision of what happened and what she might have  
 17 conveyed to you as best as you can recall it.

18 A Right.

19 Q I take it at that point in time that integrating  
 20 third grade bilingual children into the English only classes  
 21 was still an issue. Would that be correct?

22 A No.

23 Q Had that issue been resolved then?

24 A At the team level, I felt that that was pretty much  
 25 resolved. They were doing lots of things together to

Page 39

Page 41

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

1 accommodate the students, fieldtrips and swimming, you know,  
 2 other things. There was controversy with the program just  
 3 because it took so much time. I mean the teachers were  
 4 unbelievably pressured to get academics across to these kids.  
 5 It just felt like it was no fun anymore and Kingdoms all though  
 6 it's so important to teach children responsibility, respect  
 7 caring, citizen qualities that every student should have. And  
 8 I don't think there's a soul in this United States that would  
 9 think that that is not something important.

10 On the other hand, it's not what's tested on the Sat  
 11 9, and teachers were very pressured to keep things very, very  
 12 basic. And some teachers felt that pressure more than others.  
 13 Others felt that no citizenship is just as important and that  
 14 the Kingdoms program worked to that effect. That was the rift.  
 15 It didn't have anything to do with bilingual kids and  
 16 integration. It had to do with time for subjects such as  
 17 citizenship versus subjects such as mathematics.

18 Q Okay. You've said a lot. So, let me see if I  
 19 understand this. As of January of 2001, is it your estimation,  
 20 sitting here today, that the bilingual and English only  
 21 integration had been happily accomplished in that there were  
 22 fieldtrips and swimming and other activities that the groups  
 23 participated in together?

24 A Yes. And not only that, but we were expanding our  
 25 bilingual program.

1 A Yes.  
 2 Q And who is that teacher?  
 3 A I can't remember her name.  
 4 Q Okay. And as far as you were concerned, with regard  
 5 to the bilingual and English only integration issue, that had  
 6 been accomplished by the beginning of June of 2001, for the  
 7 third grade at least?

8 A Yes.  
 9 Q Did you have any perception communicated to you in  
 10 January of 2001, that Ms. Larkins had a different view as to  
 11 the success of the integration program between the bilingual  
 12 students and the English only students?  
 13 A You know, this is the first that I'm hearing. I  
 14 don't recall her complaining about it or coming to me about it  
 15 since that time in 97, really, as a bilingual problem. Other  
 16 than it's a continual challenge to be sure that we get the  
 17 bilingual program, itself, perfected. I mean, that was going  
 18 through enormous changes at the time, and how we can, you know,  
 19 we were revamping that and changing that all the time. And we  
 20 talked about that, we, being Maura and the other bilingual  
 21 teachers, that that is a continual challenge. Okay. How do we  
 22 make sure that these children are getting what they need to?  
 23 There were new language arts, English learner's language arts  
 24 standards, that we had to learn and know and create lessons  
 25 towards. I mean, it was huge changes. But by 2001, the

Page 42

Page 44

1 Q So, to go into further grades?

2 A To go into fourth grade. It wasn't perfect by any  
 3 means, but we were slowly moving in that direction.

4 Q And was that the plan for the next school year?

5 A We hired a bilingual fourth grade teacher to teach an  
 6 English only class that year with the understanding that the  
 7 next year that program could grow. It's not easy with  
 8 everything that was going on. But these children speak  
 9 Spanish, and if you want to teach them science and history, you  
 10 better teach them in a language that they can understand.  
 11 Otherwise, they lose so much ground.

12 That's my basic philosophy, and I know that that's  
 13 Maura's also and so, we were moving as a school towards that,  
 14 not that it was an easy road, and there were bumps along the  
 15 way.

16 Q So, for the 2000, 2001 school year there was actually  
 17 a fourth grade teacher hired who was teaching an English only  
 18 class but who had the capability of teaching a bilingual class,  
 19 and it was the hope or participation that in the last school  
 20 year meaning the 2000 school year?

21 A 2001, 2002.

22 Q 2001, 2002, school year that teacher might have a  
 23 fourth grade bilingual class; is that correct?

24 A Uh-huh.

25 Q Was that a yes?

1 English only teachers were really saying, oh, my gosh. You've  
 2 got a big challenge here and more than that you're here, rather  
 3 than, we don't want you here, or we're going to go against your  
 4 program. They had pretty much realized how difficult it was.  
 5 And if anything, there was more respect for the bilingual  
 6 program, which is why we were able to talk about expanding the  
 7 program. So, I don't feel that that was a problem at all.

8 Q And was there more respect for the bilingual program  
 9 in light of the fact that the state testing requirements had  
 10 now become more stringent, and the academics had to be taught  
 11 to these children?

12 A Just everything. Everything, I think, is just  
 13 knowledge. As teachers become more knowledgeable about the  
 14 challenges and that they are responsible for these kids  
 15 learning, the more respect that they had for the bilingual  
 16 program. Because, otherwise, they would have to teach these  
 17 children, whom they couldn't relate to, if we didn't have a  
 18 bilingual program.

19 Q Did the Stat 9 test -- is that test given other than  
 20 in English?

21 A It's given in Spanish but they have to take the  
 22 English version also.

23 Q And at what grade level does that testing start?

24 A Second.

25 MR. BRESEE: Is this a convenient breaking point?

Page 43

Page 45

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

1 MS. SCHULMAN: Sure.  
 2 (Recess taken.)  
 3 Let's go back on the record.  
 4 Q You've mentioned now, two instances of people coming  
 5 to you with concerns raised about Ms. Larkins. Were there more  
 6 than two times that this happened?  
 7 A Since over the years, yes, since '97, definitely more  
 8 than two times.  
 9 Q You mentioned the library incident, and you  
 10 mentioned --  
 11 A Right.  
 12 Q And you mentioned the Kingdom incident, and you  
 13 seemed to have zeroed in on this particular time period?  
 14 A Right. Okay. Well, then after that, it was almost  
 15 right away. It couldn't have been more than a couple weeks  
 16 later, we had a science -- a science day schedule where all the  
 17 teachers sign up to teach certain lessons for the children.  
 18 And so, teachers sign up, and they say what it is that they're  
 19 going to teach.  
 20 And somebody had come in, just popped their head in  
 21 my office, and said Maura signed up to teach creationism. I  
 22 think it was creationism, and so I said my goodness. So, I  
 23 went in there, and I saw it and I talked to Maura. I can't  
 24 remember exactly when I did, if it was that day. I think it  
 25 was that day and she said that it was a joke, ha, ha, ha. And

1 should talk about it or have a meeting where we could talk  
 2 about it.  
 3 And now, I feel like I've blocked the whole incident  
 4 out of my brain. I tell you, I have been trying so hard to try  
 5 to remember exactly what happened, but I --  
 6 Q Did Mr. Werlin tell you that JoEllen Hamilton had  
 7 called him over the weekend at home?  
 8 A Yes. She was upset and felt threatened and was  
 9 afraid, and so I waited for his further direction, basically.  
 10 That's what I felt I needed to do. He was going to schedule a  
 11 meeting to talk about, talk to JoEllen, talk to Maura, talk to  
 12 the other teachers, about what was happening or what had  
 13 happened.  
 14 Q And did that meeting take place?  
 15 A Yes.  
 16 Q And when did it take place?  
 17 A Again, I don't remember the date.  
 18 Q And do you recall the month?  
 19 A I can't even remember the month. It was after -- I  
 20 think it was after April. It was in April some time.  
 21 Q And who was present at that meeting? And this is  
 22 April 2001, correct?  
 23 A Yes. The third grade teachers, Ms. Beers was there,  
 24 I think as the union rep. It seemed like we would call her in  
 25 for meetings when it was a teacher issue of some sort because

Page 46

Page 48

1 I said well, okay. Well, good. People didn't take it as a  
 2 joke because, you know, they were still pretty much reeling  
 3 from the last time you were all upset about something. And so,  
 4 I guess, maybe, Maura -- people don't understand you.  
 5 And so, what I did was, I went into the lounge and I  
 6 told everyone, you know, this was just a joke, and so on the  
 7 board I just put "ha, ha" next to creationism to show that it  
 8 was just in jest.  
 9 And I talked to Maura about that. I remember talking  
 10 to her about how, maybe, people didn't know her very well and  
 11 that they didn't know her well enough to understand that that  
 12 was just a joke. And that maybe we needed to do something, she  
 13 and I, to make sure that, maybe, people got to know her a  
 14 little better, and she said -- we agreed. I think that was one  
 15 conversation where I felt that, you know, we had come to some  
 16 kind of understanding and, you know, I felt pretty good about  
 17 that conversation.  
 18 And again, I'm not sure about the timing, but not  
 19 long after that there was the problem with JoEllen.  
 20 Q I'm sorry. Problem with what?  
 21 A With JoEllen Hamilton. And I received a call from  
 22 Rick over the weekend or an evening.  
 23 Q That would be Rick Werlin?  
 24 A Rick Werlin, saying that JoEllen had called him very  
 25 upset that she had a confrontation with Maura, and that we

1 she was our union rep, and I believe she took notes at that  
 2 meeting. Teachers talked about their relationships and what  
 3 they recalled about the incident.  
 4 Q Was Rick Werlin present?  
 5 A Yes. Rick was -- it was his meeting.  
 6 Q He conducted the meeting?  
 7 A He conducted the meeting.  
 8 Q And were all the third grade teachers, including Ms.  
 9 Larkins, present?  
 10 A No. All the teachers except Maura were there.  
 11 Q Was she on any kind of leave of absence at that time?  
 12 A I can't -- I'm sorry. I can't remember now if he had  
 13 placed her on leave before that meeting or after that meeting.  
 14 Q He, meaning Mr. Werlin?  
 15 A Mr. Werlin. I can't remember.  
 16 Q And you observed that Ms. Beers was taking notes?  
 17 A Yes.  
 18 Q And did she ever show you those notes?  
 19 A No.  
 20 Q Do you have any knowledge as to whether or not  
 21 Ms. Larkins had been invited to attend that meeting?  
 22 A I don't know. So many things sort of happened within  
 23 a short time frame, and in my mind it's all kind of jumbled  
 24 together, unfortunately. And so, I truly cannot remember. I  
 25 remember that meeting with the teachers and I remember inviting

Page 47

Page 49

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

1 her to meetings and there were meetings where she couldn't make  
 2 it. And so, I don't know which is which. I don't know if she  
 3 was invited or not invited.

4 Q Would your notes that you handed over to Dan Shinoff  
 5 be useful to you in helping you to refresh your recollection?  
 6 A It would be useful, yes.

7 Q Do you have any recollection of who said what at that  
 8 meeting?

9 A I think I remember JoEllen just -- now, I do think  
 10 I'm mixing up meetings because the meeting with the grade level  
 11 team was after she came back -- you know what, I'm mixing it  
 12 up.

13 It was after she came back, and there was the  
 14 incident at the swimming pool where Rick called the meeting  
 15 with the third grade team, and Marie Beers was there. That's  
 16 when that meeting occurred.

17 Now, after the call from JoEllen, I believe there was  
 18 a meeting -- there was a meeting, I think it was here at the  
 19 district office with Maura and Gina and me and JoEllen. I'm  
 20 sorry. I remember --

21 Q And Gina, is that Gina Boyd?

22 A Gina Boyd.

23 Q The president of the teacher's union?

24 A Yes. JoEllen was there, JoEllen and Rick, Gina,  
 25 Maura, and myself.

1 JoEllen was just scared. The way the topic came about and the  
 2 way that she had come in and started, you know, that's what I  
 3 remember.

4 Q Now, if I understand you correctly, JoEllen went to  
 5 Rick Werlin with this complaint. She did not come to you; is  
 6 that correct?

7 A I don't remember her coming to me first. I may  
 8 have -- I think I heard someone else, maybe, tell me about it,  
 9 but I was surprised when I got the call from Rick.

10 Q Did you ever ask, either JoEllen Hamilton or anyone  
 11 else, why JoEllen had not initially come to you about her  
 12 concern?

13 A No, I didn't. I didn't. I think it happened -- I  
 14 might not have been there, it was the weekend and so, you know,  
 15 maybe she tried to get ahold of me. I don't think that even  
 16 was brought up. I don't think I even thought about why she  
 17 didn't.

18 Q Is it your understanding that this incident happened  
 19 during the school week, that is, some day Monday through  
 20 Friday?

21 A Right. It happened during the week, during a school  
 22 day, a regular school day, not on a weekend.

23 Q And is it your understanding that JoEllen Hamilton  
 24 contacted Mr. Werlin in the evening at home?

25 A Uh-huh.

Page 50

Page 52

1 Q Sitting here today, can you tell us any specifics  
 2 about what JoEllen expressed made her frightened or concerned,  
 3 other than saying she was scared or frightened or concerned?  
 4 A I can't remember the words exactly. I just remember  
 5 that it was the way she came into the room and the things that  
 6 she said, things that is, Maura said that were not  
 7 comprehensible to JoEllen, that were off the wall.

8 And she didn't understand why she was being attacked  
 9 the way she was, couldn't understand it at all, and so that's  
 10 what frightened her, the heightened emotion for something she  
 11 couldn't understand.

12 Q Was she being attacked, as you understand, to be a  
 13 verbal attack as opposed to a physical attack?

14 A Verbal attack, verbal attack.

15 Q And what is your understanding based upon JoEllen's  
 16 rendition, if you have one, of where this event occurred?

17 A It occurred in the teacher's mail box area. The  
 18 lounges are divided into two sections, the sitting area and  
 19 then there's the mail box area where the Xerox machine is.

20 Q Did you gain some understanding about the topic of  
 21 this verbal exchange, if you will?

22 A Exchange. That's the part I can't remember. I can't  
 23 even believe that I can't remember it, but I can't. It was  
 24 packed with more emotion, I think that I remember the emotion  
 25 more than the actual words, that she was frightened, just --

1 Q Is that a yes?

2 A That's a yes.

3 Q Was it late? Did he tell you that it was a Saturday  
 4 evening?

5 A It was on the weekend, Saturday, because I think I  
 6 received the call like Sunday.

7 Q From whom?

8 A From Rick.

9 Q At home?

10 A At home.

11 Q And what did he tell you?

12 A He said that he received a call from JoEllen. She  
 13 was very upset and -- because of a confrontation with Maura and  
 14 that he would be contacting me in the morning. So, that had to  
 15 have been on Sunday.

16 Q So, then a meeting was set up with you and JoEllen  
 17 and Rick Werlin and Maura Larkins?

18 A And that was here at the district office.

19 Q And when did that meeting occur? In terms of the --  
 20 was it the following week?

21 A The following week some time.

22 Q And was Gina Boyd or some other union rep present at  
 23 that meeting?

24 A Gina.

25 Q What, if anything, was the result of that meeting?

Page 51

Page 53

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

1 A I thought that it was then that Rick told her not to  
 2 come back to Castle Park until something, until it was  
 3 resolved, whatever that meant. I can't remember exactly what  
 4 that resolution was.

5 I remember feeling very concerned about her health,  
 6 maybe that things were upsetting her at home. That it was  
 7 causing her to be so upset. I can't remember now, exactly,  
 8 much more than that.

9 Q Did Ms. Larkins ever tell you that there was anything  
 10 going on in her personal life that was upsetting her, at that  
 11 juncture, or at any time?

12 A The year before she had gone on some leave, extended  
 13 leave, and I thought that it had something to do with that.  
 14 She was gone. She really wouldn't tell me what it was, but I  
 15 received the note from personnel that it was a leave. And that  
 16 when she came back, she came back not full time, but she had a  
 17 substitute coming in for part of the time. So, I just assumed  
 18 that it was something between her and personnel, and that it  
 19 was a problem that she was having that then got resolved in the  
 20 year 2000, 2001.

21 Q So, that was a problem you understood to have  
 22 existed?

23 A The year before.

24 Q Okay.

25 A And so, then, I thought when this was going on that

1 Monday. And there was an absolute directive as to the steps I  
 2 needed to take for her to come back.

3 Q And who did you get that directive from?

4 A From Rick. And so, I just followed everything to the  
 5 letter, you know. We were to have a meeting first thing in the  
 6 morning to welcome her back and a meeting with the team to plan  
 7 for her -- plan the curriculum and the rest of the year and  
 8 then to, sort of, have a plan for future, I believe, mediation.

9 And so, all of those things were happening, and they  
 10 were -- we were in the middle of all of that when there was a  
 11 confrontation at the pool. The third graders go swimming at  
 12 the recreation center pool.

13 Q Okay. If I may interrupt you, you said "we were in  
 14 the middle of all that." I'm not sure what you meant by that.

15 A We were in the middle of welcoming her. We had had  
 16 our meeting first thing in the morning on Monday to welcome her  
 17 back, and we had the meeting with the team, her third grade  
 18 team, to talk about, you know, what's the plan. Where are we,  
 19 because she hadn't been there, you know, catch her up. Where  
 20 are we in the curriculum, where are the goods, what's been  
 21 happening, where are the fieldtrips you know just everything.  
 22 We had that meeting.

23 We also, I believe, had a meeting with Gina at that  
 24 time, Gina Boyd, to talk about okay, what do we do about  
 25 mediating? You know, how are we going to do that? There were

Page 54

Page 56

1 it may have had something to do with that, that she was still  
 2 upset or ill about something. And so, you know, I was  
 3 concerned because things that were happening were very unusual,  
 4 and she seemed not all there. I mean, not -- I certainly  
 5 couldn't understand her behavior, very incomprehensible.

6 Q You mentioned an incident with a -- strike that.

7 Before I get there, did during this meeting did  
 8 Ms. Larkins offer up a rendition of what she believed had  
 9 happened, if anything, between herself and JoEllen Hamilton?

10 A She must have. I don't remember what she said  
 11 exactly. I do remember that we all came to the same conclusion  
 12 though.

13 Q Which was?

14 A That we all decided that yes, she should take off for  
 15 a few days and rest.

16 Q So, is that an administrative leave with pay, if you  
 17 know?

18 A I believe it was with pay, but I don't know. I don't  
 19 know why I think that, but I believe it was.

20 Q You mentioned an incident involving a swimming pool?

21 A That was afterwards, after she came back, after, you  
 22 know, some time had gone by.

23 And one day, I was called to the district. We had a  
 24 meeting and she was to come back. This must have been, say, on  
 25 a Thursday or Friday, and that she was going to come back on a

1 just initial talks. That's what I mean by being in the middle  
 2 of it. We hadn't scheduled any meetings yet. We were still  
 3 talking about how we were going to do that, how were we going  
 4 to do it.

5 Q Talking about the mediation?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Okay. But the other two meetings had occurred, to  
 8 meet, to go welcome her back, and the team meeting to plan the  
 9 curriculum for the rest of the year that had happened?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And so, the mediation plan was still in the talking  
 12 stage?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And Gina Boyd was included in that discussion?

15 A Yes. She was a big part of that, and in the  
 16 meantime, of course, the kids are still coming to school and  
 17 teaching is still happening and there was the swimming. The  
 18 third graders go swimming and her class, Maura's class, and  
 19 Linda Watson's class happened to be scheduled together. So,  
 20 they were at the pool together, and there was an incident there  
 21 where Maura had confronted Linda Watson and scared her.

22 Q Okay. How did you glean that information?

23 A She came back right from swimming, she came right  
 24 into my office and she said Maura, I think her words were,  
 25 Maura attacked me.

Page 55

Page 57

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

1 Q Okay. Does she --  
 2 A She was so upset, I was like woe, woe, woe, sit down.  
 3 Let's talk about it. I believe there was another teacher there  
 4 with us. Oh, it was Al Smith. I believe Al Smith was the one  
 5 who -- because she was so upset, he kind of ushered her in and  
 6 stayed.  
 7 Q Okay. But he wasn't at the pool, was he?  
 8 A No, he wasn't. But I think he was the one that she  
 9 first relayed the story to, and so he came with her.  
 10 And from what she said, and I can't remember exactly,  
 11 but she was cornered in the pool area, in the dressing area,  
 12 and Maura came at her. I mean, just -- she related it as a  
 13 very physical confrontation where Maura was stepping towards  
 14 her, and Linda was stepping back and was feeling scared until  
 15 she had her back against the wall. And Maura was still kind of  
 16 coming forward telling her how upset she was about something,  
 17 something about a field trip, I think, or chaperones. I can't  
 18 even remember what exactly it was. But it scared her. It  
 19 scared her very much, and there were kids, apparently, who  
 20 witnessed that and that really upset the teacher also. And  
 21 because this had happened in front of children, that really was  
 22 very, very inappropriate. And I called Rick, and that's when  
 23 he set up the meeting at the site with the teachers.  
 24 Q With all the third grade teachers?  
 25 A Yes.

1 Q And this would have been in the girl's locker room  
 2 area?  
 3 A Uh-uh.  
 4 Q Was that a yes?  
 5 A Yes.  
 6 Q To your knowledge were any of the parents of  
 7 Ms. Larkin's students contacted and told that she would be out,  
 8 and the substitute would be in the class?  
 9 A Absolutely. Yes. I called each and every, I called  
 10 every parent.  
 11 Q And what did you tell every parent?  
 12 A I just told every parent that Ms. Larkins would not  
 13 be back for a while and that we were having a substitute. And  
 14 I talked mostly about the substitute.  
 15 Q Did you define what a while meant?  
 16 A No, because I didn't know. I'm sure Rick has that  
 17 letter.  
 18 Q Was there a letter that was sent to the parents?  
 19 A Uh-huh.  
 20 Q Was that a yes?  
 21 A Yes.  
 22 MS. SCHULMAN: Was that a letter that was produced,  
 23 Mr. Bresee?  
 24 MR. BRESEE: I don't know --  
 25 MS. SCHULMAN: I just seem to recollect it.

Page 58

Page 60

1 Q Excluding Ms. Larkins; is that correct?  
 2 A I don't believe she was there. I don't know if she  
 3 was invited.  
 4 Q And how long after the swimming pool incident did  
 5 this meeting occur?  
 6 A It must have been the next day.  
 7 Q And was there a representative from the teacher's  
 8 union present?  
 9 A That's Maria Beers, who's our union rep.  
 10 Q Who was taking notes?  
 11 A Yes.  
 12 Q And what, if anything, resulted from that meeting?  
 13 A She was then placed on leave again. And Rick said to  
 14 find a long-term sub until the end of the year, or who could  
 15 stay till the end of the year, because he wasn't sure how long  
 16 this would take. In other words, a very reliable sub for those  
 17 children since they had been -- our concern was the kids at  
 18 this point because she had been gone and they had had several  
 19 subs at this point and they had witnessed this incident. And  
 20 so, we were really caring, really trying to care for the kids  
 21 at this point.  
 22 Q This incident took place in the locker room area?  
 23 A Right. The kids all changed out of their suits into  
 24 there walking clothes or, you know, got dried off so they could  
 25 have walked back to school.

1 MR. BRESEE: I don't know for sure. I wasn't  
 2 involved in the final production. I was on vacation I believe  
 3 my office asked for an extension, so I didn't see the actual --  
 4 MS. SCHULMAN: Could you check that, and if you don't  
 5 have it could you get it?  
 6 MR. BRESEE: Yeah, I'd be happy to give it to you.  
 7 As I'm thinking of the specific request, like I mentioned  
 8 previously, your request was related to -- I'm not sure it  
 9 would fall within the request, but I will request it.  
 10 MS. SCHULMAN: I know the way that I request, and I  
 11 generally have a dragnet question.  
 12 Q Do you speak Spanish?  
 13 A No.  
 14 Q And the parents you were calling, were they mainly  
 15 Spanish speakers?  
 16 A Most of them are Spanish speakers, but between my,  
 17 sort of very, very broken Spanish and their very broken  
 18 English, we managed to talk. A lot of times I would, sometimes  
 19 I would talk with the child, who would then translate and back  
 20 and forth.  
 21 I do believe I asked Maria Beers to call, maybe, a  
 22 parent as a follow-up type of a call to make sure they  
 23 understood. But, pretty much, most of them were able to  
 24 understand. The letter went out in English and Spanish, I'm  
 25 sure, as everything did.

Page 59

Page 61

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

1 Q And how many students were in her class, Ms. Larkin's  
2 class?

3 A No more than 20. The third grade is less than 20.  
4 Q Were there any other incidents that happened that  
5 were reported to you during the 2000, 2001, school year  
6 concerning Ms. Larkin's perceived conduct, or actual conduct,  
7 which you haven't already told us this morning?

8 A Well, the one thing that really concerned me was that  
9 my number one concern, were the children. And when  
10 Ms. Larkins, Maura, was asked not to have contact with the  
11 kids, I was very concerned that she did have contact with the  
12 parents and children, after she was asked not to.

13 Q When was Ms. Larkins asked not to have any contact?

14 A At that second meeting, or after she was asked to  
15 leave the second time.

16 Q And who told her not to have any contact with the  
17 kids?

18 A I believe Rick Werlin did.

19 Q By the way, how long was she actually back teaching  
20 between the end of the first leave and that school year, and  
21 the beginning of the second leave?

22 A No more than a week, I think. She came back on a  
23 Monday, and I think it was Thursday when it happened a very,  
24 very short time.

25 Q So, during that meeting Mr. Werlin gave a directive

1 A Yes.

2 Q And how did you learn that?

3 A Yes. A teacher, I believe it was Maria Beers called  
4 me, and told me. I can't remember now, how she told me, told  
5 me that she had heard from a parent that they were meeting --  
6 children were meeting Maura at the library to learn English, I  
7 think. I can't remember specifically, to do work together.

8 But these were her students.

9 Q At the public library?

10 A Yes.

11 Q In Chula Vista someplace?

12 A In Chula Vista someplace. I wasn't sure which  
13 particular -- there are two, and I wasn't sure which one  
14 exactly and neither was Maria.

15 Q Did Maria have any information about how many  
16 students were participating?

17 A Apparently, there were quite a few. I don't know.  
18 That's all I know.

19 Q And what, if anything, did you do upon learning this  
20 information?

21 A I told Rick. I told Rick and --

22 Q Do you know what happened next?

23 A I believe he tried to contact her to reiterate the  
24 directive. I don't know if he was successful in that.

25 I actually went to the library a couple of times to

Page 62

Page 64

1 to Ms. Larkins that she was not to have any contact with her  
2 students; is that correct?

3 A Yes, that was my understanding.

4 Q Did you hear him?

5 A He told me that I think.

6 Q He told you that he had said that to Ms. Larkins?

7 You didn't actually hear him first hand?

8 A I don't remember actually hearing that. It may have  
9 happened at that meeting. I don't remember how I know that,  
10 but he told me that she was not to have contact with the  
11 children and that she would not come back until he said so, you  
12 know, that he would tell me when she was going to be back.

13 Q So, he had essentially taken this problem from your  
14 hands?

15 A Pretty much. Yes, from the time -- actually, I felt  
16 from the time she was on leave the first time, he, you know,  
17 from the time JoEllen called him, I feel that -- I took all  
18 directives from him.

19 Q Now did you understand from what Rick Werlin told you  
20 that not having any contact with the students meant that she  
21 wasn't to come on campus at school?

22 A I meant -- I understand that to mean not to have any  
23 contact with them, period.

24 Q And did you come to find out that that directive, as  
25 you understood it, had been violated by Ms. Larkins?

1 see if she was actually there.

2 Q Did you see her?

3 A No, I did not. But I wasn't sure of the timing, you  
4 know so I don't know. I was just trying to follow up.

5 Q Did you contact any of the parents to find out if  
6 parents were permitting their children to meet with  
7 Ms. Larkins?

8 A I can't remember now if I had done that or Ms. Beers  
9 had done that. But she was offering students free tutoring  
10 because she didn't want the students to get behind. And so,  
11 that's what she was telling parents, you know, I am willing to  
12 teach your children for free because I don't want -- because I  
13 care about them, and I don't want them to get behind.

14 Q Did you believe that that was a true statement?

15 A You know, I believe that Maura Larkins loves  
16 children. I always did. Her motivation for this, I don't  
17 know.

18 Q Did the school district, to your knowledge,  
19 irrespective of how you gained that knowledge, take any steps  
20 to stop Ms. Larkins from meeting with these students?

21 A I thought that Rick had called her to do that.

22 Q Had called whom?

23 A Had called Maura. I don't know if that happened. I  
24 called the children and told them not to do that.

25 Q And did you speak to the children or their parents?

Page 63

Page 65

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

1 A I spoke to the parents.

2 Q And --

3 A And just said, no, that she cannot do that, that --  
4 please don't do that. Please don't go to the library because  
5 Ms. Larkins cannot teach your children, cannot tutor your  
6 children, at this time.

7 Q Did you tell them why?

8 A No. I mean, that's all I said, just basically that,  
9 I think. Just getting that much information across was  
10 difficult enough.

11 Q Did anyone ask you why?

12 A Yes. They all asked me why. Why, what's wrong,  
13 what's happening? And I said well, I can't -- and that was  
14 what was so difficult because they all asked, "Why? We love  
15 her. She's so, wonderful."

16 And I would say, "Yes, I agree she's wonderful but  
17 she can't at this time, and I can't tell you any more than  
18 that."

19 And it was that vagueness that was very difficult for  
20 parents to hear. They didn't want to hear that. They wanted  
21 to hear exactly why, but I couldn't tell them.

22 Q And do you have any knowledge as to whether or not  
23 the children stopped meeting with Ms. Larkins after your phone  
24 calls to the parents?

25 A I think that they did. Ms. Beers didn't hear of any

1 meeting children at the school, and so I went down there. I  
2 went to the school and called our resource officer, who then  
3 called other police, and we waited there at the school. I  
4 didn't know what I was waiting for exactly. I just knew that  
5 if she had called kids to come to the school, that I wanted to  
6 be there because the call was so unusual.

7 Q Okay. Let me back you up because I'm not too sure I  
8 understand this. Rick Werlin called you at home; is that  
9 correct?

10 A Uh-huh, yes.

11 Q Was this a weekend or an evening?

12 A I believe it was a weekend, Sunday morning, or maybe  
13 Saturday night, saying that this was going to happen Sunday  
14 morning.

15 Q And did he receive a phone call from somebody  
16 respecting themselves to be a radio station?

17 A No. My recollection was that it was Maura who called  
18 him and said, call the radio station. Let's see -- you know, I  
19 don't even want to paraphrase it. Something about calling the  
20 radio station or that she called the radio station, and that  
21 meet me at Castle Park with the kids, something to that nature.  
22 I mean, I remember radio station, kids, Castle Park. She was  
23 going to be there and so -- I think there was a time, like 9:00  
24 o'clock, because I remember I needed to be there at 8:00  
25 o'clock to be sure that if kids did come that they would be

Page 66

Page 68

1 more and I certainly didn't hear of anything more.

2 Q The substitute teacher that you had told the parents  
3 about in your previous telephone conversations, was that a  
4 person who was a bilingual teacher?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And what was her name?

7 A Sandra Ornelas.

8 Q How do you spell the last name?

9 A How can I remember her and not -- I think it was  
10 Sandra O-r-n-e-l-a-s.

11 Q Were there any other instances of conduct of behavior  
12 on the part of Ms. Larkins that occurred during the 2002, 2001,  
13 school year, that you haven't already related to us this  
14 morning?

15 A Just one more that I remember, and it was after the  
16 swimming -- not swimming, after the library incidents or the --  
17 after all that, I don't remember exactly how long after, maybe  
18 a week or two, where Rick had received a call, I believe from  
19 Maura.

20 Q After the library incidents, you mean the public  
21 library incidents?

22 A Public library incidents -- saying that, let's call  
23 channel, some radio station or some TV station, and meet me at  
24 Castle Park or something. It was a very strange message, but  
25 what I was -- what occurred to me was that maybe she was

1 sent home.

2 Q And so, you went down at the appointed hour or  
3 somewhat before that?

4 A Oh, yes, way before. And I had the resource officer  
5 there.

6 Q And what happened?

7 A Nothing. I was there. We were waiting and nobody  
8 came. I think maybe one child. I seem to remember a child  
9 kind of looking in to see. I mean, it wasn't even in her class  
10 or anything. What's going on because there were police cars?  
11 No, that was it, and we waited about an hour, I think. I mean  
12 an hour past the time. It was 9:00 o'clock.

13 We left around 10:00 o'clock, and I went down, at  
14 that point, down to the library, you know, thinking maybe it  
15 was supposed to be the library. And I went down there, and  
16 there was nobody there. So I went home.

17 Q In addition to the resource officer there, were other  
18 Chula Vista police officers there?

19 A Right. They responded also.

20 Q About how many altogether?

21 A There were, I believe, two squad cars.

22 Q Besides the resource officer?

23 A You know what, I don't think the resource officer  
24 actually came. I think he sent the two squad cars. Or if he  
25 came, he came later. I can't remember. I just remember there

Page 67

Page 69

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

1 was a bunch of police there, two squad cars there.  
 2 Q Did you ever speak to Ms. Larkins about whether or  
 3 not she had actually called Rick Werlin to say that there was  
 4 going to be a radio station?

5 A No, no. At this point, really, I didn't feel that I  
 6 could call her in anyway. I just --  
 7 Q Did you ever hear of some incident involving Rick  
 8 Werlin, and pens or pencils that were said to have been thrown  
 9 at him or near him by Ms. Larkins?

10 A He relayed that to me.

11 Q What did he tell you?

12 A That he had had a conversation with her out front, in  
 13 front of the office. And -- actually, when he was relaying the  
 14 story and, again, I don't remember the exact words, but I can  
 15 remember what I was thinking and that was well, yeah, that  
 16 seems just like the other conversations that I have had with  
 17 her, and other teachers have said that they have had with her.

18 And it was sort of the same reaction but that she  
 19 apparently threw a pencil at him or shoved it at him or  
 20 something like that.

21 I think I wrote that incident down because I thought  
 22 it was so strange.

23 Q In your notes that you gave to Dan Shinoff?

24 A I think so, yes. That and the incident in the  
 25 office. Actually, the incident in the office happened before

1 A In Spanish, to these parents. There were about three  
 2 or four of them all kind of being ushered into the office, the  
 3 office secretary was there, and the attendance clerk was there.  
 4 The office was relatively full of people and she was saying  
 5 this very loudly, and I knew it wasn't good. I mean, I  
 6 couldn't understand everything, but I knew it was not good.

7 I said, "Come on. Let's talk about it. Come into  
 8 the office. Let's talk about it."

9 On the way in she was saying all these things.  
 10 Linda, the attendance clerk, is one person who is bilingual and  
 11 she can understand everything, and she was saying she's telling  
 12 all these parents that you want to -- that you're saying that  
 13 she wants to kill them, and I was horrified. I was just  
 14 mortified that this was happening, and I was able to, sort of,  
 15 usher her into the office. And then that conversation now,  
 16 again, I can't remember exactly. I think I wrote that down  
 17 too, exactly what I said or she said. I do remember thinking,  
 18 you know, Maura, I don't understand that. I didn't think you  
 19 were going to be here. What's going on? Why are you telling  
 20 the parents this? I just can't remember exactly with I said at  
 21 that point but just that I was mortified.

22 Q Did you contact Mr. Werlin at that point and tell him  
 23 what had happened?

24 A Yes, I did. I think it may have been that day that  
 25 he came and then had that confrontation with Maura.

Page 70

Page 72

1 that pen throwing thing. He reminded me of that.

2 Q Which incident in the office?

3 A Okay. There was an incident, it was after -- the  
 4 timing, now, is kind of hazy. I can't remember exactly when it  
 5 was. But it was a time when, I do believe, she wasn't supposed  
 6 to be on campus. Well, I know that. She wasn't supposed to be  
 7 there, and she was there and -- oh, yeah. It was before the  
 8 swimming pool incident.

9 And she had come back. She had come back before she  
 10 was officially supposed to be back. She had come back, and she  
 11 was standing in front of the office with some parents and I  
 12 said oh, Maura, you're back. Nobody told me you were back.

13 And so, we were opening the door into the office and  
 14 coming in and I was going, "Come on in. I want to talk to  
 15 you."

16 And she said she was still talking to the parents  
 17 telling the parents -- this was bizarre, telling the parents  
 18 that, "There she is. She's the one who's telling everybody  
 19 that I'm trying to hurt your children. I'm trying to kill your  
 20 children. Isn't that awful? Ha, ha, ha."

21 And I wasn't really understanding what she was saying  
 22 at the time because, you know, my Spanish is not very good. I  
 23 just --

24 Q And this was a conversation being conducted in  
 25 Spanish?

1 Q With the pencils?

2 A Uh-huh.

3 Q Was that a yes?

4 A Yes. Either that day or the following day. I think  
 5 it was that day, just because I don't recall that she was on  
 6 campus that many times, and so it may have been another day  
 7 where I wasn't there or I was on another part of the campus.

8 Q Did you have some understanding, at that point in  
 9 time, that Ms. Larkins had been barred from returning to Castle  
 10 Hills, I'm sorry Castle Parks Elementary school until further  
 11 notice on that day when she was there speaking to the parents?

12 A I do think she was not supposed to come back. That's  
 13 why I was surprised to see her. But again, that was between  
 14 her and HR. I mean, I was told she wasn't going to be back and  
 15 so I was surprised that she was there, but I don't know exactly  
 16 what the conditions were. I was just told she wasn't coming  
 17 back until Rick told me she was coming back. That's why I was  
 18 surprised that she was there.

19 Q And did Ms. Larkins ever tell you what it was that  
 20 she was doing there that --

21 A No, she didn't. No. If she did, I don't remember.

22 Q At some point in your rendition of this factual  
 23 narrative, you said that Ms. Larkins in saying, you know, this  
 24 person is saying I'm trying to hurt or kill your children  
 25 Ms. Larkins said ha, ha. Did I understand you correctly?

Page 71

Page 73

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

1 A Yes. But it was -- it wasn't, it was not a -- the  
 2 parents were not laughing. It was not a joke among them. If  
 3 she was joking, they were not laughing.

4 I would have to say that her nature at that time was  
 5 more hysterical than ha, ha, ha, this is, you know, this is  
 6 what's happening. It wasn't lighthearted in any way.

7 Q More hysterical, meaning, Ms. Larkin's attitude was  
 8 more hysterical?

9 A It was more emotionally, highly emotionally charged  
 10 and not lighthearted and in a joking way.

11 Q And your ability to understand and communicate in  
 12 Spanish is derived from what kind of learning experience or  
 13 life experience or both?

14 A High school Spanish, Filipino. I am fairly fluent in  
 15 Filipino which has a lot of Spanish basis, you know, a lot of  
 16 the same words.

17 Q Which Filipino language?

18 A Tagalog mostly. Ilocano, actually, is much more  
 19 Spanish based, but the colloquial Spanish that's spoken at  
 20 Castle Park amongst the parents is very different.

21 And so, I have to really listen very intently in  
 22 order to really catch the full meaning of things. You can sort  
 23 of understand when somebody's angry or somebody's excited, you  
 24 know, but not -- I can't pick up every word.

25 Q Okay. To the best of your recollection, have you now

1 Q Okay. You're pointing to the last page which is  
 2 signed?

3 A The letter about going to her home.

4 Q And who do you understand this to be?

5 A I don't know who this is from, but I do know that she  
 6 had gone to Michelle Scharmack's home because Michelle  
 7 Scharmack called me and told me, saying that this is your last  
 8 chance to, basically, to be on my side so as not to be named in  
 9 the litigation.

10 Q And did Ms. Scharmack tell you that after you had  
 11 already left the school?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And what more did Ms. Scharmack tell you about Ms.  
 14 Larkins's visit to her home?

15 A That was it. She thought that I would receive the  
 16 same visit. She called me to warn me, I guess, because it had  
 17 taken her by surprise. It scared her to death. She was just  
 18 scared that, now, she is actually coming to her home and now,  
 19 you know, her family was in jeopardy, and she wanted me to be  
 20 aware of that and tell my family so that I would not be  
 21 surprised.

22 Q And did Michelle Scharmack, rather, tell you that  
 23 there was a discussion between her and Ms. Larkins about  
 24 Michelle not being named as some sort of party in litigation.

25 A Right. That was her understanding, was that Maura

Page 74

Page 76

1 told us about all the incidents that you can recall this  
 2 morning that occurred in that 2000, 2001, school year involving  
 3 Ms. Larkins, or at least allegedly involving her?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Okay. I'd like to have marked as Exhibit 18 a group  
 6 of documents, numbering five. The top one is dated December  
 7 20, 2001. It appears to be handwritten except for obvious fax  
 8 communications that are put on the fax machine receipts on top.  
 9 And the last one is typewritten and is marked December 11,  
 10 2001. This would be Exhibit 18. Just look at them and let us  
 11 know when you're finished. Are you finished looking at them?

12 (Exhibit No. 18 was marked for identification and is  
 13 annexed hereto.)

14 A Uh-huh, yes.

15 Q Have you ever seen any of these and I'll call them  
 16 unsigned statements or letters before?

17 A No.

18 Q And you actually left June 30th of 2001 correct?

19 A Right.

20 Q And it appears, at least as to the dated ones, that  
 21 these were dated in December of 2001, correct?

22 A Right.

23 Q And nobody's ever asked you any information about the  
 24 contents of these particular statements?

25 A No. I did hear about, that she came to her home.

1 wanted her to change her story, basically, in that this was her  
 2 chance to change her story and be on her side or else she  
 3 would -- you know, I tried to block this. Because I'm just  
 4 remembering it now and how upset I was. So that she wouldn't  
 5 be named in this lawsuit and she said I can't change my story  
 6 because -- how can I change my story? This is what happened.

7 So, I said don't worry about it. Just relax. I  
 8 don't think -- did you call somebody? And I think she said she  
 9 called Rick, and I don't know if she did or not.

10 Q And did Michelle tell you whether or not there had  
 11 been any other contacts between her and Ms. Larkins over this  
 12 possible lawsuit?

13 A No.

14 Q Did she share with you whether or not she had  
 15 received any kind of written communication?

16 A No.

17 Q Written communication from Ms. Larkins?

18 A No.

19 Q And did Ms. Larkins ever contact you concerning some  
 20 upcoming lawsuit?

21 A No.

22 Q And are you named in some lawsuit that Ms. Larkins  
 23 has filed?

24 A This was the one that we had, right, that we had  
 25 earlier.

Page 75

Page 77

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

1 Q Okay. And is it your understanding that you're still  
2 a defendant?

3 A No, I don't -- I think that whole thing had been  
4 either dropped or resolved or something because it's no longer  
5 there. It's gone.

6 Q And you understand you're not a defendant in this  
7 matter, here?

8 A Right, I understand that. But I'm still -- it kills  
9 me that I can't remember everything, though, because I think  
10 it's important to remember everything.

11 Q And your notes, perhaps, would help you. Did you  
12 make notes about this incident involving Michelle Sharmack's  
13 calling you?

14 A No, no, I didn't. And it's Scharmaack,  
15 S-c-h-a-r-m-a-c-k, I believe.

16 Q We have it spelled out, but I'm so bad at auditory  
17 stuff that I think I'll stick with Michelle on this one because  
18 I keep doing it wrong. We have a few letters. Mark this next  
19 Exhibit as 19, appears to be 1-23-01 letter from Maura Larkins  
20 to Dr. Donndelingen. Okay. Have you had a chance to read it?  
21 (Exhibit No. 19 was marked for identification and is  
22 annexed hereto.)

23 A Yes.

24 Q Did you receive this particular letter from Laura  
25 Larkins some time in or about the date of January 23, 2001?

1 behavior as insignificant. There was nothing that she ever  
2 came to me with that I didn't talk to the teacher about or talk  
3 to her about or try to do something about.

4 Nothing is insignificant. Maybe we didn't resolve it  
5 to her liking, but never was anything treated as insignificant.

6 And so, when I read that, I thought this is not  
7 possible. I think what she was trying to -- what I thought she  
8 was referring to was a problem she had had the year before,  
9 that I had called Rick Werlin on, and again, at some point, she  
10 decided that we could handle that on-site.

11 That's a whole, long story in itself, but again, it  
12 was about and with fellow staff members. Rick Denman and Robin  
13 Colls were part of that and, again, it was a misunderstanding  
14 that got blown totally out of proportion.

15 And that's what, I'm sure, she was talking about.  
16 And we went through the entire information on that and for her  
17 to say insignificant. I mean, it did get dropped, and we  
18 talked about it, but not dismissed.

19 Q Did Robert -- Robin Colls ever share with you any  
20 kind of information concerning a police report allegedly,  
21 somehow, involving Maura Larkins?

22 A Actually, that did come up at some point when she was  
23 talking, but she, herself, said you know, you don't want to  
24 hear anything about that. And I'm not going to tell you. I  
25 know that there is something, but I have no clue what it is.

Page 78

Page 80

1 A Yes.

2 Q And did you ever discuss the contents of this letter  
3 with Ms. Larkins?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And did you come to some understanding about what it  
6 was that Ms. Larkins was talking about when she said I first  
7 tried to report to you a problem with inappropriate behavior  
8 toward me on the part of the staff members. You dismissed the  
9 matter as insignificant. I have endured in silence?

10 A I don't believe we were able to discuss that. I  
11 asked -- that's when I had called Rick Werlin to set up a  
12 meeting. I told her, in fact, I said, "You know, it sounds  
13 like this is a problem that we're going to need some help and I  
14 have contacted Rick to get in on this with us," and she agreed  
15 to that. And we tried to set up a meeting, Rick Werlin and we  
16 did.

17 We set up a time that she, then, I believe she  
18 couldn't make it, and I decided that she didn't want to meet  
19 anymore. I think that's the right timing for that.

20 Q Did you understand without speaking to her what she  
21 was talking about, about first trying to report a problem to  
22 you the year before, which you dismissed as insignificant?

23 A You know, this is the type of thing that sometimes  
24 she would say. I mean, I never, ever would dismiss a problem  
25 as insignificant that somebody comes to -- I mean inappropriate

1 She didn't want me to know.

2 Q So she said there's something in the police report?

3 A It was a personal thing.

4 Q Involving something that was a nonschool matter but  
5 that Robin Colls was aware of?

6 A Uh-huh.

7 Q Was that a yes?

8 A Yes, I do recall hearing something like that. I have  
9 no details whatsoever.

10 Q Was this in a face-to-face conversation with Robin  
11 Colls?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And what year was that?

14 A That was the year, I think she told me about that  
15 this year, the year --

16 Q 2002?

17 A Two -- 1999, 2000 school year. It was the year  
18 before.

19 Q That this occurred?

20 A It occurred in the 1999, 2000 school year.

21 Q But you found out about it in which year?

22 A There was an incident that this is referring, to my  
23 belief. They had a problem with a staff member the year before  
24 and -- that's what I understood this to mean anyway.

25 Q Exhibit 19?

Page 79

Page 81

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

1 A Yes. It happened 1999, September. It was like the  
 2 first week back from vacation. That's when that happened, and,  
 3 I believe, that's when Robin told me that there was an  
 4 incident, there was a personal, a side incident, but she said  
 5 you don't want to know about it. And I said no, it's personal,  
 6 doesn't have anything to do with school, I don't want to know.

7 Q And she told you it involved some sort of police  
 8 report or law enforcement report?

9 A I think so, yes.

10 Q Did you ask her how she knew that?

11 A Uh-uh.

12 Q No?

13 A That was it. That's all she said. She said I have  
 14 this thing going on with her on the side. Outside of school.  
 15 You don't want to know about it.

16 Q Was it an indication that she had it going on, that  
 17 it was something personal?

18 A It was a personal issue outside of school going on  
 19 with Maura and her somehow, and I don't know if it was her or  
 20 her family or -- but that was it. That's all she said.

21 Q Did Ms. Colls, rather, leave you with the impression  
 22 that it was going on -- that somehow, Ms. Colls was actually  
 23 involved in this personal incident?

24 A That she or her family, you know, she knows about it  
 25 or it was -- something else going on outside of school,

1 been trained at Yale University, and we were getting it going.

2 We didn't quite have all the pieces yet.

3 Q And is that a problem solving method?

4 A No. It's a school reform method to increase  
 5 student's achievement.

6 Q I'm sorry. It was a school reform method for what?

7 A Reform program, to improve school achievement,  
 8 student's achievement.

9 Q And was part of that program, then, inclusive of  
 10 mediation at the school level of some sort of staff disputes?

11 A No. No, it really -- that -- this part of it,  
 12 whenever she would bring up Comer as a process that's  
 13 failing -- it was always very confusing to me because,  
 14 obviously, it was a misunderstanding on Maura's part as to what  
 15 Comer is.

16 Comer is a school reform process. It's a process  
 17 through which a school handles all of its management and -- of  
 18 the school in order for the school to best serve students, and  
 19 it's a three-prong program where you try to increase parental  
 20 involvement. You try to increase staff involvement for the  
 21 governing of the school, and then you try to meet the students'  
 22 needs at an individual level and so -- and in that effort we  
 23 were moving forward.

24 It's difficult when you're going through a change,  
 25 you know, a staff, a whole school change in the way you do

Page 82

Page 84

1 something to do with Robin and or her family and Maura. I  
 2 don't know even why I remember that. Somehow somebody told me,  
 3 and I think it was Robin.

4 Q It had something to do with either Robin or a family  
 5 member of Robin's?

6 A Yeah. I think if it was actually Robin I probably  
 7 would have wanted to know, just natural curiosity. I don't  
 8 think it was against her. I think it was a family member.

9 Q Going back to January of 2001, our next exhibit is a  
 10 January 26 letter addressed to you from Maura Larkins. We'll  
 11 mark that as Exhibit 20.

12 (Exhibit No. 20 was marked for identification and is  
 13 annexed hereto.)

14 A Okay.

15 Q Have you had a chance to read it?

16 A Well, yes.

17 Q Did you receive this letter at, or about the date of  
 18 January 26, 2001?

19 A Right. This was after we had set up a meeting with  
 20 Rick and then she decided that she would rather just, she and  
 21 I, talk about it.

22 Q And this reference to a Comer method. Was the school  
 23 at that time a Comer school?

24 A We were trying to become a Comer school. We hadn't  
 25 officially become one. We had been trying very hard. I had

1 things, but we were moving along in that process. But it  
 2 doesn't really have a mediation thing where it would solve any  
 3 kind of one-on-one problem with the teacher, and another  
 4 teacher or a teacher and myself.

5 Q Is Comer a model or approach to give individual  
 6 autonomy?

7 A No. The schools in Chula Vista already have  
 8 autonomy. What it is, it taps the talents of the entire staff  
 9 so that it's not a top-down organization but rather a very flat  
 10 organization.

11 So, I don't make all the decisions. The whole team  
 12 makes the decisions for the good of the whole school. And so,  
 13 we have several committees that handle all the different  
 14 aspects of the governing of the school from technology, you  
 15 know, to curriculum to the beautification of the school as well  
 16 as curriculum.

17 Q So, having received this letter, then, did you take  
 18 any further action to meet with Ms. Larkins?

19 A I can't remember if we met after this letter or what  
 20 else happened during this time because it seemed to me that  
 21 things kind of started snowballing after this, that something  
 22 else happened.

23 I think this is about the time when the science thing  
 24 was put on the board and then soon thereafter, the JoEllen  
 25 thing.

Page 83

Page 85

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

1 I can't remember if we actually had this meeting, but  
 2 I do remember that there was some time when we did have that  
 3 conversation where I said well, it seems to me Maura, that the  
 4 staff doesn't really know you, understand you. They don't  
 5 understand that this was a joke. Remember the thing with the  
 6 science? Maybe we need to come together, and let's talk about  
 7 getting to know the staff, the staff getting to know you a  
 8 little bit better so that they will understand you. I said,  
 9 you know, maybe you've been isolated too long. Let's work on  
 10 that. I think that was around the same time here.

11 So, I don't know if we formally met. I don't think  
 12 we formally met on this because other things were happening at  
 13 the same time that preempted it.

14 Q Had you been in contact with Cyndi Miller on January  
 15 20, the day before the date appearing on Exhibit 20, to discuss  
 16 Ms. Larkins?

17 A And it could be that's -- yeah. Because again, you  
 18 know, I was trying to call HR to get help on this because I  
 19 thought it was time to get HR's help again. Then we did set up  
 20 a meeting and to me, at that time, Cyndi Miller and Rick were,  
 21 I mean, they were one. If I talked to Cyndi, I knew Cyndi was  
 22 going to tell Rick and vice versa. When I say Rick, it may  
 23 have been Cyndi Miller instead.

24 Q And then you got the letter the next day or so from  
 25 Ms. Larkins?

1 A Yeah. "Ellen cannot meet on Wednesday after school.  
 2 She would like to meet after at a later date with a mediator.

3 Let's talk."

4 Q You provided this note to Ms. Larkins on or about the  
 5 date; is that correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And this involved the incident with JoEllen Hamilton?

8 A It did -- I don't know if it's the same -- I don't  
 9 think it's the same. I think it was still -- this might have  
 10 been, still been about the science thing. That JoEllen was the  
 11 one who came to me. JoEllen was in charge of the science day  
 12 and wanted to talk with Maura, or at some point, Maura and I  
 13 must have talked and said yeah, Ellen maybe should be part of  
 14 this talk, but JoEllen didn't want to meet without a mediator.

15 We were working towards some sort of meeting of the  
 16 minds here, as I recall. But this, I don't think that this is  
 17 the same incident that she then called Rick on. This was about  
 18 the science thing, still trying to resolve that.

19 Q And did the meeting ever occur?

20 A I don't think -- no, because she wanted it to be with  
 21 a mediator, and so it's difficult to find somebody to do that.  
 22 We never did find a mediator for anything.

23 Q Was there supposed to be some sort of meeting with  
 24 Roger Cunningham, maybe around the beginning of February?

25 A Uh-huh.

Page 86

Page 88

1 A Right.

2 Q Which wanted the meeting with you, as opposed to a  
 3 meeting with --

4 A Right.

5 Q -- rick Werlin involved?

6 A Right.

7 Q And that was a process you did not wish to engage?

8 A Oh, no. Believe me, this is, like, okay. Good, yes.

9 Let's do that. I have never turned Maura away as long as I can  
 10 remember. I mean, I'm happy to talk to any teacher about  
 11 anything.

12 Q But the meeting did not occur in that fashion?

13 A And I don't know why. I really think it's because,  
 14 then, the JoEllen thing came in the middle of this, or maybe  
 15 the science incident came in the middle of this. I can't  
 16 remember what the timing was, but there was no reason not to  
 17 have this meeting. And I was totally up to have any meeting  
 18 with her to to talk about how we can improve our relationships,  
 19 or her relationship, with her team.

20 Q Let's look at what I've marked as Exhibit 21 which  
 21 appears to be a note from you dated 2-5-01 to Maura, and your  
 22 handwriting's pretty clear as are your little jots of  
 23 abbreviations. Why not just read the whole thing out loud?

24 (Exhibit No. 21 was marked for identification, and is  
 25 annexed hereto.)

1 Q And who is Roger Cunningham?

2 A He was our Comer, sort of mentor, I guess. He is  
 3 around -- he is hired by the Comer Institute as, sort of, just  
 4 a source of information.

5 Q I'm sorry. I interrupted you.

6 A And Maura had suggested that maybe he could mediate a  
 7 session with us, to talk about what was going on. And I asked  
 8 him if he could, and he was going away on a trip or something  
 9 and then when he came back, he wanted to know the nature of it,  
 10 and it sounded like an HR issue, not a school issue, and he  
 11 felt that he couldn't mediate that.

12 Q So he declined to mediate?

13 A Yes.

14 Q We'll mark as Exhibit 22 a letter purportedly  
 15 addressed to you from Maura Larkins dated April 20th, 2001,  
 16 with a carbon copy to Rick Werlin.

17 (Exhibit No. 22 was marked for identification and is  
 18 annexed hereto.)

19 A Yes.

20 Q Did you receive this communication on or about  
 21 April 20th?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Yes?

24 A Yes, I did.

25 Q And what, if anything, did you do as a result of

Page 87

Page 89

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

1 receiving this letter, where Ms. Larkins seems to be raising  
 2 issues concerning Linda Watson?  
 3 A Right. Well, this was the incident in the pool that  
 4 she's referring to. And so, after that incident, I called Rick  
 5 and basically put it in Rick's hands, and he was going to call  
 6 the meetings and do everything. And so, I felt that it was not  
 7 my place, at that point, to call Maura and get her side of the  
 8 story, that that would happen on a much higher level, much more  
 9 formal way.

10 Q Did you ever provide Rick Werlin with any kind of  
 11 written documentation regarding any of the complaints about  
 12 Maura Larkins?

13 A No. Any of my notes you mean?

14 Q Anything?

15 A No. I sent him -- I would send him, not this Maura  
 16 letter one, but I'm pretty sure I sent him -- he got this one  
 17 and this one and this one.

18 Q Meaning the letters that we've attached as exhibits  
 19 to the deposition?

20 A Right.

21 Q 19, 20, 21, 22?

22 A Not 21.

23 Q Not 21? Okay.

24 A I don't think I did. But the ones that she wrote me,  
 25 I just made sure that he got it too, so he would know what was

1 particular classroom. They don't have to work with other  
 2 teachers and open up their classrooms and their programs to  
 3 other kids.

4 The integration of bilingual students happens very  
 5 naturally at Castle Park, or did while I was there. It -- we  
 6 had a bilingual program to meet their needs, and they were  
 7 fully integrated in things like music that we did and all of  
 8 the PE and recesses, and that's plenty of time for integration.

9 To go above and beyond that, to require teachers to  
 10 do that, I can't. We can't require them to do it. We can ask  
 11 them, which is what I tried to do, ask them is there -- are  
 12 there other things, that is, you can do to combine them in, and  
 13 they did. By the last year there, they were including them in  
 14 lots of fieldtrips, planned fieldtrips, et cetera in order to  
 15 have them.

16 So, they were trying very hard but for them to say  
 17 that they refused to work with her, implies that they were  
 18 refusing because of her personally, which I don't believe that  
 19 is so. I believe that they refused to, maybe, work with her  
 20 children on some occasions because it would take time from  
 21 their own children and their own responsibilities.

22 Q Did they ever tell you that?

23 A They were telling me -- yes, they were telling me all  
 24 the time they don't have time to do any more than what they're  
 25 doing. They're already doing their this, this, and this, and

Page 90

Page 92

1 going on.

2 Q Did you ever make any request of Rick Werlin or any  
 3 other person employed by the Chula Vista Elementary School  
 4 District, that she be transferred from the Castle Park  
 5 Elementary school, that is, Ms. Larkins be transferred?

6 A No.

7 Q Where there ever any teachers who refused to team  
 8 with Ms. Larkins?

9 A Team, as like be a member of third grade because she  
 10 was in third grade?

11 Q Right.

12 A No.

13 Q Did Ms. Watson ever refuse the team with Ms. Larkins?

14 A Be a part of third grade? No.

15 Q Did Mr. Denman ever refuse to team with Ms. Larkins?

16 A No.

17 Q Did Al Smith ever refuse the team with Ms. Larkins?

18 A No.

19 Q Was there ever any time when either of those three  
 20 people ever refused, in any manner, to work with Ms. Larkins?

21 A Work with her -- okay. I think -- I hate to interpret  
 22 but if she's referring to teaming, as to exchanging kids or  
 23 having kids be integrated, that is not a requirement of  
 24 teachers.

25 They are responsible for their 20 children in their

1 isn't that enough? Of course, we're always asking for more.

2 Q Did there ever come some time when any of the  
 3 teachers at Castle park school relayed to you that they simply  
 4 didn't want to be around Ms. Larkins anymore?

5 A Towards the end there. They were afraid of her.

6 Q Towards the end, meaning, the end of --

7 A Especially after the incident at the pool.

8 Q And did they relay to you that if she were to come  
 9 back, they would want to see her transferred to some other  
 10 school?

11 A They didn't tell me, come right out and say that, no.

12 Q They simply indicated to you, they were fearful to  
 13 work with her?

14 A They were fearful. They were afraid because the  
 15 things she did and said were so incomprehensible to them, and  
 16 inappropriate, that they -- it was like, what else can happen?

17 Q And how many teachers expressed that opinion to you?

18 A A third of them, maybe.

19 Q One third of the teaching population?

20 A Uh-huh.

21 Q And how many teachers is that, approximately?

22 A Okay. All the third grade teachers, the first grade  
 23 teachers, second, Michelle, of course. Kindergarten was Lynne  
 24 and, then, Robin, and her aide.

25 Quite a few teachers had said, in their own way, that

Page 91

Page 93

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

1 they were afraid and what are we doing about it, and I'd say,  
 2 "It's in Rick's hands. He's handling it. I don't think we  
 3 need to be afraid."

4 "Can you guarantee that?"

5 I said, "Well, no I can't guarantee anything, but  
 6 Rick is handling it."

7 That's what I would tell them.

8 Q Robin is Robin Colls?

9 A Right.

10 Q And what was her aide's name at the time?

11 A Kim.

12 Q And one or more kindergarten teachers?

13 A Well, at least Lynne Delgado, the first grade  
 14 teacher. All of them, JoEllen and Nicky and Kathy Bingham.

15 Q Had Kathy Bingham ever, to your knowledge, had any  
 16 kind of personal incident with Ms. Larkins?

17 A Actually, yes, but I can't remember exactly what it  
 18 is. There was something and I can't remember.

19 Q Is it in your notes?

20 A I don't know.

21 Q Had Kim, Robin Colls's aide, ever had any personal  
 22 incidents, to your knowledge?

23 A No. I just think -- just that Kim and Robin were,  
 24 sort of inseparable and so --

25 Q Have you now told us about all the incidents that you

1 minutes to review my notes to make sure I've done that.

2 Before we go off the record, I do have subpoenas for  
 3 witnesses to appear at the hearing which is the 23rd, and I can  
 4 certainly provide this witness with a subpoena. Would you  
 5 prefer I do that? Do you want to make the arrangements for her  
 6 to appear at a time that's reasonable, rather than sitting  
 7 around and waiting.

8 MR. BRESEE: Yes.

9 MS. SCHULMAN: Okay. And I'll just find a copy of  
 10 the subpoena and give it to her. Okay. Thank you.

11 (Recess was taken.)

12 Okay. Back on the record. I have nothing further,  
 13 and I have provided a subpoena for the hearing in that matter.  
 14 And I will be in contact with Mark Bresee so that we can figure  
 15 out where and when -- we know where but when, in the sequence  
 16 of events, we would like to have you there. Because we  
 17 certainly don't want people sitting downtown cooling their  
 18 heels in the state of California building, particularly in that  
 19 building.

20 Do you have any questions?

21 MR. BRESEE: I don't. No, I don't.

22 MS. SCHULMAN: I'd offer the same stipulations as the  
 23 last deposition but we've got a new court reporter. That the  
 24 deposition can be signed under penalty of perjury, that it can  
 25 be delivered directly to the witness at her residence, which we

Page 94

Page 96

1 can recall, without looking at your notes that occurred  
 2 involving Ms. Larkins' conduct or perceived behavior?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Do you have any knowledge as to Mr. Werlin inviting  
 5 Ms. Larkins to return to work after her first leave of absence?

6 A After her first leave of absence? We all met her  
 7 where he said you are to return to Castle Park, that part?

8 Q Right.

9 A Right.

10 Q And did you agree with the decision to ask  
 11 Ms. Larkins to return to Castle Park at that time?

12 A It wasn't my decision.

13 Q Did you agree or disagree with the decision that  
 14 Mr. Werlin made?

15 A It was a decision made. I, frankly, my opinion does  
 16 not matter. I do what I'm told.

17 Q If it had been your decision, am I to take it from  
 18 your answer that it would have been a different decision?

19 MR. BRESEE: Objection. Calls for speculation.

20 THE WITNESS: I don't know of any details. I didn't  
 21 know of any details of her leaving, Rick's decision for her to  
 22 leave and come back. If I knew all of these things maybe I  
 23 would have been able to make a decision.

24 MS. SCHULMAN: Okay. I think I probably have asked  
 25 all the questions I'd like to ask, but I'd just like five

1 can provide, after we go off the record to the court reporter.

2 Since we have a really short time before the hearing,  
 3 in this matter, madam court reporter, could you let us know,  
 4 off the record how long it will take you to deliver the  
 5 transcript?

6 (Discussion held off the record.)

7 Off the record the court reporter indicated she can  
 8 deliver the transcript to the deponent next Tuesday or before.

9 The deponent will read it and report any changes,  
 10 additions, or deletions and the fact of her signature to  
 11 Mr. Bresee, who will by 3:00 p.m. that Friday afternoon, which  
 12 will be the Friday afternoon immediately preceding the hearing.  
 13 Fax me the signature page and any pages that might have changes  
 14 on them. And if for some reason the original is lost or  
 15 destroyed, an uncertified copy may be used in its place.

16 MR. BRESEE: So stipulated.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 95

Page 97

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

1 I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws  
 2 of the State of California that the foregoing is true and  
 3 correct.

4 \_\_\_\_\_ Date 4

5 \_\_\_\_\_ Signature 5  
 6 GRETCHEN DONNDELINGER 6

7 GRETCHEN DONNDELINGER 7

1 EXAMINATION INDEX  
 2 BY MS. SCHULMAN Page 3

3  
 4 EXHIBITS INDEX  
 5 GRETCHEN DONNDELINGER

8	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIED
9	17	A letter or note dated 1-17-01, addressed to Maura and signed by Gretchen.	33
10	18	A group of documents, numbering five. The top one is dated December 20, 2001.	75
11	19	A 1-23-01 letter from Maura Larkins to Dr. Donndelinger.	78
12	20	January 26 letter addressed to Dr. Donndelinger from Maura Larkins.	83
13	21	A note from Dr. Donndelinger, dated 2-5-01, addressed to Maura.	87
14	22	A letter addressed to Dr. Donndelinger from Maura Larkins, dated April 20th, 2001.	89
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 98

Page 100

1 State of California)

2

3 County of San Diego)

4

5 I, Nyree-Dawn Lloyd, a Certified Shorthand Reporter,  
 6 Certificate No. 12587, do hereby certify that the witness in  
 7 the foregoing deposition was by me first duly sworn to testify  
 8 to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the  
 9 foregoing cause; that the deposition was then taken before me  
 10 at the time and place herein named; that said deposition was  
 11 reported by me in shorthand and then transcribed through  
 12 computer-aided transcription, and the foregoing transcript  
 13 contains a true record of the deposition of said witness.

14 I do further certify that I am a disinterested person  
 15 and am in no way interested in the outcome of this action or  
 16 connected with or related to any of the parties in this action  
 17 or to their respective counsel.

18 In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand on  
 19 this 17th day of September, 2002, at San Diego County,  
 20 California.

21

22

23 \_\_\_\_\_

24 Nyree-Dawn Lloyd, CSR No. 12587

25

Page 99

26 (Pages 98 to 100)



Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970

Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

MAURA LARKINS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

\* Case No. GIC 781970

RICHARD T. WERLIN, etc.,  
et al.,

Defendants.\*

DEPOSITION OF ROBIN DONLAN  
Taken at San Diego, California  
November 4, 2004

VOLUME I

T. A. Martin, CSR  
Certificate No. 3613

COMPLIMENTARY

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970

Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

	Page 2		Page 4
1			
2	I-N-D-E-X		
3	DEPOSITION OF ROBIN DONLAN - VOLUME I	PAGE	
4	November 4, 2004		
5	Examination by Ms. Larkins	5	
6			
7	EXHIBITS:	PAGE	
8	1 One-page handwritten notes	28	
9	2 Copy of a Star News article		
10	August 20, 2004, two pages	36	
11	3 One-page memo, February 25, 2002	42	
12	4 Two-page bilingual flier	73	
13	5 Condensed transcript, deposition		
14	of Gretchen Donndelinger,		
15	September 10, 2002	124	
16	RECORD MARKED AT THE REQUEST OF MS. ANGELL	LINE/PAGE	
17	6 72		
18	3 88		
19	6 89		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	Page 3		Page 5
1			
2	DEPOSITION OF ROBIN DONLAN		
3	Pursuant to Notice to Take Deposition, and on		
4	the 4th day of November, 2004, commencing at the hour of		
5	1:00 o'clock p.m., at 319 Elm Street, Suite 100, in the		
6	City and County of San Diego, State of California, before		
7	me, T. A. Martin, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for		
8	the State of California, personally appeared:		
9	ROBIN DONLAN,		
10	who, called as a witness by the Plaintiff, being by me		
11	first duly sworn, was thereupon examined as a witness in		
12	said cause.		
13	APPEARANCES		
14	For the Plaintiff: MAURA LARKINS		
15	1935 Autocross Court		
16	EI Cajon, California 92109		
17	(In Propria Personis)		
18	For Chula Vista CALIFORNIA TEACHERS ASSOCIATION		
19	Educators, California By: MICHAEL HERSH		
20	Teachers Association, 11745 East Telegraph Road		
21	Virginia Boyd and Post Office Box 2153		
22	Timothy O'Neil: Santa Fe Springs, California 90670		
23	For Robin Donlan STUTZ, ARTIANO, SHINOFF & HOLTZ		
24	and Linda Watson: By: KELLY R. ANGELL		
25	401 West "A" Street, 15th Floor		
	San Diego, California 92101		
	Specially appearing MCCORMICK & MITCHELL		
	for Michael Carlson: By: DEBORAH K. GARVIN		
	625 Broadway, Suite 1400		
	San Diego, California 92101		
	Videographer: Alan Peak, Videographics		

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

SAN DIEGO COURT REPORTING SERVICE  
319 ELM STREET, SUITE 100, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

619-232-1164  
FAX 619-232-2616

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 A. Chula Vista High School.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. And did you go to college?</p> <p>3 A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>4 Q. Where did you go to college?</p> <p>5 A. University of San Diego.</p> <p>6 Q. And did you graduate --</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. -- from there.</p> <p>9 Okay. Where did you get your teaching credential?</p> <p>10 A. University of San Diego.</p> <p>11 Q. Can you give me the dates for when you graduated from University of San Diego and when you got your teaching credential?</p> <p>12 A. I graduated with my bachelor's degree in May of 1984 and got my teaching credential at the same time.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And then did you become employed soon after that?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. What did you do after that?</p> <p>16 A. I went to graduate school.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Where did you go to graduate school?</p> <p>18 A. University of San Diego.</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. And did you get another degree there?</p> <p>20 A. Yes, I did.</p>	<p>1 A. Yes, I was.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. What was that job?</p> <p>3 A. I was employed as a file clerk in an attorney's office.</p> <p>4 Q. Who was the attorney?</p> <p>5 A. James Frantz.</p> <p>6 Q. How long did you work for him?</p> <p>7 A. A little less than two years.</p> <p>8 Q. And when you left his employment, did you become employed again soon after that?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And where was that?</p> <p>11 A. Several different districts. I was employed on the substitute list for about four districts at that point.</p> <p>12 Q. Uh-huh. What were those districts?</p> <p>13 A. San Diego City, Grossmont -- not Grossmont -- La Mesa Spring Valley, Cajon Valley and Chula Vista.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay. And for how long were you employed as a substitute?</p> <p>15 A. Until -- I'm not quite sure what the exact date I switched over. It would be the fall of 1990.</p> <p>16 Q. That was when you stopped being a substitute?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. And I'm sorry. Did you say you were a</p>
Page 7	Page 9
<p>1 Q. What degree was that?</p> <p>2 A. A degree, specialist in learning handicapped.</p> <p>3 Q. And when was that?</p> <p>4 A. In January of 1986.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. And then did you become employed shortly after that?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. What did you do then?</p> <p>8 A. I was caretaker for my grandmother who had had a stroke shortly afterwards.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. And how long were you caretaker for your grandmother?</p> <p>10 A. Until about 19 -- almost 1987.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And what happened in 1987?</p> <p>12 A. I was employed by a book store, because she no longer needed the type of care that I was providing.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. And how long were you employed by the book store?</p> <p>14 A. Only a few months. I was Christmas help.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. And then what did you do after that?</p> <p>16 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>17 Do you mean what kind of work did she do?</p> <p>18 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>19 Q. Were you employed in a different job shortly after that, after you left the book store?</p>	<p>1 file clerk at the attorney's office?</p> <p>2 A. Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. And then in the fall of 1990, where were you employed?</p> <p>4 A. Castle Park Elementary School.</p> <p>5 Q. Who was the principal there at that time?</p> <p>6 A. Tony Gonzalez.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Did you become acquainted with Gina Boyd in the fall of 1990?</p> <p>8 A. I don't recall exactly when I became acquainted with Gina Boyd, but I believe she was teaching there at the time, yes.</p> <p>9 Q. What was your position when you first came to Castle Park?</p> <p>10 A. When I first came to Castle Park I was a long-term sub in the special grade class.</p> <p>11 Q. Was that upper grades or --</p> <p>12 A. It was upper grades sheltered. They were --</p> <p>13 MS. ANGELL: Excuse me. If I can just remind the witness to let Mrs. Larkins ask her whole question.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry.</p> <p>15 MS. ANGELL: Because you're expected to understand the question when you answer it.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Okay.</p>

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 10	Page 12
<p>1 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>2 Q. What does that mean, "sheltered"?</p> <p>3 A. It was a previous designation on special day</p> <p>4 classes for students who were achieving lower than a</p> <p>5 regular special day class.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. And have you always worked in upper grade</p> <p>7 assignments? We are speaking of upper elementary</p> <p>8 school -- we know what we're talking about -- for the</p> <p>9 entire time you have been at Castle Park -- I mean that</p> <p>10 you were at Castle Park?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Were there some upper grade teachers that you</p> <p>13 didn't become acquainted with in your first year at</p> <p>14 Castle park?</p> <p>15 A. That I didn't become acquainted with?</p> <p>16 Q. Uh-huh.</p> <p>17 A. I believe I met all the teachers. I guess I'm</p> <p>18 not quite certain what you mean by "acquainted with."</p> <p>19 Q. Okay. I'm trying to figure out what you meant</p> <p>20 when you said that you didn't remember becoming</p> <p>21 acquainted with Gina Boyd when you first got there,</p> <p>22 although you thought that she was teaching there at that</p> <p>23 time.</p> <p>24 Let me see how I can ask this. Well, here.</p> <p>25 Here's a question.</p>	<p>1 knowing someone by name, greeting them and them greeting</p> <p>2 you when you see them in the lounge, stopping to chat</p> <p>3 sometimes when you see each other.</p> <p>4 A. So you want to know how long it was before I</p> <p>5 became acquainted?</p> <p>6 Q. Let me start over.</p> <p>7 A. Okay.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Giving that as the meaning of</p> <p>9 "acquainted," were there some lower grade teachers that</p> <p>10 you did not become acquainted with during your first year</p> <p>11 there?</p> <p>12 A. As far as the stopping to chat on occasion,</p> <p>13 probably, yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Okay.</p> <p>15 A. Most lower grade teachers I knew their names; I</p> <p>16 would say hello.</p> <p>17 Q. Uh-huh. Would it be fair to say that upper</p> <p>18 grade teachers and lower grade teachers really don't have</p> <p>19 that many opportunities to become acquainted in an</p> <p>20 elementary school such as Castle Park?</p> <p>21 A. That would probably be fair, yes.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Did you become acquainted with Susan</p> <p>23 Dikeman during your first year at Castle Park?</p> <p>24 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. Can you tell me when you became</p>
Page 11	Page 13
<p>1 Did you become acquainted with Linda Watson</p> <p>2 during your first year of teaching at Castle Park?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. Did you meet her during your first year?</p> <p>5 A. No.</p> <p>6 Q. Was she teaching at Castle Park during your</p> <p>7 first year?</p> <p>8 A. I don't believe so, no.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Do you recall when she came to Castle</p> <p>10 Park?</p> <p>11 A. Not the exact year, but it was not that first</p> <p>12 year.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Did you become better acquainted with</p> <p>14 upper grade teachers than lower grade teachers during</p> <p>15 your first year at Castle Park?</p> <p>16 A. Yes.</p> <p>17 Q. About how many of the lower grade teachers were</p> <p>18 you acquainted with?</p> <p>19 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous as to time.</p> <p>20 MS. LARKINS: During your first year at Castle</p> <p>21 Park.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: Again, if you could clarify what</p> <p>23 you mean by "acquainted with."</p> <p>24 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. I'm going to define "acquainted" as</p>	<p>1 acquainted with Gina Boyd?</p> <p>2 A. Sometime within the first couple of years.</p> <p>3 Q. Did you become friends with Gina Boyd at any</p> <p>4 time?</p> <p>5 A. We became co-workers that were friendly. I</p> <p>6 don't know that you would call us friends -- would have</p> <p>7 called us friends.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Did you ever go off the school grounds</p> <p>9 with Gina Boyd at lunchtime?</p> <p>10 A. Not to my recollection, but I do not recall</p> <p>11 specifically.</p> <p>12 Q. I'm going to ask about staff meetings with</p> <p>13 different principals.</p> <p>14 A. Okay.</p> <p>15 Q. Let's see. Let's start. We know that Tony</p> <p>16 Gonzalez was your first principal. Who was the second</p> <p>17 principal -- your second principal at Castle Park?</p> <p>18 A. Second hired principal or second person in the</p> <p>19 auspices of principal?</p> <p>20 Q. Second person in the auspices of principal.</p> <p>21 A. Okay. Bob French.</p> <p>22 Q. Was -- can you explain to me if he was like in</p> <p>23 the auspices of principal or --</p> <p>24 A. He was an interim principal.</p> <p>25 Q. Interim. How long was he an interim principal?</p>

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 14	Page 16
<p>1 A. I don't the exact dates. It was after      2 Mr. Gonzalez left up until I believe the -- the end of      3 the spring break of that year.      4 Q. So he was there for perhaps one semester?      5 A. Perhaps.      6 Q. And did Tony Gonzalez leave at the end of a      7 school year?      8 A. No. He left mid year.      9 Q. Okay. And then Bob French finished the year?      10 A. No. Up until the end of the spring break of      11 that year.      12 Q. Okay. So Tony Gonzalez left before spring      13 break?      14 A. Yes.      15 Q. It must have been quite early in the school year      16 that he must have left?      17 A. It was in the winter.      18 Q. Okay. Tony Gonzalez left mid year in winter,      19 and Bob French was interim until spring break. Then who      20 came?      21 A. Oscar Perez.      22 Q. Okay. Do you know why Tony Gonzalez left in the      23 middle of the year?      24 A. He was seeking employment -- I don't know      25 exactly his reason. I only know what we were told.</p>	<p>1 how many full years was Tony Gonzalez your principal at      2 Castle Park?      3 A. My principal?      4 Q. Yes.      5 A. I don't recall exactly. At least two.      6 Q. Okay. Okay. And then how many years was Oscar      7 Perez principal at Castle Park?      8 A. More than two, but less than three.      9 Q. Did he leave in the middle of a year?      10 A. No.      11 Q. Do you mean three or less? Like it could have      12 been three?      13 A. No, it was not.      14 Q. Oh, I see what you mean, because he started in      15 the middle of a year.      16 A. Yes.      17 Q. So basically he was there two and a half years?      18 A. Yes.      19 Q. Okay. Why did Oscar Perez leave Castle Park?      20 MS. ANGELL: If you know.      21 MS. LARKINS: If you know.      22 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I have no idea.      BY MS. LARKINS:      24 Q. Were you told that he was fired?      25 A. No. He -- I believe that's between him and the</p>
Page 15	Page 17
<p>1 Q. Uh-huh.      2 A. Is that what you want to hear?      3 Q. Yes, yes.      4 A. We were told that he was seeking employment at a      5 year-round school?      6 Q. Oh. Did you think that that was true?      7 A. Yes.      8 Q. He had gotten along well with the staff?      9 A. Yes, as far as I'm aware.      10 Q. Okay. And then how did Bob French get along      11 with the staff?      12 A. As far as I'm aware, he got along well.      13 Q. Okay. Do you know where he went at spring      14 break?      15 MR. HERSH: Vague as to who "he" is.      16 MS. LARKINS: Okay.      17 Q. When Bob French left at spring break, do you      18 know where he went?      19 A. No.      20 Q. Do you believe that it was to another school?      21 A. No.      22 Q. Did he leave the employment of Chula Vista?      23 A. He was retired.      24 Q. Oh. Okay. About how many years -- you know,      25 you can exclude that last fall that he was there. About</p>	<p>1 district as to why he left.      2 Q. Was he considered a successful principal, to      3 your knowledge?      4 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous as to "was he      5 considered." By whom?      BY MS. LARKINS:      7 Q. Was he considered a successful principal by the      8 teachers of Castle Park?      9 MS. ANGELL: As far as you know.      10 MS. LARKINS: As far as you know.      11 THE WITNESS: I believe it would depend on which      12 teachers.      BY MS. LARKINS:      14 Q. Let's start with you. Did you think that Oscar      15 Perez was a successful principal at Castle Park?      16 A. Personally, no.      17 Q. Could you tell me why you didn't think he was      18 successful?      19 A. I believe that he did not exhibit the leadership      20 qualities necessary to be a successful administrator, but      21 that is only my personal opinion.      22 Q. Okay. Can you give an example of a specific      23 issue that he failed to show leadership regarding?      24 A. I believe that he did not like to be near      25 children and avoided them. I don't believe that he cared</p>

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970

Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 18	Page 20
<p>1 for them, and I don't believe that's a leadership quality 2 that is desirable in a principal of an elementary school. 3 Q. I have to agree with you there. I mean I don't 4 know the man, but I think you're right about that 5 quality.</p> <p>6 Can you give -- did he have any problems in his 7 relationship with any teachers?</p> <p>8 A. I wouldn't know. You would have to ask those 9 teachers.</p> <p>10 Q. Was there a teacher named Heather Smith at 11 Castle Park during -- when Oscar Perez was principal?</p> <p>12 A. I believe so, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Do you remember what grade she taught?</p> <p>14 A. She taught kinder -- I believe she had a combo 15 kindergarten/first. I'm not exactly sure, but it was 16 either kindergarten or kindergarten/first grade.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. Was she a bilingual teacher?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. Do you remember how long she remained as Castle 20 Park?</p> <p>21 A. I believe she was there for two years.</p> <p>22 Q. And do you know why she left?</p> <p>23 A. No, I don't know why she left. I have 24 speculation, but I don't know exactly why. I'm not privy 25 to that information.</p>	<p>1 basically for double speculation, kind of like double 2 hearsay.</p> <p>3 MS. LARKINS: Yes, it's hearsay. I would like 4 to know what people told you.</p> <p>5 MS. ANGELL: Right, beyond hearsay. What I'm 6 talking about is you're asking for her to talk about 7 somebody else's speculation. So we want to know what she 8 remembers.</p> <p>9 Am I misunderstanding your question.</p> <p>10 MS. LARKINS: I want to know what she heard, 11 what people said.</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: Do I answer?</p> <p>13 MS. ANGELL: Whatever you remember about it.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: I don't recall specifics. I do 15 remember a mention that she did not receive tenure, but 16 other than that, no.</p> <p>17 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>18 Q. Do teachers at Castle Park -- let me rephrase. 19 In your experience, have you ever been aware of any 20 teacher at Castle Park talking about another teacher at 21 Castle Park?</li> </ul> <p>22 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous as to time.</p> <p>23 MS. LARKINS: Ever in your experience at Castle 24 Park.</p> <p>25 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous generally.</p>
Page 19	Page 21
<p>1 Q. Well, I'd be -- please tell me what you have 2 speculated that was the reason for her leaving Castle 3 Park.</p> <p>4 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Calls for speculation. 5 And I'll instruct the witness to answer the 6 question that's asked, please.</p> <p>7 MS. LARKINS: Okay.</p> <p>8 Q. Did anybody tell you what they thought was the 9 reason she left?</p> <p>10 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as 11 to time.</p> <p>12 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>13 Q. Did anybody tell you why they thought she 14 stopped working at Castle Park?</p> <p>15 MS. ANGELL: Same objection.</p> <p>16 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>17 Q. But you can answer it.</p> <p>18 MS. ANGELL: If you understand it.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: I don't understand who they --</p> <p>20 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>21 Q. I think I said -- oh, they means anyone. I 22 said -- I should have used the singular.</p> <p>23 Did anyone tell you why he or she thought 24 Heather Smith stopped working at Castle Park?</p> <p>25 MS. ANGELL: Objection. This is calling</p>	<p>1 What do you mean by talking about? I think that they 2 could say, you know, Teacher Suzy has second grade or 3 Teacher Jill has sixth grade or are we all going to the, 4 you know, teacher staff meeting.</p> <p>5 MS. LARKINS: You're quite right. I'm trying to 6 work up gradually to get something eventually. I'm 7 having difficulty with this line of questioning. So I 8 want to start with that, even though -- I think I'm 9 pretty safe that I'm going to get a yes here. If I don't 10 get a yes, I'm going to ask for a break.</p> <p>11 Q. In your experience at Castle Park, have you ever 12 heard one teacher talking about another teacher?</p> <p>13 MS. ANGELL: And you mean to include things like 14 Suzy Q is showing up at work?</p> <p>15 MS. LARKINS: Yes.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>17 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>18 Q. Good. We can go on.</p> <p>19 In your experience at Castle Park, have you ever 20 heard one teacher discuss another teacher's personal 21 life?</p> <p>22 A. Yes.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. In your experience at Castle Park, have 24 you ever heard one teacher criticize another teacher's 25 personality?</p>

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 22	Page 24
<p>1        A. As in -- I guess if you could clarify that for 2 me, please.</p> <p>3        Q. Well, like say something on the line of I don't 4 like the way she dresses, isn't her hair awful, so-and-so 5 was late to arrive at school, things like that?</p> <p>6        A. Occasionally.</p> <p>7        Q. Occasionally. In your experience at Castle 8 Park, did you ever hear any teacher make negative remarks 9 about parents of students?</p> <p>10      A. Occasionally.</p> <p>11      Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss my son with any 12 teacher at Castle Park?</p> <p>13      A. I don't know your son, so no.</p> <p>14      Q. Okay. I think we are going to have to work on 15 that a little more.</p> <p>16      MS. ANGELL: Objection. Argumentative.</p> <p>17      MS. LARKINS: Okay. I think the answer -- 18 objection to the answer -- the answer was argumentative. 19 You said I don't know your son; therefore, the answer 20 must be no.</p> <p>21      MS. ANGELL: Actually the objection was to your 22 comment. There was no question posed.</p> <p>23      MS. LARKINS: I'm sorry. Sometimes I talk to 24 myself trying to figure out how I'm going to proceed 25 here. Okay.</p>	<p>1        it. Has anyone ever told you anything about someone you 2 didn't know?</p> <p>3        A. Yes.</p> <p>4        Q. Okay. Have you ever asked questions about 5 someone you didn't know?</p> <p>6        A. Occasionally, yes.</p> <p>7        Q. Okay. Have you ever listened to anecdotes about 8 someone you didn't know?</p> <p>9        MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous in its 10 entirety; vague and ambiguous as to time.</p> <p>11      Do you mean in the workplace? I mean this is 12 really broad questioning.</p> <p>13      MS. LARKINS: No. I mean ever.</p> <p>14      Q. Has anyone -- have you ever listened to an 15 anecdote about someone you didn't know?</p> <p>16      A. Yes.</p> <p>17      Q. Have you ever told anyone an anecdote about 18 someone they didn't know?</p> <p>19      A. Yes.</p> <p>20      Q. Okay. So would you agree that it's perfectly 21 possible to discuss someone you don't know?</p> <p>22      A. Telling someone an anecdote is not discussion.</p> <p>23      Q. Okay. Could you explain to me what 24 discussion -- the word "discussion" means to you?</p> <p>25      A. To me discussion means discourse between two</p>
Page 23	Page 25
<p>1        MS. ANGELL: And move to strike the comment -- 2 everything -- the comment after Ms. Donlan's response.</p> <p>3      BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>4        Q. Do you believe that it is necessary for you to 5 personally know someone in order to talk about them?</p> <p>6        A. It depends -- clarify what you mean by "talk 7 about them."</p> <p>8        Q. Well, for example, discuss something that that 9 person might have done. Do you believe that it is 10 necessary to know someone personally in order for you to 11 discuss something that that person might have done?</p> <p>12      A. No, probably not.</p> <p>13      Q. Okay. But you're not certain?</p> <p>14      THE WITNESS: Do I answer that one?</p> <p>15      MS. ANGELL: Yes. You answer unless I instruct 16 you not to answer.</p> <p>17      THE WITNESS: It depends on what is being 18 discussed.</p> <p>19      BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>20      Q. Okay. Has anybody ever told you anything about 21 someone you didn't know?</p> <p>22      MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as 23 to time; vague and ambiguous in its entirety; over broad.</p> <p>24      BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>25      Q. If you understand the question, you can answer</p>	<p>1        people. Telling an anecdote is discourse from one 2 person.</p> <p>3        Q. Okay. Have you ever made a comment on an 4 anecdote told to you about someone you didn't know?</p> <p>5        A. I can't recall specific events.</p> <p>6        Q. Okay. Has anyone ever told you an anecdote 7 about my son?</p> <p>8        A. Not to my recollection, no.</p> <p>9        Q. Have you ever told anyone that you were afraid I 10 would kill you?</p> <p>11      A. No.</p> <p>12      Q. Did you tell Tim Allen that you were afraid of 13 me?</p> <p>14      A. I don't recall specifically.</p> <p>15      Q. Have you ever been afraid of me?</p> <p>16      A. Yes.</p> <p>17      Q. Can you explain that to me?</p> <p>18      MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>19      MS. LARKINS: Okay.</p> <p>20      Q. Why were you afraid of me?</p> <p>21      MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as 22 to time.</p> <p>23      BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>24      Q. Okay. Can you tell me when were you afraid of 25 me?</p>

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 26	Page 28
<p>1 MS. ANGELL: Do you mean when was she first 2 afraid of you?</p> <p>3 MS. LARKINS: Well --</p> <p>4 MS. ANGELL: Because the objection is vague and 5 ambiguous as to -- it's just a vague and ambiguous 6 question.</p> <p>7 MS. LARKINS: That's a good question.</p> <p>8 Ms. Angell has helped me out here.</p> <p>9 Q. When were you first afraid of me?</p> <p>10 A. I first became concerned after an incident in 11 the staff lounge where you confronted me in front of many 12 people there unprovoked.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. By unprovoked, do you mean that you had 14 not said anything?</p> <p>15 A. Not at that moment, no.</p> <p>16 Q. About how long before this alleged confrontation 17 had you said something?</p> <p>18 A. Probably 10, 15 minutes. Maybe longer. I don't 19 recall exactly the time.</p> <p>20 Q. How long did this confrontation last?</p> <p>21 A. Probably about five minutes.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. And do you remember what you were doing 23 before this confrontation began?</p> <p>24 A. I was standing in the lounge talking to people.</p> <p>25 Q. Do you remember where you were standing?</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. And was there any personnel action taken 2 against me shortly after this?</p> <p>3 MS. ANGELL: If you know.</p> <p>4 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know.</p> <p>5 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Do you remember a time when I stopped 7 working at Castle Park Elementary School?</p> <p>8 A. I don't recall when you stopped working at 9 Castle Park Elementary School.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Okay. Let's go back to this incident. 11 Okay. Dr. Donndelinger was principal, and you were 12 standing or sitting next to the white board and it was in 13 the morning. I'm going to see if I can help out here. 14 Do you think this might have been around -- on or about 15 January 17th or so, maybe January 16th of 2001?</p> <p>16 A. I wouldn't know. I don't have any recollection 17 of the date.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay.</p> <p>19 MS. ANGELL: Do you need some water or anything? (Brief interruption.)</p> <p>21 MS. LARKINS: Okay. I would like to ask that 22 this document be labeled Exhibit 1. I can give one extra 23 one here. Okay. (Exhibit 1 marked for identification.)</p>
<p>1 A. I was standing behind one of the tables near the 2 white board.</p> <p>3 Q. Uh-huh. And you're sure you weren't sitting?</p> <p>4 A. I'm not sure I wasn't sitting.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. As I recall, you were sitting right there 6 next to the white board.</p> <p>7 MS. ANGELL: Objection --</p> <p>8 MR. HERSH: Objection --</p> <p>9 MS. LARKINS: Move to strike.</p> <p>10 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Move to strike 11 plaintiff's comments after Ms. Donlan's response.</p> <p>12 MS. LARKINS: Okay.</p> <p>13 Q. So I understand that you were standing or 14 sitting next to the white board in the lounge. And who 15 was in the lounge?</p> <p>16 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as 17 to time. Do we have a time frame going on this line of 18 questioning?</p> <p>19 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>20 Q. Do you remember when this happened?</p> <p>21 A. It was in the morning.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. Do you remember what year?</p> <p>23 A. Not specifically.</p> <p>24 Q. Can you relate it to some other events?</p> <p>25 A. It was when Dr. Donndelinger was principal.</p>	<p>1 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>2 Q. Would you please read the first two lines on 3 this document.</p> <p>4 A. "January 16th; controversy on Kingdom day; on 5 schedule, not in bulletin. January 17th; Kingdoms, 6 Robin, Kim informing everyone."</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Thank you. And do you see a number at 8 the lower right-hand area of this paper?</p> <p>9 A. 68.</p> <p>10 Q. Yes. And do you see a number at the upper 11 right-hand corner of this paper?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. What number is that?</p> <p>14 A. Five.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Are you familiar with Bates stamps on 16 documents?</p> <p>17 A. Date stamps?</p> <p>18 Q. Bate.</p> <p>19 A. No, I have no --</p> <p>20 Q. That was the question -- for a long time I 21 thought it was date stamps too.</p> <p>22 A. No, I don't know.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. This is a Bates stamp here. It's Bates 24 stamped 68. This document was an exhibit produced by the 25 Chula Vista Elementary School District in my</p>

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 30	Page 32
<p>1 administrative hearing.</p> <p>2 MS. ANGELL: Objection to Mrs. Larkins</p> <p>3 testifying. If you have a question, if you could ask the</p> <p>4 question, that would be great.</p> <p>5 MS. LARKINS: Okay. I'm just trying to get it</p> <p>6 clear on the record.</p> <p>7 Q. This is from Exhibit 14 of the District's</p> <p>8 document -- exhibits, and it's --</p> <p>9 MS. ANGELL: Objection again. Mrs. Larkins is</p> <p>10 continuing to testify.</p> <p>11 Could maybe you ask a question about this</p> <p>12 document, ask the witness if she made it or if she</p> <p>13 recognizes it or anything like that instead of giving</p> <p>14 testimony on it?</p> <p>15 MS. LARKINS: Okay. I could do that. Okay.</p> <p>16 Q. Does this appear to you to be Page 5 of a series</p> <p>17 of documents?</p> <p>18 A. I have no idea.</p> <p>19 Q. What do you think the 5 means?</p> <p>20 A. I don't know.</p> <p>21 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Calls for speculation.</p> <p>22 Give me a chance to make my objection before you</p> <p>23 answer.</p> <p>24 THE WITNESS: Okay.</p> <p>25</p>	<p>1 A. Kim Brown.</p> <p>2 Q. And who is she?</p> <p>3 A. She is a special day class assistant.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Is she a friends of yours?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Do you have a close working relationship?</p> <p>7 A. Not currently.</p> <p>8 Q. Well, when you were working together, did you</p> <p>9 have a close working relationship?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Can you tell me about the Kingdoms</p> <p>12 program at Castle Park Elementary?</p> <p>13 A. The Kingdoms program was a character program</p> <p>14 that was school wide. It was in place at Castle Park --</p> <p>15 I'm not quite certain if it was two or three years, but</p> <p>16 it was like a virtues, character-building program similar</p> <p>17 to Tribes.</p> <p>18 Q. And how did this program come to be used at</p> <p>19 Castle Park?</p> <p>20 MS. ANGELL: If you know.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: To be used? I don't understand</p> <p>22 that.</p> <p>23 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Let me try again. How did Castle Park</p> <p>25 come to have a Kingdoms program?</p>
Page 31	Page 33
<p>1 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Well, what is the first word on the page?</p> <p>3 MS. ANGELL: Objection. The document speaks for</p> <p>4 itself.</p> <p>5 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>6 Q. Go ahead and answer.</p> <p>7 A. "Incident."</p> <p>8 Q. "Incident." Okay. Does this appear to be notes</p> <p>9 written down by -- does "Kingdoms" ring a bell with you?</p> <p>10 Does the word "Kingdoms" -- does this document appear to</p> <p>11 you to be about the incident that you were describing?</p> <p>12 MS. ANGELL: Excuse me. Objection. I've heard</p> <p>13 four or five questions. What is the question pending?</p> <p>14 MS. LARKINS: Let's do the last one.</p> <p>15 Q. Does this document appear to you to describe the</p> <p>16 confrontation that you have -- between yourself and me</p> <p>17 which you have been talking about?</p> <p>18 A. Describe? No.</p> <p>19 Q. Does it appear to refer to that confrontation?</p> <p>20 A. Refer, yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. So in this line that says, "Kingdoms,</p> <p>22 Robin/Kim informing everyone," you have a suspicion that</p> <p>23 that Robin refers to you?</p> <p>24 A. I do.</p> <p>25 Q. And who do you think Kim refers to?</p>	<p>1 A. I don't recall when the exact decision was made.</p> <p>2 I believe it was sponsored by -- actually, I don't know</p> <p>3 who it was sponsored by. I don't know whose idea it was.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Is it possible that Gretchen Donndelinger</p> <p>5 presented the idea to the staff?</p> <p>6 MR. HERSH: Objection. Calls for speculation.</p> <p>7 MS. ANGELL: And I'll instruct the witness to</p> <p>8 listen to the question and answer the question that is</p> <p>9 asked.</p> <p>10 MS. LARKINS: Okay.</p> <p>11 Q. Do you have any memory of Gretchen</p> <p>12 Donndelinger --</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. -- suggesting the Kingdoms program to the staff?</p> <p>15 A. Suggesting? I do not recall who suggested it.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. Let me ask about staff meetings with</p> <p>17 Gretchen Donndelinger. How clear a memory do you have of</p> <p>18 the staff meetings of any -- let's see. Do you have any</p> <p>19 memory of any staff meeting when Gretchen Donndelinger</p> <p>20 was principal at Castle Park?</p> <p>21 MS. ANGELL: I'm going to ask that the question</p> <p>22 be clarified for the record because we just had several</p> <p>23 questions on, and when we are reading the transcript</p> <p>24 you're not going to be able to tell which one she's</p> <p>25 answering.</p>

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 34	Page 36
<p>1 MS. LARKINS: Okay.</p> <p>2 MS. ANGELL: So what's the question that you</p> <p>3 want her to answer?</p> <p>4 BY-MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>5 Q. Do you have any memory of any staff meeting that</p> <p>6 occurred when Gretchen Donndelinger was principal?</p> <p>7 A. Yes.</p> <p>8 Q. What do you -- what percentage of the staff</p> <p>9 meetings do you have a memory of?</p> <p>10 A. I don't know.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Were programs instituted at Castle Park</p> <p>12 without the approval of the teachers?</p> <p>13 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as</p> <p>14 to "programs instituted" --</p> <p>15 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>16 Q. Were programs such as Kingdoms started at Castle</p> <p>17 Park without the approval of the staff?</p> <p>18 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as</p> <p>19 to time.</p> <p>20 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>21 Q. When Dr. Donndelinger was principal.</p> <p>22 A. If I could clarify the question, are you asking</p> <p>23 if Kingdoms was started without the approval -- I'm not</p> <p>24 sure what exactly you're asking. Programs or Kingdoms?</p> <p>25 Q. Well --</p>	<p>1 Do you understand what "program" means?</p> <p>2 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>3 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>4 Q. How did you come to leave Castle Park Elementary</p> <p>5 School? How did you come to stop working at Castle Park</p> <p>6 Elementary School?</p> <p>7 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Assumes facts not in</p> <p>8 evidence.</p> <p>9 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Let me try this. Did there come a time</p> <p>11 when you stopped working at Castle Park Elementary</p> <p>12 School?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. When was that time?</p> <p>15 A. August --</p> <p>16 MS. ANGELL: And I'm going to object here on</p> <p>17 privacy and on relevance. And if you'd like to make an</p> <p>18 offer of proof as to why this is relevant to the</p> <p>19 litigation, I'm all ears.</p> <p>20 MS. LARKINS: Or otherwise you're going to</p> <p>21 instruct her not to answer the question? Okay.</p> <p>22 I would like to say something about privacy.</p> <p>23 I'd like to ask that this document be entered as Exhibit</p> <p>24 2.</p> <p>25 (Exhibit 2 marked for identification.)</p>
<p>1 A. Because it's a different --</p> <p>2 Q. Yeah. I think I already asked about Kingdoms</p> <p>3 and you didn't remember, so I'm trying to find out about</p> <p>4 if you remember about any other program.</p> <p>5 Does it make you angry if a principal decides to</p> <p>6 start a program at a school where you're teaching without</p> <p>7 the approval of the staff?</p> <p>8 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Incomplete</p> <p>9 hypothetical; vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>10 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>11 Q. Do you understand the question?</p> <p>12 A. Does it make me angry if a principal starts a</p> <p>13 program without the approval of the staff?</p> <p>14 Q. Uh-huh.</p> <p>15 A. Angry? No.</p> <p>16 Q. Does it make you angry if a principal stops a</p> <p>17 program without the approval of the staff at a school at</p> <p>18 which you are working?</p> <p>19 A. Angry? No.</p> <p>20 Q. How does it make you feel when a principal stops</p> <p>21 a program at a school at which you are working without</p> <p>22 the approval of the staff?</p> <p>23 MS. ANGELL: Objection. It's vague and</p> <p>24 ambiguous as to "program." I don't understand what</p> <p>25 you're talking about.</p>	<p>1 MR. HERSH: Copies of each of these documents</p> <p>2 will be served along with the transcript; is that</p> <p>3 correct?</p> <p>4 THE REPORTER: Yes.</p> <p>5 MS. LARKINS: I have an extra first page. Sorry</p> <p>6 I don't have more second pages. Here's another one.</p> <p>7 Okay.</p> <p>8 MS. ANGELL: And I'm going to object to any line</p> <p>9 of questioning concerning this document as not being</p> <p>10 relevant. I see that the document is apparently dated</p> <p>11 August 20, 2004, and that the allegations contained in</p> <p>12 the sixth amended complaint discuss issues that allegedly</p> <p>13 occurred in September of 2000 and not later than April of</p> <p>14 2002. And, therefore, this news article, two and a half</p> <p>15 years later, is not relevant unless you'd like to make an</p> <p>16 offer of proof and talk about why it's relevant.</p> <p>17 MS. LARKINS: Yeah. I'll do that.</p> <p>18 My entire case rests on events which happened at</p> <p>19 Chula Vista Elementary School District after the acts</p> <p>20 were committed that I have alleged in my complaint. I</p> <p>21 had no idea that the acts were being committed at the</p> <p>22 time they were committed. It wasn't until long after</p> <p>23 that I became aware. Everything in my case rests on the</p> <p>24 bizarre behavior of Chula Vista Elementary School</p> <p>25 District employees after I alleged that some of these</p>

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 38</p> <p>1 employees violated Section 432.7 of the Labor Code.      2 You have just made a claim of privacy regarding      3 Robin Donlan's leaving Castle Park, and yet Robin Donlan      4 herself caused her name to be repeated again and again in      5 the Star News and the Union-Tribune. She has totally      6 lost any right to privacy by the fact that she herself      7 has discussed widely, including going back to Castle Park      8 on curriculum night and talking to teachers and talking      9 to parents and making as public as possible her -- the      10 circumstances under which she left Castle Park.</p> <p>11 MS. ANGELL: You mean in August of 2004? I'm      12 sorry. I haven't heard an offer of proof related to why      13 Ms. Donlan's employment status in August of 2004 is      14 relevant to your allegations concerning things that      15 allegedly Ms. Donlan and others did in 2000.</p> <p>16 MS. LARKINS: Well, one of my allegations is      17 that Ms. Donlan and other teachers who were involved in      18 egregious clique behavior behaved very abusively to      19 principals and teachers, and that that is why Castle Park      20 could not function with Robin Donlan on staff and --</p> <p>21 MS. ANGELL: I don't remember that being in the      22 complaint. Is that somewhere in the sixth amended      23 complaint?</p> <p>24 MS. LARKINS: That is in my proof that your      25 client committed a crime.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 40</p> <p>1 MS. ANGELL: So you want to ask Mrs. Donlan      2 about information contained in this news article      3 concerning Mr. Matos?</p> <p>4 MS. LARKINS: I had -- I had planned on that.      5 But the reason -- I hadn't planned on bringing this out      6 at this moment because I was questioning her about      7 something else, about her removal from Castle Park, and      8 you claimed that she shouldn't answer those questions      9 because of privacy. And I brought this out to indicate      10 that she does not seem to be that interested in privacy.</p> <p>11 MS. ANGELL: Well, I'm looking at this document      12 that you have given me marked as Exhibit 2, and I don't      13 see where Ms. Donlan has been quoted in this. So maybe a      14 good place to start would be by asking her if she, you      15 know, was interviewed or contributed to this article, and      16 then deal with the privacy issues after that.</p> <p>17 MS. LARKINS: Actually, I would prefer to      18 continue with the line of questioning that I had been      19 working on before you brought up the privacy issue.</p> <p>20 MS. ANGELL: Well, I've asked for an offer of      21 proof as to why Ms. Donlan's current employment status is      22 relevant to the litigation which has allegations of      23 events in 2000 and 2001, and so far I haven't heard.</p> <p>24 MS. LARKINS: Okay. Well, if you think it      25 appropriate, you can instruct your client not to answer a</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39</p> <p>1 MS. ANGELL: You just said that that is one of      2 the allegations. I've never seen that in the sixth      3 amended complaint. Is that some sort of cause of action      4 or do you have some sort of cause of action against      5 Ms. Donlan for anything other than the -- I mean I know      6 that there is a cause of action in the sixth amended      7 complaint alleging conspiracy against Ms. Donlan and a      8 separate cause of action alleging violation of the Labor      9 Code by accessing and possessing information from an      10 arrest record concerning you, but I don't know of any      11 other causes of action against her.</p> <p>12 MS. LARKINS: My proof of the conspiracy and      13 Labor Code violations is -- rests on the behavior of      14 Robin Donlan and others at Chula Vista Elementary School      15 District.</p> <p>16 MS. ANGELL: So what does that have to do with      17 Ms. Donlan's employment status now?</p> <p>18 MS. LARKINS: This is powerful -- a powerful      19 indication that Robin Donlan is very confrontational.      20 She has an enormous amount of hostility. She is      21 perfectly happy to destroy the reputations of other      22 people. And this here is proof -- well, it's proof of a      23 pattern of behavior of Robin Donlan of basically      24 character assassination and efforts to destroy the      25 careers of others.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 41</p> <p>1 question. Okay.</p> <p>2 MS. ANGELL: If you want to ask things about      3 what you -- you mentioned something about a pattern and      4 practice of character assassination or talking about      5 people -- you said something about their careers --      6 that's something different than her current employment      7 status, but as to her current employment status and any      8 reasons for her transfer, she's not going to answer those      9 questions.</p> <p>10 MS. LARKINS: Okay. Okay.</p> <p>11 Q. Ms. Donlan, were you recently transferred out of      12 Castle Park Elementary School?</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Do I answer that?</p> <p>14 MS. ANGELL: You want to talk to me for a      15 minute?</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: Sure.</p> <p>17 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, Counsel? Yes?</p> <p>18 MS. GAVIN: Fine with me.</p> <p>19 MR. HERSH: Sure.</p> <p>20 MS. LARKINS: Fine with me.</p> <p>21 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 2:13.      (Recess taken.)</p> <p>22 VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 2:31.</p> <p>23 MS. ANGELL: With regard to any questions that      24 you had related to statements that Mrs. Donlan has made</p>

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p> <p>1 to the press, I'm not going to have any objection to any    2 lines of questioning on those lines, so I just wanted to    3 let you know.</p> <p>4 MS. LARKINS: Oh, great. Thank you.</p> <p>5 MR. HERSH: I may, but --</p> <p>6 MS. LARKINS: Okay.</p> <p>7 Q. Did you ever tell Richard Werlin that your    8 brother was in law enforcement?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. What was the situation when you told him that?    11 A. I was in a meeting with Mr. Werlin and Mrs. Boyd    12 regarding a letter they had received from you that made a    13 reference to a brother of mine in law enforcement, and he    14 asked me if I had a brother who was in law enforcement    15 and I said yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Uh-huh. Can you -- did he ask you -- what else    17 did he ask you at that meeting?</p> <p>18 A. He asked me if I had any knowledge of the letter    19 or the events described in the letter.</p> <p>20 Q. Do you recall how you felt at that meeting?    21 A. Confused.</p> <p>22 MS. LARKINS: Let's see. I would like to ask    23 that this document be labeled as Exhibit 3.    24 (Exhibit 3 marked for identification.)</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Okay. And did you have any idea what it    2 was about?    3 A. No.    4 Q. And when you got there, he said that he had    5 received a letter?    6 A. Yes.    7 Q. And what did he say about the letter? Did he --    8 I'm sorry. Let me ask this. This is a better question.    9 Did he show you the letter?    10 A. No.    11 Q. What did he say was in the letter?    12 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Assumes facts.    13 BY MS. LARKINS:    14 Q. Did he tell you anything about the letter?    15 A. He said that it made reference to a brother that    16 I had in law enforcement, and he asked me if I had a    17 brother who was in law enforcement, and I said yes.    18 Q. Okay. Did he tell you anything else about the    19 letter?    20 A. He shared some of the items in the letter and    21 asked if I knew anything about them.    22 Q. What were some of the items -- what was one of    23 the items that he shared?    24 A. That my brother had sent people to your house.    25 Q. And do you remember anything else he said about</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p> <p>1 BY MS. LARKINS:    2 Q. Does this document look to you like -- did Rick    3 Werlin -- okay. Did Rick Werlin tell you that he had    4 received a letter from me?    5 A. Yes.    6 Q. And did he say that the letter concerned you?    7 MS. ANGELL: Excuse me. Do you mean at this    8 meeting between Mr. Werlin and Ms. Donlan and Gina?    9 MS. LARKINS: Yes.    10 Q. At this meeting between you and Mr. Werlin and    11 Gina Boyd, did Mr. Werlin say that he had received a    12 letter from me about you?    13 A. About me? No.    14 Q. What did he say the letter was about? Did he    15 say he had received a letter from me?    16 A. Yes.    17 Q. Okay. And did he give an explanation for why he    18 called -- did he call -- okay. Let me see. How did    19 this -- how was this meeting arranged?    20 MS. ANGELL: Have you retracted the prior.    21 questions?    22 MS. LARKINS: Yes. Any time I -- yeah.    23 Q. How was the meeting arranged?    24 A. He called and asked me to come to the district    25 office to meet with him and with Gina Boyd.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 45</p> <p>1 the letter?    2 A. That he had gotten it in -- as a fax from you, I    3 believe. But it was something that he received from you    4 and wanted to find out if I actually had a brother who    5 was in law enforcement, which I confirmed.    6 Q. Okay. How did you feel as he --    7 A. Confused.    8 Q. Okay. Did you become upset?    9 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous as to time.    10 BY MS. LARKINS:    11 Q. Did you become upset when Mr. Werlin was talking    12 to you about this letter?    13 A. Not that I recall.    14 Q. Do you recall anything you said to Mr. Werlin?    15 A. Specific words, no.    16 Q. Do you recall any general -- do you recall your    17 general response to Mr. Werlin?    18 A. That I had no idea what the letter was talking    19 about.    20 Q. Did you tell him that you were upset that you    21 hadn't been included in a meeting at Castle Park?    22 MS. ANGELL: You mean during this meeting    23 concerning the February 25 --    24 MS. LARKINS: Yes.    25 Q. During this meeting concerning -- during this</p>

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 46	Page 48
<p>1 meeting that Mr. Werlin called you to attend regarding a      2 letter from me, did you tell Mr. Werlin that you were      3 upset about not having been included in a meeting at      4 Castle Park?</p> <p>5 A. I don't recall specifically saying that.</p> <p>6 Q. Do you recall ever having been upset about not      7 having been included in a meeting about me at Castle      8 Park?</p> <p>9 A. Upset? No.</p> <p>10 Q. Do you recall ever having been concerned about      11 not having been included in a meeting about me at Castle      12 Park?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Can you tell me about that concern?</p> <p>15 A. I was concerned because I wished to relate the      16 incidents that had happened.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. You used the plural, incidents. Can you      18 tell me -- you told me -- we started talking about one of      19 them. Can you tell me another?</p> <p>20 A. Yes. I was falsely accused of moving a notebook      21 and making comments to you which I did not make.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. If we refer to this second incident as      23 the notebook incident, would that help clarify it in your      24 mind for us to talk about?</p> <p>25 A. It doesn't help or not help. I mean --</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. Okay. Now, and you -- okay. Now, I'm      2 going back to something that you said just before we      3 discussed this second incident. You said that you had      4 wanted to be included at a meeting so you could discuss      5 this incident?</p> <p>6 A. (Witness nods head.)</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Can you tell me another incident?</p> <p>8 A. That would be the incident by the white board in      9 the morning where I felt -- I feel that I was unjustly      10 and unprovokedly verbally attacked by you.</p> <p>11 Q. Is that that -- are you now talking about the      12 first incident we discussed today earlier? Because we      13 discussed this -- this sounds exactly like the incident      14 we were discussing a while ago.</p> <p>15 A. That would be the incident, yes.</p> <p>16 Q. So let's call that the first incident. We will      17 call that the white board incident. And then there is      18 the notebook incident.</p> <p>19 Can you tell me --</p> <p>20 MS. ANGELL: Excuse me. Just for clarity on the      21 record, because I think we are going to have some trouble      22 because it was stretched out --</p> <p>23 MS. LARKINS: Right.</p> <p>24 MS. ANGELL: Is this thing that you want to call      25 the white board incident the scenario that was previously</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 47</p> <p>1 Q. Okay. Will you understand what I mean if I      2 refer to this incident that you're talking about now      3 about being falsely accused of moving a notebook?</p> <p>4 A. (Witness nods head.)</p> <p>5 MS. ANGELL: I'm sorry. You're going to have to      6 respond audibly; yes, no, I don't know.</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>8 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. So we understand what we are talking      10 about.</p> <p>11 Can you tell me this incident, explain what      12 happened?</p> <p>13 A. I was called in to a meeting in      14 Dr. Donndelinger's office with myself, other teachers and      15 yourself. I was accused of moving a notebook from the      16 table to another table and telling you that you could not      17 sit with us. I explained during the meeting that I did      18 not say these things; I did not move the notebook; no one      19 who was at the table had any recollection of the words      20 being said or my moving the notebook.</p> <p>21 The resolution of the meeting was that I      22 apologized if you had mistakenly got the impression that      23 we did not want you to sit there or I did not want you to      24 sit at the table with us. And I had understood at that      25 point that it was resolved.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 49</p> <p>1 discussed concerning Kingdoms?</p> <p>2 MS. LARKINS: Yes.</p> <p>3 MS. ANGELL: Okay.</p> <p>4 MS. LARKINS: Yes. Let's call that the white      5 board and Kingdoms incident. That's a good idea to add      6 that word "Kingdoms." That helps make it clear. Okay.</p> <p>7 Q. And is there another incident?</p> <p>8 A. No.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Was there any other interaction you ever      10 had with me that was negative?</p> <p>11 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.      12 You mean -- do you mean interactions other than the      13 notebook incident and the Kingdoms incident at Castle      14 Park Elementary School while you both taught there?</p> <p>15 MS. LARKINS: That's very well stated. I'll try      16 to say that myself.</p> <p>17 Q. Were there any incidents other than the white      18 board/Kingdoms incident and the notebook incident during      19 the time you and I taught at Castle Park that you      20 consider to have been negative between you and me?</p> <p>21 A. Not to my recollection.</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. So when you wanted to be included in a      23 meeting at Castle Park about me, you wanted to discuss      24 the white board incident and the notebook incident?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 50	Page 52
<p>1        Q. Okay. Let's go back to that white board      2 incident. Can you tell me what happened?      3        Oh, you know what? That's kind of asking for a      4 narrative. Let me try to remember where we left off. We      5 left off where you were standing or sitting next to the      6 white board, and you said there were other people there      7 in the room?</p> <p>8        A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>9        Q. Do you remember who those other people were?      10      A. I remember a couple of them, yes.</p> <p>11      Q. Who were they?</p> <p>12      A. Victoria Singleton, Kim Brown. I believe Linda      13 Candle was there. There were others, but I don't recall      14 specifically.</p> <p>15      Q. Okay. Then did you say anything?</p> <p>16      MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>17 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>18      Q. Okay. Then what happened?</p> <p>19      A. From what point?</p> <p>20      Q. Well, how did -- I believe you referred to --      21 you said that there was a confrontation with me. Could      22 you tell me how that began?</p> <p>23      A. The confrontation portion or -- there were two      24 portions to that incident.</p> <p>25      Q. How about you tell me the first one.</p>	<p>1        a little out of line, yes.      2        Q. Okay. And did you ever tell anyone that you      3 thought she was rude to me?      4        A. I may have told Dr. Donndelinger when I spoke to      5 her about the incident, yes.      6        Q. Uh-huh. At that time did you say that I was      7 rude to -- that I -- did you tell Dr. Donndelinger that I      8 had said that Victoria was rude?      9        A. Yes. You said to Victoria that she was rude or      10 impolite or -- I don't remember the exact words. You      11 addressed her by name; you said that you thought that she      12 was rude, impolite, and you left the room.      13      Q. Okay. Now, this incident caused you to fear me?      14      A. No. The second incident caused me to fear you,      15 yes. The verbal attack after you had returned to the      16 room.      17      Q. Okay.      18      MR. HERSH: Objection. Or -- I'm not clear as      19 to what you're referring to as the second incident.      20      THE WITNESS: The second part of that incident.      21      MS. ANGELL: Will you describe what you mean by      22 the second part of the incident.      23      THE WITNESS: When Mrs. Larkins verbally      24 attacked me unprovoked.      25      MS. ANGELL: When she returned to the room on</p>
Page 51	Page 53
<p>1        A. There was a change in the -- a change, and then      2 a change back in the Kingdoms schedule for that day. It      3 was noted on the board that the Kingdoms would be a video      4 that we were all to watch for that afternoon. You became      5 very upset at this and seemed to be more upset than the      6 situation required. Another teacher made a comment to      7 you that it was only -- was a video; it was very simple      8 to turn on the TV. You remarked to that teacher that you      9 thought her -- her comment was not very polite. You left      10 the room. You returned a few moments later and started      11 verbally attacking me about that you hoped that me and      12 Joe Ellen were happy and that we were out -- I don't      13 recall the specific words, but it was very aggressive,      14 very aggressive posture, very aggressive stance, very      15 accusatory that somehow I had done something to change      16 the Kingdoms on purpose to frustrate your lesson plan for      17 the day.</p> <p>18        My response, while being shocked, was that I had      19 nothing to do with Kingdoms; that I was on the budget      20 committee, and I asked you to stop yelling at me.</p> <p>21        Q. Okay. I'm interested in the first part of your      22 response. Was Victoria Singleton the other teacher?</p> <p>23        A. Yes.</p> <p>24        Q. And was she rude to me?</p> <p>25        A. She was rude to many people, but, yeah, she was</p>	<p>1        the same day?      2        THE WITNESS: Yes.      3        MS. ANGELL: Are we all clear?      4 BY MS. LARKINS:      5        Q. Then what happened?      6        A. Then what happened from what point?      7        Q. After I allegedly verbally attacked you.      8        A. You left the room. I went and expressed my      9 concerns to Dr. Donndelinger.      10      Q. Okay. Did you -- okay. That's fine. Okay.      11      And this incident made you afraid of me. What      12 did you fear that I would --      13      MS. ANGELL: Hold on. Objection. I didn't hear      14 a question, and I didn't hear an audible response.      15      THE WITNESS: Okay.      16      MS. ANGELL: So if you could please give audible      17 responses and make sure that you understand that a      18 question has been asked and that you understand the      19 question before you answer it.      20 BY MS. LARKINS:      21      Q. Okay. After this incident, what were you afraid      22 of that I might do to you?      23      MS. ANGELL: After what incident? Where you      24 yelled at her by the white board?      25      MS. LARKINS: Yes.</p>

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 54	Page 56
<p>1        THE WITNESS: That another unprovoked response      2 or unprovoked attack would occur.      3 BY MS. LARKINS:      4        Q. A verbal attack?      5        A. Verbal mostly, yes. Any type of attack. I      6 don't know.      7        Q. Did you fear that I might attack you physically?      8        A. Not at that time, no.      9        Q. Okay. After I allegedly yelled at you in the      10 white board/Kingdoms incident, you were not afraid that I      11 would attack you physically?      12      MS. ANGELL: Excuse me. Is that a question?      13 BY MS. LARKINS:      14        Q. Is that correct?      15        A. I was afraid that your behavior was      16 unpredictable and not rational. I don't know      17 specifically whether it would just pertain to verbal or      18 physical as well.      19        Q. Okay. I'm really trying to get your state of      20 mind at that time. Okay.      21        Now, a minute ago you said you were not afraid      22 of me physically at that time after that incident, and      23 now you're saying maybe you were?      24        A. I don't specifically recall making any      25 statements that I was afraid of you physically, but I'm</p>	<p>1        that you feared.      2        MS. ANGELL: She's already answered the      3 question.      4        THE WITNESS: I did answer that.      5        MS. LARKINS: Okay.      6        Q. I really need to hear the answers again, because      7 I really do not know what the answer is.      8        A. I feared that more un -- that unpredictable      9 behavior -- unprovoked unpredictable behavior would occur      10 again. There were no specifics of what type of behavior      11 I feared.      12      MS. ANGELL: Do you mean you didn't tell anybody      13 specifically what behavior, or you weren't sure --      14      THE WITNESS: I was just not sure. I did not      15 know what I might expect.      16 BY MS. LARKINS:      17        Q. Okay. Did you think I might bring a gun to      18 school and shoot you?      19        A. No.      20        Q. Did you think I might punch you in the nose?      21        A. I don't know. Unpredictable behavior is      22 unpredictable behavior. I have no specific things that I      23 was afraid of.      24        Q. Would it be fair to say you just had a      25 generalized anxiety directed towards me?</p>
<p>1        not sure. I mean unpredictable behavior is unpredictable      2 behavior.      3        Q. Okay. What -- okay. Anyway, at some point in      4 time you began to fear that I might physically attack      5 you?      6        A. Not specifically, but unpredictable behavior is      7 unpredictable behavior.      8        Q. Okay. Okay. So may I -- okay. Were you afraid      9 because you felt uncertain about my behavior?      10       A. Could you clarify that, please.      11       Q. Were you -- okay. Is it fair to say that you      12 were worried that I might verbally attack you? After      13 that incident you were afraid that I might verbally      14 attack you?      15       A. That more unpredictable behavior would follow,      16 might follow. No specifics on what type of behavior that      17 might be.      18       Q. But I want to know what you feared.      19       MS. ANGELL: Objection. Asked and answered;      20 argumentative.      21       You have asked the question several times and      22 she continues to try and give you the answer.      23       MS. LARKINS: Okay.      24       Q. You're saying that you don't know what you      25 feared. I'm asking you to try to remember what it was</p>	<p>1        MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous;      2 incomplete hypothetical, "would it be fair to say." If      3 you could ask a question about what she did or what she      4 remembered, she'd be able to answer that.      5 BY MS. LARKINS:      6       Q. After this incident -- this white board/Kingdoms      7 incident, did you feel anxious around me?      8       A. Yes.      9       Q. When you felt anxious around me, was there a      10 specific action on my part that you feared?      11       A. No. Other than unpredictable behavior, but      12 nothing specific, no.      13       Q. Okay. Were you afraid that I might report your      14 aggressive behavior toward me?      15       A. No.      16       MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as      17 to time.      18       Let me get my objections in.      19       THE WITNESS: Sorry.      20       MS. ANGELL: Argumentative.      21 BY MS. LARKINS:      22       Q. Okay. I'd like to -- do you recall which one of      23 these two incidents happened first, the notebook incident      24 or the white board/Kingdoms incident?      25       A. The notebook incident.</p>

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 58	Page 60
<p>1 Q. Okay. And did you feel falsely accused after 2 the notebook incident?</p> <p>3 A. I've already stated that, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. At that time after the notebook incident, 5 were you afraid that I might start yelling at you?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Were you afraid that I might falsely accuse you?</p> <p>8 A. I don't know that I was afraid that you might 9 falsely accuse me again, no.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. Were you afraid that I might truthfully 11 accuse you?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. Going back to that notebook incident, do 14 you remember sitting at a table before an in-service?</p> <p>15 A. A specific in-service --</p> <p>16 Q. During that meeting where I allegedly falsely 17 accused you -- regarding which I allegedly falsely 18 accused you?</p> <p>19 A. Do I recall sitting at a table before that 20 meeting? Yes.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. And you recall sitting at a table during 22 that in-service?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. And was I sitting at that table?</p> <p>25 A. No.</p>	<p>1 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>2 Q. Can you tell me about another time when you made 3 that statement?</p> <p>4 A. After more than one staff meeting.</p> <p>5 Q. Is Rick Denmen your friend?</p> <p>6 A. Yes.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Do you discuss school issues together?</p> <p>8 Or when you were working at Castle Park, did you discuss 9 school issues together?</p> <p>10 A. Occasionally.</p> <p>11 Q. Did he talk to you about me?</p> <p>12 A. Not to my recollection.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay.</p> <p>14 MS. ANGELL: And I have a belated objection to 15 that. It's vague and ambiguous as to time. It kind of 16 sounds like that question means for all time. Do you 17 mean as to a specific time period, or while they were 18 both working at Castle Park or --</p> <p>19 MS. LARKINS: The question is withdrawn.</p> <p>20 Q. The anxiety that you felt regarding me, did that 21 become more severe over time?</p> <p>22 A. No.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Did you think I was crazy?</p> <p>24 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as 25 to time; lacks foundation.</p>
<p>1 Q. Had I made any attempt to sit at that table?</p> <p>2 A. I don't know that you made an attempt to sit at 3 the table. You came to the table looking for your 4 notebook.</p> <p>5 Q. Did you tell me to go over -- go over there and 6 point to the kindergarten table?</p> <p>7 A. I don't recall what I said specifically, but I 8 don't recall telling you to go over somewhere. I may 9 have referred that your notebook might be over there, but 10 I don't recall telling you to go sit somewhere else, no.</p> <p>11 Q. Was Rick Denmen sitting next to you at the time?</p> <p>12 A. I don't know where Rick Denmen was sitting. He 13 was at the table, but I don't recall who was sitting next 14 to me.</p> <p>15 Q. At the meeting that -- and the meeting -- at the 16 meeting about this incident did you say, "Rick Denmen 17 always gets me in trouble"?</p> <p>18 A. Probably.</p> <p>19 MS. ANGELL: I'm going to instruct the witness 20 to answer what you know or what you don't know as opposed 21 to guessing.</p> <p>22 THE WITNESS: I don't recall specifically making 23 that statement, but I have made that statement, yes.</p> <p>24 MS. ANGELL: Okay.</p> <p>25</p>	<p>1 MR. HERSH: Calls for expert witness testimony.</p> <p>2 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>3 Q. When you say you believed that I was 4 unpredictable, how did you come to that conclusion?</p> <p>5 A. That was based on your behavior towards me 6 during the white board incident.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. During the white board incident were you 8 afraid that I might report that you were aggressive 9 toward me?</p> <p>10 A. No.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. Did you ever tell Tim Allen that you 12 thought I might bring a gun to school?</p> <p>13 A. No, not to my recollection.</p> <p>14 Q. Did you tell Tim Allen that you were afraid of 15 me?</p> <p>16 A. Yes. You have already asked that.</p> <p>17 Q. Did you tell Tim Allen what you were afraid I 18 was going to do?</p> <p>19 A. I don't recall specifically what I told Tim 20 Allen except for that I was apprehensive about you.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. And at this time you told Tim Allen this, 22 I was not employed at Castle Park, was I?</p> <p>23 A. No.</p> <p>24 Q. Were you afraid I might come to Castle Park?</p> <p>25 A. Personally?</p>

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 62	Page 64
<p>1 Q. Yes.</p> <p>2 A. I had no feelings whatsoever about you coming to</p> <p>3 Castle Park or not.</p> <p>4 Q. What were you apprehensive of when you told</p> <p>5 Tim --</p> <p>6 A. The unpredictable behavior on your part towards</p> <p>7 me.</p> <p>8 Q. I hadn't -- I hadn't appeared at Castle Park for</p> <p>9 many, many months at the time you reported this to</p> <p>10 Mr. Allen, right?</p> <p>11 A. (Witness nods head.)</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. And yet you were --</p> <p>13 MS. ANGELL: I'm sorry. I didn't hear an</p> <p>14 audible response.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>16 MS. LARKINS: Thank you.</p> <p>17 MS. ANGELL: I'm going to instruct the witness</p> <p>18 that questions that seek to invade an attorney-client</p> <p>19 privilege, which would mean meetings where counsel was</p> <p>20 present, are not to be answered.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: Okay.</p> <p>22 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>23 Q. Why did you discuss me with Tim Allen?</p> <p>24 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous as</p> <p>25 to time.</p>	<p>1 A. No.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Why did you tell Tim Allen that you were</p> <p>3 apprehensive about me?</p> <p>4 A. He asked me.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Do you recall exactly how he asked it?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Had he heard from someone else that you were</p> <p>8 apprehensive about me?</p> <p>9 MS. ANGELL: You mean other than attorney-client</p> <p>10 communications and attorney privileged and attorney work</p> <p>11 product?</p> <p>12 MS. LARKINS: Yeah.</p> <p>13 MS. ANGELL: If you know.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: I don't know.</p> <p>15 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>16 Q. How did he begin the conversation?</p> <p>17 MS. ANGELL: You mean the conversation in which</p> <p>18 she told Mr. Allen that she was apprehensive concerning</p> <p>19 you?</p> <p>20 MS. LARKINS: Yes.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: I don't recall precisely how the</p> <p>22 conversation began.</p> <p>23 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>24 Q. Is he the one who brought up my name during that</p> <p>25 conversation?</p>
Page 63	Page 65
<p>1 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>2 Q. Why did you talk to Tim Allen about me when Tim</p> <p>3 Allen was principal of Castle Park Elementary?</p> <p>4 A. It was concerning a meeting with the attorney</p> <p>5 and I choose not to answer.</p> <p>6 MS. LARKINS: Okay. I'm trying to figure this</p> <p>7 out.</p> <p>8 MS. ANGELL: Do you need to talk to me for a</p> <p>9 second?</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: No. You instructed me not to</p> <p>11 answer questions that had to do with meetings where</p> <p>12 counsel was present.</p> <p>13 MS. ANGELL: Let's go off for a second. I'll</p> <p>14 see if I can get it cleared up.</p> <p>15 Are we all in agreement.</p> <p>16 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record?</p> <p>17 MS. LARKINS: Yes.</p> <p>18 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.</p> <p>19 (Recess taken.)</p> <p>20 VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 3:09.</p> <p>21 MS. LARKINS: Could you read back the last</p> <p>22 question.</p> <p>23 Never mind. I just remembered. Okay.</p> <p>24 Q. When you told Tim Allen that you were</p> <p>25 apprehensive about me, was there an attorney present?</p>	<p>1 A. I believe so.</p> <p>2 Q. Is it possible that you were the one that</p> <p>3 brought up my name?</p> <p>4 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Calls for speculation.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: I don't know.</p> <p>6 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Are you certain that he was the one who</p> <p>8 brought up my name during that conversation?</p> <p>9 A. No, I'm not certain that he is the one.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. So you think it -- it's possible that you</p> <p>11 were the one who brought up my name?</p> <p>12 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Asked and answered.</p> <p>13 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>14 Q. Go ahead.</p> <p>15 MS. ANGELL: Asked and answered.</p> <p>16 MS. LARKINS: That's the objection, but I would</p> <p>17 like you to answer it.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: I did answer it previously.</p> <p>19 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>20 Q. What was your answer?</p> <p>21 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>22 MS. ANGELL: She doesn't need to answer it.</p> <p>23 She's already answered it once.</p> <p>24 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. When Tim Allen was principal at Castle</p>

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 66	Page 68
<p>1 Park, about how often did you become apprehensive about 2 me?</p> <p>3 A. I don't recall making note of occurrences of 4 apprehension.</p> <p>5 Q. Would you say it was a rare event?</p> <p>6 A. No. I don't believe there were events.</p> <p>7 Q. The event I'm referring to is your feeling 8 apprehensive about me. Was that a rare event?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. Was it a common event?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Did you feel constantly apprehensive 13 about me?</p> <p>14 A. I don't recall specifically.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. What were you afraid of that I would do?</p> <p>16 A. Exhibit more unpredictable behavior.</p> <p>17 Q. Where were you afraid that I would exhibit this 18 behavior?</p> <p>19 A. I had no specific location that I was concerned 20 about.</p> <p>21 Q. Did you think I would exhibit this behavior in a 22 location where you were located?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Did you think I would seek you out?</p> <p>25 A. I did not speculate on that, no.</p>	<p>1 Q. Let's see. Let's not use the word "anxiety." 2 Let's say did you feel stressed about the possibility 3 that I might somehow appear and act unpredictable?</p> <p>4 A. At times, yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. How often did these times occur?</p> <p>6 A. I've not really speculated on that.</p> <p>7 Q. Uh-huh. And this anxiety stemmed simply from 8 this white board/Kingdoms incident; is that correct?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. And you were afraid that I might do something 11 worse than yell at you?</p> <p>12 A. I didn't say that.</p> <p>13 Q. Were you afraid that I might do something worse 14 than yell at you?</p> <p>15 A. I was afraid that you would exhibit more 16 unpredictable behavior.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. In other words, you thought I might -- 18 you were afraid -- were you afraid that I would exhibit 19 behavior which you had never experienced me exhibiting 20 before?</p> <p>21 MS. ANGELL: Is the question before "were you 22 afraid" -- is all that other stuff stricken?</p> <p>23 MS. LARKINS: Yes.</p> <p>24 MS. ANGELL: Do you understand the question?</p> <p>25 THE WITNESS: No.</p>
<p>1 Q. Did you think I might accidentally come across 2 you?</p> <p>3 A. I did not speculate on that.</p> <p>4 Q. Did you think that somehow you and I would be 5 together and I would behave unpredictably?</p> <p>6 A. I didn't specifically speculate on that.</p> <p>7 I guess I'm not understanding the question being 8 asked.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Let me try. Were you afraid that somehow 10 you and I would end up at the same location and I would 11 behave unpredictably?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. How afraid were you?</p> <p>14 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>15 MS. LARKINS: You're right. That is vague and 16 ambiguous.</p> <p>17 Q. Would you say that this was kind of a mild 18 anxiety in the back of your mind?</p> <p>19 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Calls for expert 20 testimony. This person has not been established to be a 21 psychiatrist, psychotherapist or anything like that, and 22 it sounds to me like you're talking about medical 23 diagnoses.</p> <p>24 MS. LARKINS: A mild anxiety in the back of your 25 mind? Okay.</p>	<p>1 MS. ANGELL: She can read it back from "were you 2 afraid."</p> <p>3 MS. LARKINS: No. That is all right. I'll 4 restate it.</p> <p>5 MS. ANGELL: Okay.</p> <p>6 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>7 Q. When you were feeling apprehensive about me when 8 Tim Allen was principal, did you think I might exhibit 9 behavior which I had never before exhibited?</p> <p>10 A. I don't know what behavior you had exhibited 11 before, so I have no -- I need you to clarify that. Do 12 you mean exhibited to me?</p> <p>13 Q. Yes. I mean to you.</p> <p>14 A. Yes. That would go along with unpredictable 15 behavior.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. I'm going to leave that for a while. 17 Did you ask Tim Allen to get a restraining order 18 against me?</p> <p>19 A. No.</p> <p>20 Q. Did you ask Tim Allen to make sure that I didn't 21 come on the school grounds?</p> <p>22 A. Not to my recollection.</p> <p>23 Q. Did you tell Tim Allen about the white board 24 incident?</p> <p>25 A. I don't recall.</p>

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 70	Page 72
<p>1 Q. Did you give any reason to Tim Allen for your 2 apprehension about me? 3 A. I don't recall specific reasons. 4 Q. If you had given him a reason for your 5 apprehension about me, it would have been the white board 6 incident, correct? 7 MS. ANGELL: Objection. She already testified 8 that she didn't recall; therefore, the question is 9 argumentative. 10 MS. LARKINS: Okay. 11 Q. When I was working at Castle Park and Gretchen 12 Donndelinger was working at Castle Park, did you ever 13 talk to her about a police report involving me or anyone 14 connected with me? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Did you ever tell her that you knew something 17 about me, but you -- she wouldn't want to know it? 18 A. No. 19 Q. Did you ever tell her that there was some sort 20 of non-school relationship between my family and your 21 family? 22 A. Not to my recollection, no. 23 Q. Apart from the white -- talking about the white 24 board incident and the notebook incident to Gretchen 25 Donndelinger, did you ever talk to her at any other time</p>	<p>1 MS. LARKINS: I thought when you came back in 2 you said that would be okay to talk about that. 3 MS. ANGELL: I'm still making the objection that 4 it's not relevant. 5 MS. LARKINS: Oh, okay. 6 MS. ANGELL: The whole line of questioning is 7 not relevant. And if you want to stipulate that all the 8 line of questioning related to any current employment 9 status -- if you want to do what you did before -- 10 MS. LARKINS: Yeah. 11 MS. ANGELL: I'll just object based on relevance 12 for all of that, and then I don't have to say it each 13 time on this line of questioning then. That will be 14 fine. 15 MS. LARKINS: Okay. 16 MR. HERSH: And I would join in that objection, 17 running objection. 18 MS. LARKINS: Okay. So stipulated. 19 Q. Did you talk to a Union-Tribune reporter about 20 your transfer from Castle Park Elementary? 21 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous as to time. 22 BY MS. LARKINS: 23 Q. Since last August. 24 A. I don't recall the exact date, but yes. 25 Q. Okay. Did you talk to more than one</p>
<p>1 about me? 2 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. 3 And insofar as it seeks to invade attorney-client 4 privilege and attorney work product, it's objected to on 5 those grounds. 6 MS. LARKINS: Okay. Let me try again. 7 Q. Did you ever talk to Gretchen Donndelinger 8 without an attorney present about me at any other time 9 other than the times you were discussing the white board 10 incident and the notebook incident? 11 A. I don't recall any, no. 12 Q. Okay. If you had knowledge about a police 13 report about a teacher at your school, is that something 14 you'd be likely to remember? 15 A. Probably. 16 Q. Okay. Did you ever speak to Chris Moran, a 17 Union-Tribune reporter? 18 A. I don't know. I may have. 19 Q. Okay. Did you speak to any Union-Tribune 20 reporter regarding your transfer out of Castle Park 21 Elementary? 22 A. Yes. 23 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Issues relating to 24 Ms. Donlan's transfer or employment status are not 25 relevant to the litigation.</p>	<p>1 Union-Tribune reporter? 2 A. No. 3 Q. Okay. Did you call the reporter or did the 4 reporter call you? 5 A. I did not call the reporter; the reporter did 6 not call me. 7 Q. Did you talk to the reporter in person? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. Where were you when you talked to the 10 reporter? 11 A. I was at Castle Park Elementary School. 12 Q. Okay. At what time of day was it? 13 A. I don't recall specifically. It was in the 14 evening. 15 Q. Okay. And was this at a rally to protest your 16 transfer? 17 A. It was at a rally to inform the parents about the transfer. 18 Q. Okay. I'd like to enter a document -- I'd like to ask that this document be labeled Exhibit 4. 19 (Exhibit 4 marked for identification.) 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 21 BY MS. LARKINS: 22 Q. Okay. Is this document familiar to you? 23 A. Yes.</p>

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 74	Page 76
<p>1 Q. When was the first time you saw this document?      2 A. A few days before the rally.      3 Q. Okay. How did you -- who showed you the      4 document?      5 A. I showed me the document.      6 Q. Did you prepare this document?      7 A. Yes, I did.      8 Q. Do you feel that it is wrong for someone to be      9 transferred without being told why?      10 A. Without being told why and -- if I -- I need      11 more clarification.      12 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.      13 BY MS. LARKINS:      14 Q. Okay. Did you write this document in order to      15 protest your transfer out of Castle Park Elementary      16 School?      17 A. I wrote this document to make parents aware of a      18 meeting that was being held to inform the public, the      19 parents of Castle Park Elementary School about our      20 transfer and what they could do to prevent it if they      21 so chose.      22 Q. Did you want the parents to prevent your      23 transfer?      24 A. Yes.      25 Q. Okay. Did you think your transfer was wrong?</p>	<p>1 MS. LARKINS: Yes.      2 MS. ANGELL: So unrelated to this litigation --      3 her question is unrelated to this litigation, were you      4 told -- what did you say -- put on administrative leave?      5 MS. LARKINS: Yes.      6 THE WITNESS: We knew -- I was aware you were on      7 leave. I did not know the specifics pertaining to that      8 leave, no.      9 BY MS. LARKINS:      10 Q. Who told you that I was on leave?      11 A. I don't recall.      12 Q. Were you happy when I was on leave?      13 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.      14 Vague and ambiguous as to time. And the whole thing is      15 vague and ambiguous.      16 MS. LARKINS: Okay.      17 Q. Were you happy when you found out -- when you      18 first found out that I had been placed on leave?      19 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous as to time. I      20 believe the facts are that you were on leave multiple      21 times during your time as a teacher at Castle Park      22 Elementary School.      23 MS. LARKINS: That's why I said first.      24 MS. ANGELL: Do you know when she was first on      25 leave?</p>
<p>1 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous;      2 calls for a legal conclusion.      3 BY MS. LARKINS:      4 Q. Did you think that your transfer was morally      5 wrong?      6 MR. HERSH: Calls for a theological expert      7 response.      8 BY MS. LARKINS:      9 Q. How did you feel about your transfer?      10 A. I felt it was sudden and without appropriate      11 reason.      12 Q. Okay. Have you ever become aware at any time      13 before today that I was taken out of my classroom and      14 placed on administrative leave without being told why?      15 A. No.      16 Q. Were you ever aware until today -- before today      17 that I was taken out of my classroom at Castle Park and      18 placed on administrative leave?      19 MS. ANGELL: Hold up. You're answering real      20 quick. Give me a chance to get my objections on the      21 record. Okay?      22 Let me clarify -- ask for clarification of the      23 question. Do you mean other than attorney-client      24 privileged situations, attorney work product and in the      25 course of this litigation?</p>	<p>1 THE WITNESS: No.      2 MS. ANGELL: If you could give a time frame,      3 maybe she could answer your question.      4 MS. LARKINS: When you first found out.      5 MS. ANGELL: I'm sorry. This is still vague and      6 ambiguous, because, as I said, my understanding of the      7 facts are that there were multiple different times.      8 MS. LARKINS: I don't know when she first found      9 out that I was ever taken -- placed on administrative      10 leave.      11 Q. Okay. I am hoping that you'll be able to think      12 back and try to remember the very first time. And I      13 would imagine it would be a memorable moment, because      14 it's pretty unusual for a teacher to be placed on      15 administrative leave.      16 I'm trying -- I'm asking you to think back and      17 try to remember when you first found out that I had ever      18 been placed on administrative leave from Castle Park      19 Elementary.      20 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Move to strike all of      21 the testimony given by plaintiff before the last      22 question. Objection. It's been asked and answered; that      23 she was not told you were on administrative leave and any      24 circumstance other than attorney-client privileged      25 discussions and/or discussions in relation to this</p>

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 78	Page 80
<p>1 litigation. And the question has already been answered.      2 She said that she didn't know what kind of leave you were      3 on.</p> <p>4 MS. LARKINS: Okay. This litigation,      5 Ms. Angell, was filed -- well, actually it was filed in      6 January of 2002, but it wasn't served until about March      7 12, 2002. And I don't think that Ms. Donlan became aware      8 of this litigation until March 12, 2002.</p> <p>9 Now, I was placed on administrative leave the      10 first time on February 12, 2001, so we are talking about      11 a period of over a year before there was any litigation,      12 any attorneys, any attorney-client privilege. And of      13 course Ms. Donlan wants to tell us the truth here.</p> <p>14 Q. So I need to ask you during the period of time      15 between February 12, 2001 and the time in 2002 when you      16 discovered that I had filed a lawsuit, did you ever find      17 out that I had been placed on administrative leave?</p> <p>18 MS. ANGELL: Objection: Incomplete      19 hypothetical; assumes facts not in evidence. And move to      20 strike all of that commentary before the question that      21 came out, because it's not your opportunity to testify,      22 and I think you were formulating your question.</p> <p>23 MS. LARKINS: Okay.</p> <p>24 Q. Did you find out at some time that I had filed a      25 lawsuit?</p>	<p>1 A. My assistant Kim Brown. Occasionally Victoria      2 Singleton would sit there. Occasionally Mimi January,      3 Linda Candle, sometime -- generally Virginia Copeland.      4 Occasionally Terry Coffey.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay.</p> <p>6 MS. ANGELL: I'm sorry. Can you read back who      7 was before Linda Candle. I missed the name.      8 (The record was read.)</p> <p>9 MS. ANGELL: Thank you.</p> <p>10 BY MS. LARKINS:      11 Q. How do you feel about principal Ollie Matos?      12 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>13 BY MS. LARKINS:      14 Q. You can try to answer it, or do you need me to      15 restate it?      16 A. I'm --      17 MS. ANGELL: And the relevance objection,      18 because --      19 THE WITNESS: I'm curious as to why my feelings      20 about Mr. Matos are relevant.      21 MS. ANGELL: Well, you don't get to ask those      22 kinds of questions, but -- because I think her --      23 (Brief interruption.)      24 MS. LARKINS: I apologize for that.      25 MS. ANGELL: I'm just raising the relevance</p>
Page 79	Page 81
<p>1 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous.      2 THE WITNESS: Yes.</p> <p>3 BY MS. LARKINS:      4 Q. Okay. How soon after teachers were served at      5 Castle Park Elementary did you find out that they had      6 been served?      7 A. I don't recall.      8 Q. Okay. Do you think it was pretty soon after?      9 A. I don't recall.      10 Q. Okay. At any time before you spoke to an      11 attorney regarding this case, did you find out that I had      12 been placed on administrative leave when I was a teacher      13 at Castle Park?      14 A. I don't specifically recall when -- the time.      15 Q. When you were at Castle Park, was there a      16 table -- a certain table in the teachers' lounge that you      17 liked to sit at?      18 A. Yes.      19 Q. Okay. Were there other teachers who frequently      20 sat there with you?      21 A. Yes.      22 Q. Could you tell me who those teachers were?      23 A. It varied from day to day.      24 Q. Who were the most like -- who sat there with you      25 more often -- most often?</p>	<p>1 objection again because we moved away from the transfer      2 issue I think for a little bit, and I think you are      3 moving back toward there, so it would be another one of      4 those relevance objections.      5 MS. LARKINS: I believe that Robin Donlan's      6 attitudes toward Ollie Matos are typical of her attitudes      7 toward quite a few people, and I believe she has sought      8 to damage him in a similar way that she sought to damage      9 me.      10 MS. ANGELL: Move to strike. No question      11 pending.      12 MS. LARKINS: I was talking to you.      13 MS. ANGELL: I don't understand what you're      14 saying. It sounds like you're testifying.      15 MS. LARKINS: No. You wanted to know how this      16 was relevant, and I'm just telling you that.      17 MS. ANGELL: Well, the allegations in this      18 litigation are that Ms. Donlan conspired with her brother      19 Michael Carlson to get access to your records of arrest;      20 that she didn't -- that Mr. Carlson did in fact get      21 access to those records, gave the info to Mrs. Donlan,      22 then passed it along to other people. And I haven't seen      23 any allegation concerning Ollie Matos.      24 MS. LARKINS: I believe that conspiracy to      25 commit slander was one of the causes of action.</p>

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970

Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 82	Page 84
<p>1 MS. ANGELL: That's alleged against Ms. Donlan 2 and Mr. Carlson. 3 MS. LARKINS: Exactly. I believe that 4 Ms. Donlan has a pattern of behavior of conspiracy to 5 commit slander and slander. That's why I think this 6 question is relevant. 7 MS. ANGELL: Okay. Go ahead. 8 THE WITNESS: Could you restate the question. 9 BY MS. LARKINS: 10 Q. How do you feel about Ollie Matos? 11 A. Personally or professionally? 12 Q. Both. 13 A. I do not care for him. 14 Q. Could you tell me why not? 15 A. I do not feel that he is an effective 16 administrator. 17 Q. Why not? 18 A. Because he has proven on more than one occasion 19 to not be completely truthful, and I believe truthfulness 20 is very important -- an important administrative quality. 21 Q. Do you believe truthfulness is important for 22 teachers? 23 A. I believe truthfulness is important for 24 everyone. 25 Q. Okay. Have you ever discussed my son with any</p>	<p>1 BY MS. LARKINS: 2 Q. Did you ever give a written statement about me 3 to Gretchen Donndelinger? 4 A. Not to my recollection, no. 5 Q. Do you think you would remember if you had? 6 A. I don't recall, no. 7 Q. But do you usually have a pretty good memory for 8 things like that? 9 A. I have a pretty good memory for certain things, 10 but -- since I don't recall, I don't know that I would be 11 able to speculate whether or not that I would recall 12 something that I can't recall. 13 Q. Do you frequently give written statements about 14 other teachers to principals? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Okay. So it probably would be an event that 17 would stand out in your mind if you did that? 18 A. I don't know that it would or would not. 19 Q. Okay. Did you ever tell anyone that you were a 20 victim of me? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Okay. Do you recall a year at Castle Park when 23 Gretchen Donndelinger was principal and you said that you 24 might want to switch to a regular fourth grade class 25 instead of your special education class?</p>
Page 83	Page 85
<p>1 of the teachers at Castle Park Elementary School? 2 A. Not to my recollection. 3 Q. Okay. Did you enjoy a great deal of influence 4 over decisions being made by administrators at Castle 5 Park Elementary School during most of the time you were 6 there? 7 A. If you could clarify that, please. 8 Q. Did you enjoy -- let me say this. Did Gretchen 9 Donndelinger listen to what you said to her and do what 10 you suggested a significant amount of the time? 11 MR. HERSH: Objection. It's a compound -- 12 combined question. 13 MS. LARKINS: Let me try to separate it. 14 Q. Did you frequently go in to Gretchen 15 Donndelinger's office and talk to her? 16 A. Not to my recollection, no. 17 VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel, I need to pause for a 18 tape change. 19 MS. LARKINS: Okay. Everybody, shall we take a 20 break? 21 VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes Tape 1 of the 22 deposition of Robin Donlan. Off the record at 3:40 p.m. 23 (Recess taken.) 24 VIDEOGRAPHER: This is Tape 2 of the deposition 25 of Robin Donlan. Back on the record at 3:54 p.m.</p>	<p>1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Do you remember why you -- strike that. Did you 3 tell Gretchen Donndelinger that you wanted to switch to a 4 regular fourth grade class? 5 A. I inquired about a fourth grade opening that was 6 becoming available. 7 Q. Okay. Do you remember how that opening became 8 available? 9 A. I don't recall specifically. I believe that Al 10 Smith was transferred to third grade. 11 Q. Okay. Do you think it could have been that a 12 new portable was brought to the school that was going to 13 create a new class? 14 MS. ANGELL: Are you asking her to guess? 15 BY MS. LARKINS: 16 Q. Do you remember -- do you remember a year when a 17 new portable was brought to Castle Park School and it is 18 now numbered Rooms 704 and 705? 19 A. I don't remember specifically when that was 20 brought. 21 Q. Okay. Do you think that might have been the 22 time when you asked to switch to a regular fourth? 23 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous. 24 THE WITNESS: I don't recall that being 25 specifically the time, no.</p>

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 86	Page 88
<p>1 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Why did you decide not to switch to the 3 regular fourth grade class when you had discussed it with 4 the principal?</p> <p>5 A. I did not make that decision. Dr. Donndelinger 6 made that decision.</p> <p>7 Q. Were you unhappy when you were not allowed to 8 switch to a fourth grade regular class?</p> <p>9 A. I was disappointed.</p> <p>10 Q. Are you now teaching a regular fourth grade 11 class?</p> <p>12 A. Yes.</p> <p>13 Q. But you weren't happy when you were given this 14 regular fourth grade class?</p> <p>15 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. 16 If you understand the question, you can answer 17 it.</p> <p>18 What's this fourth grade class? I don't know 19 what you mean.</p> <p>20 MS. LARKINS: I withdraw the question.</p> <p>21 Q. Do you recall one year when the teachers had a 22 food fight in the staff lounge at Castle Park?</p> <p>23 A. I wasn't present for that.</p> <p>24 Q. Okay. Did that food fight occur on the last day 25 of school?</p>	<p>1 questions about that, and it's fine with me to stipulate 2 that that would be a standing objection.</p> <p>3 MS. ANGELL: To all of the questions concerning 4 her opinions about administrators' skills and expertise?</p> <p>5 MS. LARKINS: Yes.</p> <p>6 MS. ANGELL: Okay. So stipulated.</p> <p>7 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>8 Q. What were Gretchen Donndelinger's administrative 9 skills in your point of view?</p> <p>10 A. She cared for the children; she liked being 11 around children. She -- I felt that she had the best 12 interests of the children in heart. I feel those are 13 skills that are important for an administrator.</p> <p>14 Q. Was she your friend?</p> <p>15 A. She was my work acquaintance.</p> <p>16 Q. Did you have a positive relationship with her?</p> <p>17 A. I believe so.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Did your relationship with her get better 19 over time?</p> <p>20 A. I wouldn't say it got better or worse.</p> <p>21 Q. Okay. Did you ever have problems with her when 22 she refused to suspend a student of yours that you wanted 23 suspended?</p> <p>24 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Not reasonably 25 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible</p>
Page 87	Page 89
<p>1 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>2 Q. The following year did you come to the lounge 3 dressed in a rain coat in the expectation of having 4 another food fight?</p> <p>5 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>6 Q. Was Lowell Billings an interim principal at 7 Castle Park when you were there?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. What did you think of his administrative skills?</p> <p>10 A. I didn't think anything. He wasn't there very 11 often.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Do you think that Castle Park has had 13 many principals with poor administrative skills?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. Did you think that Gretchen Donndelinger had 16 good administrative skills?</p> <p>17 A. Certain of her administrative skills were good, 18 yes.</p> <p>19 Q. What skills were those?</p> <p>20 MS. ANGELL: I'm going object to this line of 21 questioning as to administrators' administrative or 22 management skills as not being relevant nor reasonably 23 calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible 24 evidence.</p> <p>25 MS. LARKINS: Okay. I would like to ask some</p>	<p>1 evidence. This is something different from 2 administrative skills. Now you're asking about 3 discipline of students, that kind of thing.</p> <p>4 MS. LARKINS: I am happy to have that be a 5 running objection to every single question I ask.</p> <p>6 MS. ANGELL: Okay. So stipulated.</p> <p>7 Anyone joining?</p> <p>8 MR. HERSH: I'll join in.</p> <p>9 MS. LARKINS: Do you want me to repeat the 10 question?</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: No. I heard the question.</p> <p>12 Do I answer it?</p> <p>13 MS. ANGELL: I'd like to hear the question back, 14 please:</p> <p>15 MS. LARKINS: Let me just ask the question 16 again. I've got to stop saying "um."</p> <p>17 Q. Were you ever unhappy with Gretchen Donndelinger 18 when she refused to suspend one of your students whom you 19 wanted suspended?</p> <p>20 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Assumes facts.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: I was -- I wouldn't say I was 22 unhappy. I wasn't pleased.</p> <p>23 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>24 Q. Did you ever ask Gina Boyd to come and have a 25 meeting with you and Gretchen --</p>

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970

Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 90	Page 92
<p>1 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>2 Q. -- regarding suspending students?</p> <p>3 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>4 Q. Did you ever ask Gina Boyd to come to Castle</p> <p>5 Park and have a meeting with Gretchen Donndelinger about</p> <p>6 anything?</p> <p>7 A. I don't recall specifics.</p> <p>8 Q. Do you recall ever asking Gina Boyd to come and</p> <p>9 have a meeting with you and the principal at Castle Park?</p> <p>10 A. I don't recall any specific events.</p> <p>11 Q. But you think it might have happened?</p> <p>12 A. It might have happened, yes.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. From that I would assume that Gina Boyd</p> <p>14 was a pretty -- you felt -- strike that.</p> <p>15 Was Gina Boyd very supportive of you when you</p> <p>16 were at Castle Park?</p> <p>17 A. Gina Boyd was supportive of everybody who was at</p> <p>18 Castle Park in my belief.</p> <p>19 Q. Was her relationship with you closer than it was</p> <p>20 with other teachers?</p> <p>21 A. She had known me for longer. We had been</p> <p>22 acquaintances, been friendly work partners for longer.</p> <p>23 Q. Uh-huh. Okay. What were some of Gretchen</p> <p>24 Donndelinger's problems as an administrator?</p> <p>25 A. Are you asking me to speculate -- I'm --</p>	<p>1 Q. Who do you think might come close to him as a</p> <p>2 bad administrator?</p> <p>3 A. Mr. Perez.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. Do you believe that Ollie Matos should be</p> <p>5 fired?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Do you believe that Ollie Matos should be</p> <p>8 removed from Castle Park?</p> <p>9 A. I believe that he would most likely be a better</p> <p>10 fit at a different school.</p> <p>11 Q. Why is that?</p> <p>12 A. Because I believe that his skills would be</p> <p>13 better suited to a different type of staff than Castle</p> <p>14 Park was.</p> <p>15 Q. When you say type of staff that Castle Park is,</p> <p>16 what do you mean by that?</p> <p>17 A. Castle Park is an independent staff. The staff</p> <p>18 pretty much as a whole is used to being involved in</p> <p>19 decision making. I believe that Mr. Matos' experience is</p> <p>20 not of that, but there are schools where the staff is not</p> <p>21 involved as closely in decision making. It's my belief</p> <p>22 that he might be better suited to a school like that.</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. Are you acquainted with one of the PTA --</p> <p>24 let me rephrase that. Are you acquainted with PTA</p> <p>25 president Kim Simmons?</p>
<p>1 Q. In your opinion.</p> <p>2 A. Her problems with being an administrator or with</p> <p>3 administrative traits or -- I'm unclear as to what you're</p> <p>4 asking me.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Let me try again.</p> <p>6 Did you ever discuss with other teachers</p> <p>7 Gretchen Donndelinger's failings as an administrator?</p> <p>8 A. I don't recall specifically.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. In your opinion, has Castle Park had more</p> <p>10 than its share of poor administrators?</p> <p>11 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Asked and answered.</p> <p>12 MS. LARKINS: Are you telling your client to</p> <p>13 refuse to answer the question?</p> <p>14 MS. ANGELL: Didn't you already ask her the same</p> <p>15 question about 20 minutes ago?</p> <p>16 MS. LARKINS: No. I've been talking about</p> <p>17 specific administrators.</p> <p>18 MS. ANGELL: No. You asked the question has</p> <p>19 Castle Park had a lot of bad administrators, and she</p> <p>20 answered that she didn't think so.</p> <p>21 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>22 Q. Okay. In your opinion is Ollie Matos the worst</p> <p>23 administrator that Castle Park has had during the time</p> <p>24 you worked there?</p> <p>25 A. Possibly.</p>	<p>1 A. Yes.</p> <p>2 Q. How long have you known her?</p> <p>3 A. Approximately two years.</p> <p>4 Q. How did you first become acquainted?</p> <p>5 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>6 Q. Has she ever called you up to report events at</p> <p>7 Castle Park since you left?</p> <p>8 A. Yes.</p> <p>9 Q. About how often?</p> <p>10 A. Occasionally, not very often.</p> <p>11 Q. Have you suggested to her actions that she might</p> <p>12 take at Castle Park since you left?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. What actions were those?</p> <p>15 A. I suggested at one incident that she call Gina</p> <p>16 Boyd.</p> <p>17 Q. What incident was that?</p> <p>18 A. It was the incident where you were handing out</p> <p>19 literature on the campus to the students.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay.</p> <p>21 A. The literature had Gina Boyd's name on it.</p> <p>22 Q. Uh-huh. How did you feel about that?</p> <p>23 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>24 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>25 Q. How did you feel about me handing out literature</p>

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 94	Page 96
<p>1       that had Gina Boyd's name on it?</p> <p>2       A. You're entitled to your opinion. But opinion is</p> <p>3       opinion.</p> <p>4       Q. It didn't bother you at all?</p> <p>5       A. I really didn't have any opinion about it at</p> <p>6       all.</p> <p>7       Q. Did you have any feelings about it?</p> <p>8       A. (Witness shakes head.)</p> <p>9           I didn't -- I failed to understand the purpose,</p> <p>10       but your opinion is your opinion. You're entitled to it.</p> <p>11       Q. Okay. And what other reports has Kim Simmons</p> <p>12       made to you about Castle Park since you left?</p> <p>13       A. She called to ask me if I knew two gentlemen</p> <p>14       that had attended a PTA meeting, which I did not.</p> <p>15       Q. Was she concerned about these two gentlemen?</p> <p>16       A. You would have to ask her that.</p> <p>17       Q. Did she tell you she was worried about these two</p> <p>18       gentlemen?</p> <p>19       A. No. She did not know who they were. She asked</p> <p>20       me if I knew who they were and why they were there, and I</p> <p>21       said no.</p> <p>22       Q. Did you go to curriculum night at Castle Park</p> <p>23       recently and hand out fliers?</p> <p>24       A. For Jill Galvez, yes. She was running for the</p> <p>25       school board seat that is currently occupied by Patrick</p>	<p>1       were out there were myself and Peg Myers, and we were</p> <p>2       handing out fliers for Jill Galvez.</p> <p>3       Q. Okay. Do you remember when the bilingual</p> <p>4       program began at Castle Park Elementary School?</p> <p>5       A. I don't remember a specific year, but yes.</p> <p>6       Q. Okay. Were the teachers involved in deciding</p> <p>7       whether or not there would be a bilingual program?</p> <p>8       A. I can't recall how much involvement the teachers</p> <p>9       had in making the decision to have one.</p> <p>10       Q. How did you feel about the bilingual program?</p> <p>11       MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous as to time.</p> <p>12       BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>13       Q. At Castle Park when it was being discussed that</p> <p>14       it was going to be -- it might be coming.</p> <p>15       A. I didn't have a strong belief in bilingual</p> <p>16       education.</p> <p>17       Q. Do you think bilingual education is a bad idea?</p> <p>18       A. I wouldn't go to say that it's a bad idea. I</p> <p>19       just don't have a belief that it's beneficial.</p> <p>20       Q. Okay. Did you express these opinions at staff</p> <p>21       meetings?</p> <p>22       A. Yes, I did.</p> <p>23       Q. Did other people express similar opinions?</p> <p>24       A. Similar and opposed, yeah.</p> <p>25       Q. Okay. Were these -- did people get pretty angry</p>
Page 95	Page 97
<p>1       Judd.</p> <p>2       Q. Why did you choose Castle Park as a place to</p> <p>3       hand out your fliers that night?</p> <p>4       A. I -- I saw no reason not to.</p> <p>5       Q. Who was with you when you were handing out the</p> <p>6       fliers?</p> <p>7       A. Certain parents, Gina Boyd, other people I don't</p> <p>8       recall specifically, and Peg Myers.</p> <p>9       Q. Okay. Peg Myers was also recently transferred</p> <p>10       from Castle Park, wasn't she?</p> <p>11       A. Yes, she was.</p> <p>12       Q. Were you trying to prove to the principal that</p> <p>13       you could be there and he couldn't get rid of you?</p> <p>14       A. I was not trying to prove anything. I was</p> <p>15       handing out fliers in support of Jill Galvez.</p> <p>16       Q. Were any of the parents or teachers who were</p> <p>17       with you holding up signs?</p> <p>18       A. Yes.</p> <p>19       Q. What did those signs say?</p> <p>20       A. Different things. "Support the teachers."</p> <p>21       Q. What did that mean, "support the teachers"?</p> <p>22       A. Support the teachers who were transferred.</p> <p>23       Q. So these parents and teachers were protesting</p> <p>24       your transfer?</p> <p>25       A. I believe the parents were. The teachers who</p>	<p>1       at these staff meetings?</p> <p>2       A. Not to my recollection.</p> <p>3       Q. Okay. When you were at Castle Park, did there</p> <p>4       come a time when a rule was established that teachers</p> <p>5       couldn't be working on their own personal class work</p> <p>6       during staff meetings?</p> <p>7       A. That rule has been established more than once.</p> <p>8       Q. Okay. Can you remember the first time it was</p> <p>9       established?</p> <p>10       A. Tony Gonzalez had that rule I believe during his</p> <p>11       principalship.</p> <p>12       Q. And --</p> <p>13       A. I don't know when it was established.</p> <p>14       Q. Okay. And then did that rule sort of get lost</p> <p>15       by the wayside after Tony Gonzalez left?</p> <p>16       A. Each administrator has their own style for</p> <p>17       meetings. With the different administrators came</p> <p>18       different standards.</p> <p>19       Q. Okay. I think his name was French. Was it --</p> <p>20       was Bob French the principal that followed --</p> <p>21       A. Yes.</p> <p>22       Q. Did he have that rule about no work being done</p> <p>23       during staff meetings?</p> <p>24       A. I don't recall specifically.</p> <p>25       Q. Okay. Was there a practice of keeping a</p>

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 98	Page 100
<p>1 speakers list at staff meetings?</p> <p>2 A. Some staff meetings, not all, no.</p> <p>3 Q. Who usually kept the speakers list?</p> <p>4 A. At times Joe Ellen Hamilton, for the most part.</p> <p>5 Q. Who else?</p> <p>6 A. I don't recall, but other teachers have done it.</p> <p>7 Q. Okay. Was Joe Ellen Hamilton a friend of yours?</p> <p>8 A. Not particularly.</p> <p>9 Q. Was Karen Synder a friend of yours?</p> <p>10 A. Yes.</p> <p>11 Q. Would you say Karen Synder was your closest friend at Castle Park?</p> <p>12 A. No.</p> <p>13 Q. Who was your closest friend at Castle Park?</p> <p>14 A. Kim Brown.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. Did the staff at Castle Park ever get an in-service about how to conduct staff meetings?</p> <p>16 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous as to time.</p> <p>17 MS. LARKINS: At any time that you were there at Castle Park.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: An in-service?</p> <p>19 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>20 Q. Uh-huh.</p> <p>21 A. I don't recall a specific in-service on how to conduct staff meetings. There may have been, but I don't</p>	<p>1 MS. ANGELL: Did I state your question right?</p> <p>2 MS. LARKINS: Yes.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. Have you ever talked to Star News reporter Kelley Dupuis?</p> <p>4 A. Yes.</p> <p>5 Q. Did you ever discuss me with him?</p> <p>6 A. Not that I can recall.</p> <p>7 Q. Did you ever discuss Lybia Gill with him?</p> <p>8 A. No, not that I can recall.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. Going back to Exhibit No. 4, which is the flier that you prepared --</p> <p>10 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>11 Q. Did you do this translation into Spanish yourself or did someone do that for you?</p> <p>12 A. Someone did that for me.</p> <p>13 Q. Who was that?</p> <p>14 A. Stephanie Pettit.</p> <p>15 MS. ANGELL: Is there another exhibit or something? You were talking about this translation?</p> <p>16 MS. LARKINS: Yeah. I'm sorry. How about we staple this to Exhibit 4. This is the translation of it.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. On the tenth line of the English version which you wrote, did you refer to the Castle Park family?</p> <p>18 A. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q. What did you mean by that?</p>
<p>1 recall one.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. After the bilingual program was instituted, was there hostility remaining among the staff toward the bilingual program?</p> <p>3 A. I don't believe so.</p> <p>4 Q. Okay. But a bilingual teacher -- the first bilingual teacher was dismissed by the school district, Heather Smith?</p> <p>5 A. I don't know if she was dismissed or not.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay. Do you know that I was dismissed by the school district?</p> <p>7 MS. ANGELL: You mean other than conversations that are attorney-client privileged, attorney work product and information related to Ms. Donlan in the course of this litigation?</p> <p>8 MS. LARKINS: Yes.</p> <p>9 THE WITNESS: We were told during a meeting, so --</p> <p>10 MS. ANGELL: Well, you don't discuss anything that was told to you by your counsel, things that you discussed with counsel.</p> <p>11 So the question is did you know, other than through your counsel or through this litigation, that she had been dismissed?</p> <p>12 THE WITNESS: No.</p>	<p>1 A. I meant the teachers, students and parents.</p> <p>2 Q. Okay. Do all teachers belong to the Castle Park family?</p> <p>3 A. If they desire to, yes.</p> <p>4 Q. How do they exclude themselves from the Castle Park family?</p> <p>5 A. Some teachers choose not to participate in things.</p> <p>6 Q. What things are those?</p> <p>7 A. Staff meetings, other in-services. There are some teachers who remain to themselves. They are still considered part of the family -- the Castle Park, you know, community, but sometimes they don't participate in items, no.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. Now, do you think it is wrong for a principal to transfer a member of the Castle Park family who is a teacher out of the school?</p> <p>9 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Asked and answered about an hour or two ago.</p> <p>10 MS. LARKINS: I've never asked this question before.</p> <p>11 MS. ANGELL: You asked the question whether it was wrong for a person to be transferred involuntarily. Isn't that the same question?</p> <p>12 MS. LARKINS: I -- this time I'm talking about</p>

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 102	Page 104
<p>1       the Castle Park family.</p> <p>2       MS. ANGELL: And the teachers that you were</p> <p>3       talking about before were something separate from that?</p> <p>4       MS. LARKINS: I believe before I was just</p> <p>5       talking about teachers, and now I'm talking about</p> <p>6       teachers who are in the Castle Park family.</p> <p>7       MS. ANGELL: So whatever the objection was to</p> <p>8       the prior question would remain.</p> <p>9       MR. HERSH: Lack of theological expertise.</p> <p>10      MS. ANGELL: And incomplete hypothetical and not</p> <p>11      relevant. It's the standing objection.</p> <p>12      MS. LARKINS: I think it is preposterous, the</p> <p>13      idea that someone couldn't tell whether something is</p> <p>14      right or wrong because they didn't have theological</p> <p>15      expertise, but you can instruct your client not to</p> <p>16      answer.</p> <p>17      MR. HERSH: It just helps me in making my own</p> <p>18      determination. I assume other people need that kind of</p> <p>19      guidance as well.</p> <p>20      MS. LARKINS: You get a point for sense of</p> <p>21      humor. Okay.</p> <p>22      Your objection -- as far as I'm concerned -- in</p> <p>23      fact, you know what we did in my deposition with Matt</p> <p>24      Smith? What we did was we said --</p> <p>25      MS. ANGELL: Did you want to go off the record</p>	<p>1       much to preserve the right as --</p> <p>2       MS. LARKINS: Sure. Exactly. Yeah, that is</p> <p>3       fine. And instructions not to answer, too. That's fine.</p> <p>4       MS. ANGELL: So that will mean vague and</p> <p>5       ambiguous, because when I'm objection vague and ambiguous</p> <p>6       that means I don't think that the question is clear, so</p> <p>7       that I don't think that it can be answered. Or there</p> <p>8       might be objections as -- that the question is compound</p> <p>9       which again is really a vague and ambiguous objection.</p> <p>10      MS. LARKINS: Okay. So in other words, when you</p> <p>11      say vague and ambiguous, that means that the client is</p> <p>12      not to answer the question?</p> <p>13      MS. ANGELL: That's not what I said.</p> <p>14      Do you need her to read back what I said?</p> <p>15      MS. LARKINS: Well, no. I'm trying to find out</p> <p>16      here why you would say vague and ambiguous if it weren't</p> <p>17      for the purpose of objecting to the question.</p> <p>18      MS. ANGELL: That's exactly what I just said. I</p> <p>19      think that when I say that something is -- when I object</p> <p>20      on the basis of vague and ambiguous, it's because I think</p> <p>21      the question is vague and ambiguous. It can't be</p> <p>22      answered if it's not understood.</p> <p>23      MS. LARKINS: How about we agree to have vague</p> <p>24      and ambiguous be a standing objection?</p> <p>25      MS. ANGELL: We can't do that, because if you're</p>
Page 103	Page 105
<p>1       if you're going to have a --</p> <p>2       MS. LARKINS: I'm talking to you, Ms. Angell.</p> <p>3       I'm suggesting a way to solve this problem that I think</p> <p>4       was better solved in my deposition by Matt Smith. He</p> <p>5       said let's have all objections -- what was that? You</p> <p>6       keep them until later. You can make them later.</p> <p>7       Reserve? Was that -- what is the world you would use?</p> <p>8       All objections -- your right to object to every single</p> <p>9       question is reserved.</p> <p>10      MS. ANGELL: Sounds good to me; however, when</p> <p>11      you're seeking to invade the attorney-client privilege,</p> <p>12      seeking other privileged information, the witness will</p> <p>13      not answer those questions.</p> <p>14      MS. LARKINS: Fine.</p> <p>15      MS. ANGELL: However -- so we are stipulating</p> <p>16      that as to all questions in this entire deposition,</p> <p>17      Counsel, you're waiving -- I don't even know if she can</p> <p>18      do that. You're waiving the requirement that the</p> <p>19      objection be made at the deposition or otherwise waived?</p> <p>20      So we are stipulating --</p> <p>21      MR. HERSH: I don't think we can -- there may be</p> <p>22      some like relevancy objections where that wouldn't be a</p> <p>23      problem, but I think questions as to the form of a</p> <p>24      question -- excuse me -- objections as to a form of a</p> <p>25      question need to be made at the time in order -- not so</p>	<p>1       asking -- it's just not possible; it's the form of the</p> <p>2       question kind of objections.</p> <p>3       MS. LARKINS: Okay.</p> <p>4       MS. ANGELL: I can't have her answering</p> <p>5       questions that she doesn't know what she's answering.</p> <p>6       So is there some sort of stipulation ongoing</p> <p>7       here or not?</p> <p>8       MS. LARKINS: Fine with me.</p> <p>9       MS. GARVIN: I haven't stipulated to reserving</p> <p>10      the objections or waiving the objections or not</p> <p>11      objecting.</p> <p>12      MS. ANGELL: Do you wish to?</p> <p>13      MS. GARVIN: No.</p> <p>14      MS. LARKINS: Will you stipulate that all the</p> <p>15      objections that Ms. Angell has made today or you or</p> <p>16      Mr. Hersh has made -- have made today are standing</p> <p>17      objections for all questions?</p> <p>18      MS. GARVIN: No. I think some of Ms. Angell's</p> <p>19      questions were specific to the questions, not standing</p> <p>20      objections to all questions.</p> <p>21      MS. LARKINS: Okay. Fine. Let's try this</p> <p>22      again.</p> <p>23      Q. Do you think it's wrong for a teacher who is a</p> <p>24      member of the Castle Park family to be transferred out of</p> <p>25      the school?</p>

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 106	Page 108
<p>1 MS. ANGELL: Incomplete hypothetical; asked and 2 answered; vague and ambiguous as to time. 3 And I think she's asking for an opinion. So if 4 you have an opinion about the vague and broad category of 5 all transfers of all teachers at any time for any reason 6 from Castle Park Elementary School, then please tell her 7 what your opinion is.</p> <p>8 MS. LARKINS: Ms. Angell, you have misstated my 9 question.</p> <p>10 MS. ANGELL: See. Vague and ambiguous. That's 11 what I thought your question was.</p> <p>12 MS. LARKINS: No. Your question was more vague 13 than mine. My question specified teachers who are 14 members of the Castle Park family.</p> <p>15 THE WITNESS: I think that teachers who are 16 transferred should have reasons given to them in the form 17 of some sort of administrative paper trail before they 18 are transferred. It should not be a surprise.</p> <p>19 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>20 Q. And if there is no reason given orally or on 21 paper, what should be done in your opinion?</p> <p>22 A. I'm unclear.</p> <p>23 Q. If a teacher is transferred from Castle Park 24 Elementary School without being given a reason, what do 25 you think should be done?</p>	<p>1 MS. ANGELL: Same objection. This person has 2 not been qualified as an expert; she's not been qualified 3 as an attorney to give opinions on this kind of thing; 4 she's not been qualified as an expert on the collective 5 bargaining agreement. She's already testified as to 6 whether she thinks generally that transfers are a good 7 idea or bad idea.</p> <p>8 And, by the way, you haven't cleared up whether 9 you're talking about involuntary transfers, some other 10 kind of transfers. I'm assuming there could be many 11 types of transfers.</p> <p>12 MS. LARKINS: Okay.</p> <p>13 Q. If a teacher is involuntarily transferred from 14 Castle Park Elementary School, do you think he or she has 15 a right to come back to the school and pass out 16 literature about the transfer?</p> <p>17 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Incomplete 18 hypothetical.</p> <p>19 THE WITNESS: In the school, outside the school, 20 inside the school grounds, outside the school grounds? 21 Please clarify.</p> <p>22 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>23 Q. Okay. If a teacher is involuntarily transferred 24 out of Castle Park Elementary School without an 25 administrative paper trail, do you think that teacher</p>
<p>1 A. Without being given an administrative trail -- 2 paper trail reason?</p> <p>3 Q. Yes.</p> <p>4 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Calls for a legal 5 conclusion; calls for -- I think this seeks to impinge on 6 matters unrelated to this litigation that may or may not 7 be currently ongoing within the school district related 8 to transfers, and that's not a proper area for 9 examination in this litigation.</p> <p>10 MR. HERSH: And I would join in that objection.</p> <p>11 MS. LARKINS: Are you instructing your client 12 not to answer the question?</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: Well, if she has a legal opinion 14 and she's qualified as a lawyer about what needs to 15 happen when people get transferred, she can by all means 16 answer.</p> <p>17 Do you have a legal opinion?</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>19 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>20 Q. Do you have just a personal opinion?</p> <p>21 A. I gave you my personal opinion as to how I felt 22 about transfers.</p> <p>23 Q. But I asked you what you personally thought 24 should be done if a transfer takes place without any 25 administrative paper trail or any oral reasons.</p>	<p>1 should come back and hold rallies inside the school?</p> <p>2 MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous as to time.</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: If the -- I believe that after 4 school hours a school becomes a public park. Public 5 parks are available for distribution -- for voicing 6 opinions, holding rallies, having soccer games, letting 7 your children play.</p> <p>8 BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>9 Q. Do you think Ollie Matos is apprehensive about 10 your returning to Castle Park Elementary and doing 11 something unpredictable?</p> <p>12 MS. ANGELL: Objection. Calls for speculation. 13 If he told you --</p> <p>14 MS. LARKINS: Question withdrawn.</p> <p>15 Q. Okay. So we talked about -- do you think that 16 it is right for a teacher who has been involuntarily 17 transferred from Castle Park Elementary School without a 18 paper trail of the administrative reasons to come and 19 pass out literature on the sidewalk out in front of the 20 school?</p> <p>21 MS. ANGELL: Asked and answered. Isn't that the 22 same question she just answered?</p> <p>23 MS. LARKINS: No. That was inside the school 24 grounds.</p> <p>25 MS. ANGELL: My mistake.</p>
Page 107	Page 109

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

SAN DIEGO COURT REPORTING SERVICE  
319 ELM STREET, SUITE 100, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101619-232-1164  
FAX 619-232-2616

Larkins v. Werlin  
GIC 781970Deposition of Robin Donlan  
November 4, 2004

Page 110	Page 112
<p>1        And objection insofar as it call for a legal 2        conclusion.</p> <p>3        MS. LARKINS: I just mean morally right when I 4        asked if it is right.</p> <p>5        THE WITNESS: The sidewalk is a public venue. 6        BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>7        Q. So, for example, you felt that it was right for 8        me to pass out literature on the sidewalk in front of 9        Castle Park School regarding my involuntary transfer?</p> <p>10      A. I had no opinion on that.</p> <p>11      MS. ANGELL: Objection -- give me a chance to 12      make my objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.</p> <p>13      If you want to go back and lay a foundation for 14      these kinds of questions, I'll withdraw the objection, 15      but --</p> <p>16      MS. LARKINS: I withdraw the question.</p> <p>17      Q. Do you think having a teacher removed from the 18      school during the summer harms a child who was planning 19      on having that teacher in the following school year?</p> <p>20      MS. ANGELL: Objection. Calls for a legal 21      conclusion. This person is not established as an expert 22      in any type of genre. It sounds like you're asking for a 23      psychological opinion.</p> <p>24      BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>25      Q. Do you feel that you are -- rather, do you feel</p>	<p>1        MS. LARKINS: Are you instructing her not to 2        answer the question?</p> <p>3        MS. ANGELL: She can't answer the question. 4        Are you qualified as a -- do you have any 5        training -- are you licensed as a psychologist?</p> <p>6        THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>7        MS. ANGELL: Are you license as a psychiatrist?</p> <p>8        THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>9        MS. ANGELL: Have you had training in counseling 10      and guidance for school children?</p> <p>11      THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>12      MS. ANGELL: Do you have any qualifications that 13      make you an expert as to what is harmful to school 14      children?</p> <p>15      THE WITNESS: No.</p> <p>16      MS. LARKINS: Okay. That's fascinating to me 17      that you have no qualifications for deciding what is 18      harmful for school children. Wow! Okay.</p> <p>19      Q. When you passed out this flier, were you trying 20      to impact the children of Castle Park Elementary School?</p> <p>21      A. No.</p> <p>22      MS. ANGELL: Which flier are you referring to? 23      That is a vague and ambiguous objection.</p> <p>24      MS. LARKINS: Actually I should probably state 25      that Exhibit 4, which has two pages --</p>
Page 111	Page 113
<p>1        that you have a good deal of knowledge about children?</p> <p>2        MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>3        BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>4        Q. How many years have you taught children?</p> <p>5        A. Sixteen.</p> <p>6        Q. During those 16 years, did you develop opinions 7        about events at schools that might help children?</p> <p>8        MS. ANGELL: Vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>9        THE WITNESS: I'm not clear what you mean by 10      "events."</p> <p>11      BY MS. LARKINS:</p> <p>12      Q. During your 16 years teaching children, did you 13      develop any opinions about classroom situations that 14      might help children?</p> <p>15      A. Yes.</p> <p>16      Q. Did you develop any opinions about classroom 17      situations that might harm children?</p> <p>18      A. Yes.</p> <p>19      Q. Do you think that having their teacher disappear 20      suddenly during the middle of the year is harmful for 21      children?</p> <p>22      MS. ANGELL: Objection. Calls for an expert 23      opinion. Again, this person is not established as an 24      expert in psychological issues, and I believe you're 25      asking for a psychological analysis.</p>	<p>1        MS. ANGELL: Why don't you ask the witness a 2        question instead of you testifying about it.</p> <p>3        MS. LARKINS: Okay. Thank you. That is a good 4        suggestion.</p> <p>5        Q. When you passed out Exhibit 4, did you have 6        English on one side and Spanish on the other side of the 7        paper?</p> <p>8        A. Yes.</p> <p>9        Q. Okay. So this exhibit that is now two pages 10      actually was originally just one page; is that correct?</p> <p>11      A. Correct.</p> <p>12      Q. Okay.</p> <p>13      MS. ANGELL: You mean it was a double-sided 14      document that was just printed on one piece of paper?</p> <p>15      MS. LARKINS: Thank you. That is an excellent 16      description.</p> <p>17      MS. ANGELL: I don't have an answer. I mean I'm 18      asking you is that the question you were asking? Because 19      she can answer that. I think your question was vague, 20      and it's going to be confusing when we try and go back 21      and look at the record later.</p> <p>22      MS. LARKINS: It's okay. I withdraw the 23      question.</p> <p>24      Q. Do you think your transfer was in the best 25      interests of the educational program of Castle Park?</p>

29 (Pages 110 to 113)